

-----  
APPLIED CLINICAL TRIALS MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM  
-----

Manuscript title:

As you review the accompanying manuscript, please consider the following questions.

Subject matter: Is the subject of this manuscript significant to Applied Clinical Trials readers?

Does it make a new and innovative contribution to the literature?

Does it put a fresh and enlightening spin on a topic we should remind readers about from time to time?

Credibility: Is the presentation so objective that readers cannot accuse the author of bias or self-promotion?

Are the article's conclusions supported by the data presented?

Is the article sufficiently supported by references?

Does it cite previous ACT articles on the subject?

Structure and presentation: Is the overall structure of the manuscript sound?

Does the title accurately reflect the manuscript's content as briefly as possible?

Does the manuscript mention relevant ethical requirements?

Is the text internally consistent?

Does the narrative flow logically?

Do the figures and tables supplement the text adequately and appropriately?

Could the material be clarified by including additional illustrative material, such as tables or figures?

Are any tables or other illustrative material superfluous or trivial?

Comments:

Overall assessment

- Excellent, exemplary in its class/category
- Very good, on target for most ACT readers
- Good, should appeal to a significant number of ACT readers
- Weak, good topic, but needs work
- Low-priority topic but well-done
- Marginal appeal to only a few readers
- Fails to meet ACT standards for topic or execution

Publication recommendation

- Publish as is, timely and perishable
- Publish as is, no rush
- Acceptable, but better with minor revisions. See comments.
- Acceptable only after important revisions. See comments.
- Major rewrite/revision needed, followed by a new review. See comments.
- Reject

