

An Introduction to OPLC

Operation and Applications

Nathan Bryson and Domitille Papillard, Bionisis SA, Le Plessis Robinson, France.

Optimum performance laminar chromatography (OPLC) is a pumped flow chromatography technique that combines the user-friendly interface of HPLC with the capacity of flash chromatography and the multidimensionality of TLC. This review will describe the technique, as well as give a brief account of recent applications for which OPLC has found favour.

Introduction

Column liquid chromatography (LC) systems are the mainstay in modern laboratory practice for both analysis and preparative isolation of natural and synthetic organic samples. Standard high- and medium-pressure column LC uses an essentially one-dimensional (1D) format, (i.e., a cylindrical column onto which a single sample is injected). Optimum performance laminar chromatography (OPLC¹), in contrast, is a pumped-flow chromatography system that uses a planar 2D column format. This can increase throughput by allowing side-by-side analysis of several samples in a single run, provide added loading capacity by using the whole column width for a single sample and improve separation efficiency by allowing two-dimensional (2D) chromatography directly on a square column. The multidimensional capacity of OPLC is not limited to the separation technique alone, but also to the multitude of sample application and detection methods that are available.²

The acronym OPLC, also described as overpressured layer chromatography or forced-flow TLC, describes a technique that dates back to the late 1970s.⁴² The instruments at that time used nuts and bolts to compress a modified TLC plate between metal or glass sheets. There was little control over the pressure applied to the layer as this was a purely manual technique. The TLC plate was also modified by the user with the addition of a sealant to the edges of the plate. To distribute

the solvent homogeneously across the layer, it was also necessary to scrape a horizontal line of silica from the plate directly below the solvent inlet. These aspects, and others not mentioned here, hindered the development of the technique in modern analytical laboratories.

Three very important factors contribute to an optimized homogeneity of lateral and laminar flow inside the 200 μm thick sorbent bed. First, the introduction of electronic controls gives a better control of the chromatographic conditions and the quality of the separation.⁴³ Second, factory-made flat columns have a high precision positioning and a more regular seal. Finally, the flowing eluent wall technology (FEW) dramatically reduces disturbances at the layer edge and the inlet site (see text below and Figure 1). The technology described in recent articles demonstrates the jump in progress that justifies a new terminology, optimum performance laminar chromatography introduced in 2000.⁴⁴

This review will describe the basis of modern OPLC instrumentation and the separation techniques, as well as give a brief account of some recent applications in OPLC.

Instruments and Columns

OPLC instruments: The basis of OPLC is similar to that of other chromatographic techniques in that a pump is used to force a liquid mobile phase through a stationary phase, such as silica

or a bonded-phase media (e.g., C8, C18, amino or nitrile). The uniqueness of OPLC lies in its column housing structure that allows flat planar columns to be used in the same way as cylindrical glass or stainless steel ones. An OPLC development chamber is composed of three basic structures: a planar column; a cassette constructed of a machined PTFE sheet and an aluminium support; and an electronically controlled hydraulic press.

The planar column itself is a uniform sorbent bed layered on a glass or aluminium backing with an elastomeric polymer seal located at the periphery. The column is placed silica face-up in the cassette, in direct contact with the PTFE sheet. This, in turn, is placed inside the hydraulic press of the development chamber. Upon pressure, the PTFE sheet is pressed onto the sorbent bed and compresses the seal creating a solvent-tight system ready for chromatographic separations. Mobile phase is then pumped through connections on the development chamber to the sorbent bed. The machined PTFE sheet is responsible for distributing solvent to particular zones on the column and recovering it from the sorbent bed as a chromatographic separation is performed.

The first instruments, marketed some 25 years ago, applied relatively low pressures to the sorbent bed. Higher pressures, achieved in modern instruments, provide a better compaction of the sorbent bed and a more intimate contact of PTFE sheet and silica, which results in a noticeable increase in efficiency at a higher optimal flow-rate (see Figure 2).³

Cassettes: The cassette has two main functions: creating solvent-tightness under compression and determining solvent flow within the sorbent bed. A cassette comprises an

aluminium base plate and a PTFE cover. The PTFE sheet has 1 mm holes that allow flow to the sorbent bed. Microchannels (250 μm wide, 350 μm deep) on the underside of the PTFE sheet direct and recover solvent from predetermined zones on the stationary phase. Different cassette designs provide different separation modes. With two standard cassettes it is possible to perform basic 1D separations, as well as bidirectional, 2D and simultaneous four sample 2D development.

Figure 3 shows schematics of both mono- and bidirectional elution cassettes. Cassette (a) is used for 1-sample on-line separations or for the off-line separations of 1–50 samples in a single run (on- and off-line separation techniques are described below). This cassette format delivers mobile phase evenly over the entire width at one end of the column with recovery of eluting solvent at the other end. Samples are placed directly on the column 1 cm from the inlet.

Twice as many samples can be separated on the same column using a bidirectional elution cassette [Figure 3(b)], which introduces solvent over the midline of the column. This cassette type also serves as a rinsing cassette when changing solvent systems. With this cassette, separation occurs outwards in two directions towards the extremities of the column. Here samples are deposited 1 cm either side of the inlet trough and the separation distance is only half the length of the column.

2D separations can be achieved with monodirectional cassette formats. The sample is placed in one corner of the column, 1 cm from the inlet trough. After development in the first direction, the column is removed, turned 90° such that the developed line is parallel to the inlet trough, reinserted and a second development is performed. Similarly, parallel 4-sample, 2D analyses are run using a bidirectional cassette format, starting from the central corner of each quadrant of a 20 \times 20 cm flat column. Again, each separation is performed over half the column length. Each of the four samples migrate outwards from the centre over a 10 \times 10 cm area of the flat column (Figure 4). While other designs exist, such as radial, stacked parallel or serial elution, these are less common in routine use.

Figure 1: See text for details.




Figure 2: Plate height vs linear flow velocity as a function of applied pressure in OPLC for HPTLC layers (5 μm particle size).





Figure 3: OPLC cassette design for (a) linear one-dimensional and (b) bidirectional chromatography separations.



From a practical standpoint, fully off-line separations are more complex than on-line separations and this can be disconcerting for uninitiated users.

Specific cassettes are available for different column widths. More often, method development is performed on the smallest column, 5 cm wide, while screening and semi-preparative work is often done on intermediate and full-width columns (10 to 20 cm).

Recently, a new technology has been introduced, known as flowing eluent wall (FEW) for on-line/semi-preparative purposes.⁴ Conceptually, FEW is a stream of sample-free eluent that is pumped to the lateral wall of the column, near the elastomer seal. This confines the sample to a central portion of the column and eliminates direct contact of the sample stream and the wall, improving band (peak) shape. The FEW does not require any additional equipment. The solvent stream is simply divided upstream of the injector: one stream goes to the injector and onto the portion of the column where the sample is to be separated, while the FEW stream is taken directly to the lateral walls of the column (Figure 5).

Figure 6 shows a comparison of the separation of dyes injected on both a modern OPLC system with FEW and an older non-FEW version of the same instrument. We can see that flow is affected at the edges in the non-FEW instrument. We can also see that the sample band is deformed at its centre in the non-FEW version. This has been corrected in the FEW system by a modification of the inlet structure of the cassette.

Columns: Presently, flat columns are made of irregularly shaped, preparative-grade silica (5 and 11 μm ; 500 m^2/g) placed on an

aluminium or glass backing [e.g., HTSorb™ columns (Bionisis, Le Plessis Robinson, France)]. They are 20 cm in length and come in three different widths: 5, 10 and 20 cm depending on the capacity desired. Standard thicknesses are 200 μm and 500 μm . An elastomeric seal is placed at the periphery of each flat bed column. Normal silica and standard bonded phases are available, including C8, C18 nitrile, chiral and amino phases.

Plate height is independent of the solvent-front migration distance and achieves a minimum value for high-performance silica layers of about 10 μm at a mobile phase velocity of 0.5 mm/s .⁵ This is sufficient for some analytical methods and is very acceptable for micro-preparative isolation (20–200 mg). In addition, the possibility to observe residual non-migrating products on the column provides a higher degree of confidence in the final analytical result.

Basic Operation

A basic OPLC unit can be configured into an HPLC system and can either be used on-line, in the same way as a standard cylindrical HPLC column, or off-line, as with a high performance TLC development. In general, one uses the term “mode” to describe the way in which samples are applied and detected: off-line means that the column is handled outside the development chamber while on-line indicates that the column is left inside the chamber and is connected to the pumping and/or detection modules. A good technical description of the relationships between retention time and migration distance in OPLC have been developed by Siouffi and Mincsovic.⁶

Fully on-line mode: Fully on-line mode has very few examples to date, mainly because a dedicated unit for this type of work has only recently become available. In brief, the OPLC unit is installed in an HPLC system much like an HPLC column. The programmable workstation of the HPLC system ensures column equilibration, sample injection, detection and monitoring of the separation [e.g., UV, mass spectrometry (MS), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), refractive index (RI), evaporative light scattering (ELS)]. On-line mode can be used as an analytical or semi-preparative method.⁷ FEW technology gives better peak shape in on-line separations.

Fully off-line mode: This mode corresponds to application of the sample and the subsequent analysis of the separation directly on

Figure 4: 2D development on a planar OPLC column. Dotted lines correspond to solvent inlet microchannel. Blue corresponds to sample, while black and red are the sequential development steps.




Figure 5: Concept of FEW with the distribution of solvent at sample channel and flowing walls.




Figure 6: Close-up of dye molecules at column wall during a chromatographic separation with (a) non-FEW and (b) FEW instruments.



the stationary phase. The different steps of sample application, separation and detection are effectively decoupled in off-line mode.

Formulated products or crude extracts can be applied directly on the stationary phase without prior sample preparation steps. In many instances, the active ingredients can be determined among the formulating agents.⁸ The possibility of depositing a sample on the column is an advantage particularly when the sample is in a solvent that is not miscible with the eluting solvents, or simply when increased sample loading is desirable. The quantity of sample applied is not limited by an injection loop as in HPLC.

Sample components are not eluted from the sorbent bed, as the results of the separation are observed directly on the column. Much longer time can be spent in the detection mode when it is effectively decoupled from the separation technique, since detection is not limited by the rate of elution. In some instances this can lead to significant gains in the limits of detection, particularly with radiolabelled metabolites.³⁹ A fluorescent indicator (254 nm) can aid detection, or one may choose to derivatize with a spray-on reagent solution to visualize the sample components on the column.⁹ Semi-quantitative screening and sample comparison assays are typically performed with multiple samples on the same column, including calibration standards. Analytical determinations of UV-active substances are obtained with a full-spectrum scanning densitometric instrument or more simply with a single-wavelength desktop scanner.¹⁰ Other types of detection that have been used in conjunction with OPLC include radio-detection, Raman spectroscopy¹¹ and bioautography. Finally, the column is removed, stored with experimental records and if necessary, retrieved for analysis at a later date or at another location.

With off-line OPLC, there is less waste (10 to 100 times less solvent consumption and fewer disposables used), as sample preparation is reduced to a bare minimum and column equilibration/regeneration is not necessary. In some instances, applying crude sample mixtures to the column can lead to improved reproducibility as the number of sample pretreatment steps is limited and all of the sample components actually reach the stationary phase where the separation is performed.

From a practical standpoint, fully off-line separations are more complex than on-line separations and this can be disconcerting for uninitiated users. First, it is necessary to program a rapid solvent injection at the start of an off-line experiment, particularly for large column widths. This flush volume establishes a homogeneous flow over the width of the column, a requirement for linear bands and good peak shape. As the solvent passes into the sorbent bed, air is displaced. If the column outlet is open to allow gas to escape from the column, two solvent fronts may be observed: a faster eluting partial wetness front and a slower total wetness front. By simply closing the outlet on the OPLC, a pressure build-up occurs within the separation chamber, preventing vaporization of the eluting solvent and causing the air in the column to dissolve in the solvent. The upper pressure limit of the pump is automatically set by the instrument so that the pump stops when the development is complete. In this manner, the partial wetness front is not observed in full column development.¹² Otherwise, it is possible to flush the air from the column with an apolar solvent that does not cause migration of the products, then change solvents to provide the desired separation.


A second phenomenon, known as solvent demixing, occurs when using a solvent mixture as the mobile phase and silica as

the stationary phase. Demixing describes depletion of the more polar components of the mobile phase as a result of their strong interaction with a non-equilibrated (dry) stationary phase.¹³ Presaturation with the solvent will reduce this effect dramatically and may represent a solution to the problem.¹⁴ However, demixing can be useful. For a 2-solvent system, two migrating fronts are observed: the total wetness front, as discussed above, and a β -front resulting from demixing. Above this β -front, the mobile phase is almost devoid of the polar component of the mixture, while below it, the mobile phase is complete. In general, a small amount of a highly polar solvent will give a β -front at low R_f while a larger fraction of a less polar solvent will give a β -front at a higher R_f value. By properly adjusting the amount and nature of the polar modifier, it is possible to create zones of "defined" polarity at predetermined areas on the column. Properly done, this can provide higher peak capacity for complex sample mixtures. Maintaining the sample components behind all secondary fronts is necessary when OPLC serves as a precursor to HPLC method development. Elution should be continued beyond one column volume of solvent. Samples can either be maintained on the stationary phase and observed directly or they can be eluted to an appropriate detector.

Various other on/off-line techniques: When OPLC is used as a tool for HPLC method development, it is possible to examine the column as development occurs to see how a particular method performs. If the conditions are inadequate for a satisfactory elution of the products, it is possible to put the column back into the OPLC, change the solvent conditions and continue with the new elution method. Even when a method has been optimized for a particular product, the analyst can always recover the column to verify that all of the sample has been eliminated from the column. Mass balance analysis in drug stability testing is just one application for which this mixed mode technique finds an added advantage relative to HPLC. If non-migrating products are observed on the column, it is possible to recover them by extraction and identify them using another method. Recent developments in the field of TLC-MS are pertinent for the structural determination directly from the OPLC stationary phase.¹⁵

Further improvement in resolution can be obtained by using multiple development (MD) techniques.¹⁶ MD is a series of development and drying cycles that causes solvent to flow over the sample several times during the course of a separation. MD

Figure 7: Disciplines concerned with OPLC, taken from more than 250 journal publications and conference proceedings.



takes advantage of the peak compression that occurs as the mobile phases passes over products on a dry sorbent bed and can provide very high resolution. MD-TLC, which has been known for years, is an under exploited high-performance TLC technique, mainly because it requires a long analysis time. With the pumped-flow of an OPLC, MD becomes more accessible and has been successfully applied in pharmaceutical analysis for particularly difficult separations.¹⁷

Applications

OPLC technology has integrated several disciplines including pharmacognosy (plant research), pharmaceutical development, drug metabolism, drug abuse assays and others (see Figure 7). At this stage, in the development of OPLC, journal articles related to OPLC technology outnumber specific applications. However, many specific applications have been explored over the past 20 years. These include the detection of drugs and metabolites in animal tissues (homogenates, urine), potentially active ingredients in plant extracts,¹⁸ formulating agents in cosmetics (lipsticks, shampoos and creams),¹⁹ toxins in food stuffs, as well as chemical substances in crude reaction mixtures. Detection limits can reach 0.1–1000 ng depending on the product and the detection techniques employed.

The aspects that make OPLC a preferred technique for many of these assays include limited sample preparation, the semi-disposable nature of the column, high capacity suitable for semi-quantitative analysis and micro-preparative scale-up,

multiple parallel samples in a single run, and the possibility of direct on-column detection. The following paragraphs highlight four application types to exemplify a few aspects of the technique and the flexibility it offers.

Pharmaceutical analysis: OPLC analysis of steroid intermediates and other active pharmaceutical ingredients has been adopted by a group at Richter-Gedeon for in-process control, final purity, cleaning validation²⁰ and stability testing (allylestrenol,²¹ levonorgestrel,²² nandrolone,¹⁷ digoxin,²³ phthaloyl-amlodipine,²⁴ norethisterone and ethinyl-estradiol). For the analysis of formulated tablets, sample preparation was limited to dispersion in an organic solvent and centrifugation. Specific on-column staining techniques were devised, because several of the products had no chromophore. These methods were validated for the semi-quantitative determination of the active ingredients, as well as all known impurities. They compared the OPLC technique with optimized gas chromatography (GC), TLC and HPLC for the analysis of nandrolone and showed that OPLC was the only technique that provided all the required analytical data in a single analysis. TLC was not sufficiently resolutive and HPLC failed to detect two impurities devoid of a chromophore (peaks 2 and 3 in Figure 8), while other sample components decomposed in the GC injector. Their OPLC analysis is performed on as many as three batches at one time and includes calibration standards as well. A complete OPLC analysis was completed in 40 min compared with HPLC which required at least a full day's work.

Screening in forensic science: The Finnish group of Pelander has developed an OPLC technique for high-confidence identification of drug abuse substances in urine samples.²⁵ The authors take an innovative 2D approach to the problem, developing each sample twice: once in an acidic solvent and then in a basic solvent mixture. Fifteen samples and R_f correction standards are applied to each column.²⁶ After scanning densitometry of each separation, two corrected R_f values are used to identify a particular drug substance from among more than 200 library compounds. A graphical 2D representation of their data is given in Figure 9, together with chromatograms from the analysis of a urine sample containing

Figure 8: Densitometric analysis of nandrolone, analysed by (a) TLC and (b) MD-OPLC. Reproduced from ref. 13 with permission.





Figure 9: 2D representation for the identification of codeine (red) from a library of over 200 known substances. Actual 1D separations are encrusted.



The multidimensional capacity of OPLC is not limited to the separation technique alone but also to the multitude of sample application and detection methods that are available.

codeine. In zones on the map where two or more products show nearly identical R_f data, it is possible to differentiate between them by their UV spectra using scanning UV densitometry on the column. The success of this technique relies on the high peak capacity of the columns and the choice of two solvent systems with a low mutual correlation between them. In clinical and forensic toxicology the use of this low cost, rapid screening technique allows the group to perform routine high-throughput analysis, while more sophisticated instrumentation (LC-MS and GC-MS) is dedicated to other tasks (i.e., low-dose target compounds). Other specific assays for opiates, alkaloids (poppy)²⁷ and cannabinoids²⁸ have also been developed using OPLC.

Bioactive molecule research: Bacterial and fungal toxins and their metabolic by-products in food products are known to cause serious health problems in humans and animals and, therefore, require regulatory monitoring. Several publications relate methods for the detection and assay of mycotoxin metabolites in food stuffs,²⁹ such as rice,³⁰ wheat³¹, fish³² and corn.³³ The authors propose a screening method for aflatoxins with a detection limit below 0.1 ng which requires minimal preparation, high sample throughput and low operating costs.^{26,32} Similarly, OPLC methods have also been developed for some peptide cyanobacterial toxins (microcystins and nodularins).³⁴

Bioautography is a particular on-column detection method that has also been applied in combination with OPLC in the search for bioactive substances from plant sources (pharmacognosy) and in toxic substance detection.³⁵ The OPLC column serves a double purpose: as the separation medium and as a mechanical support for cell culture. If a bioactive substance is present on the column, cell growth is modified (i.e., antibiotics inhibit proliferation, leaving cell-free zones on the column). The active substance can then be isolated and characterized. It is interesting to note that Tyihak et al. have taken this OPLC-bioautography technique a step further to explore cellular defence mechanisms.³⁶ OPLC, with its open planar column format and high peak capacity, is the only high-resolution, forced flow chromatographic technique capable of rapid, high-throughput screening with bioautography. Figure 10 shows densitometric and bioautography analysis of aflatoxins.


Preparative isolation: OPLC is also semi-preparative chromatography allowing the isolation of more than 200 mg per run on a 20 × 20 HTSorb™ column.³⁸ It has been shown that the injection volume can attain more than 20% of the column volume while still maintaining adequate resolution for fractionation. OPLC has also been used for fractionation of metabolites of a radiolabelled pharmaceutical from tissue extracts during ADME studies.³⁹ Preparative OPLC columns 20 × 20 cm and 500 μm thick have only recently become available (11 μm particle size). Method development performed on a 5 cm wide column can be scaled directly to a 20 cm wide preparative column by simply adjusting the flow-rate. OPLC is also being explored as a substitute for solid-phase extraction prior to NMR studies.⁴⁰ The interest lies in the possibility to visualize the sorbent bed and to know when the molecules of interest have been eluted from the column. This is not a trivial matter in this application, as the molecules are eluted with deuterated solvents.

Future Developments/Perspectives in OPLC

OPLC is coming of age. Its rudimentary beginnings, some 25 years ago, have led to innovative, high-performance products. The commercialization of modern, high-efficiency columns has eliminated the need for analysts to prepare their own from TLC plates, making the technique even more accessible.

The open-heart column in OPLC provides several unique possibilities for product detection, including standard on-line techniques such as UV, radiation, ELS, ESI-MS and NMR. Off-line detection methods include densitometry via a fluorescent indicator, via the UV chromophores of molecules on the column or via the addition of colorimetric reagents to reveal the presence of specific molecules (particularly those that do not have a chromophore). ESI-MS and MALDI-MS have been demonstrated with TLC and, therefore, should also be applicable to OPLC columns. Today, practical solutions are available for several sample types. An increasing number of publications in the field show that several groups have adopted the technique, more often as a complement to HPLC, as it has all the required properties for preparative isolation, semi-quantitative screening and product profiling (fingerprinting).

Figure 10: Detection of aflatoxins B1, B2, G1, G2 by scanning densitometry [B1 is shown (a)] and by bioautography in BioArena [all four shown (b)]. Reproduced with permission from ref. 37.



FEW technology has recently been integrated into routine instruments. This is also a fundamental part of a unique multiple sample injection/detection system which allows simultaneous, parallel purification of 4 or 8 samples in a single run using the unsegmented flat columns presented here.^{40, 41} This opens the avenue for new applications in the field of high-throughput screening, combinatorial chemistry and microscale preparative isolation after parallel synthesis. 2D protein analysis could also become a viable application for proteomics research on these 2D flat columns; active research is underway in this direction. These and many other exciting possibilities are motivating innovations that only flat column technology can provide. For these and many other reasons, OPLC merits its place in modern research laboratories next to classic column chromatography instruments.

References

1. E. Tyihak and E. Mincsovcics, *Planar Chromatography: A Retrospective View for the Third Millennium*, Sz Nyiredy, Ed., (Springer Budapest, 2001), Ch. 8, 137–176.
2. C.F. Poole and S.K. Poole, *J. Chromatogr. A*, **703**, 573, (1995).
3. L. Botz, E. Wehrli and O. Sticher, *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **6**, 13 (1993).
4. (a) E. Mincsovcics, M. Manach and D. Papillard, *J. Liq. Chromatogr. Related Tech.*, **26**, 2593, (2003); (b) E. Mincsovcics, M. Manach and D. Papillard, Proc. Symp. Planar Chromatogr., Budapest, Hungary (Res. Inst. Medicinal Plants), L-15, 163–178.
5. E. Tyihak and E. Mincsovcics, *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **4**, 288, (1991).
6. E. Mincsovcics, E. Tyihak and A.M. Siouffi, *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **1**, 141, (1988).
7. D. Papillard, S. Laroche and M. Manach, *GIT Lab. J.*, **1**, 17, (2003).
8. Bionisis Technical Notes: *Determination of Sorbic Acid in Shampoo; Determination of Paraben Antioxidants in Lipstick.*
9. See Merck, *Detection Reagents for Thin-Layer and Paper Chromatography*, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany, (1980).
10. P.M. Baron, P.G. Delvorde and C.D. Sarbach, CD Patent WO 0031962 (2000).
11. E. Horvath et al., *Chromatographia*, **51**, S297, (2000).
12. E. Mincsovcics, *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **15**, 280, (2002).
13. Geiss, *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **1**, 102, (1988).
14. Sz Nyiredy et al., *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **5**, 308–315 (1992).
15. (a) Review: I. Wilson, *J. Chromatogr. A*, **856**, 429 (1999); (b) G.J. VanBerkel, A.D. Sanchez and J.M.E. Quirk, *Anal. Chem.*, **74**, 6216, (2002); (c) A. Crecelius et al., *J. Chromatogr. Sci.*, **40**, 1, (2002); (d) J.T. Mehl and D.M. Hercules, *Anal. Chem.*, **72**, 68, (2000).
16. K.D. Burger and H. Tengler "Automated Multiple Development" in *Planar Chromatography*, **1**, R.E. Kaiser, Ed., (Verlag, Basel, 1986), 193–206.
17. B. Bagocsi et al., *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **15**, 252, (2002).
18. Sz Nyiredy, *Journal of AOAC International*, **84**, 1219, (2001).
19. Bionisis, Technical Bulletin.
20. Z. Katona et al., *J. Pharm. Biomed.*, **22**, 349, (2000).
21. A. Wiszkidenszky et al., *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **11**, 463, (1998).
22. K. Ferenczi-Fodor et al., *Pharmeuropa*, **9**, 736, (1997).
23. A. Nagy-Turak et al., A Proc. Int. Symp. on Planar Chromatogr., *Planar Chromatogr. 2000*, Sz Nyiredy and A. Kakuk, Eds., (Research Inst. Med. Plants, Budapest, Hungary, 2000), p. 26.
24. Z. Szikszay, Z. Végh and K. Ferenczi-Fodor, *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **11**, 428, (1998).
25. (a) I. Ojanperä, K. Goebel and E. Vuori, *J. Liq. Chrom & Rel. Technol.*, **22**, 161 (1999); (b) A. Pelander et al., *J. Liq. Chrom & Rel. Technol.*, **24**, 1425, (2001); (c) A. Pelander et al., *J. Anal. Tox.*, **27**, 226, (2003).
26. I. Ojanperä and P. Jänchen., *LC•GC Int.*, **7**(3), 164, (1994).
27. Z. Szücs et al., *Chromatographia*, **Suppl. 56**, S49, (2002).
28. (a) N. Galand, J. Pothier and C. Viel, *J. Chromatogr. Sci.*, **40**, 585, (2002); (b) B. Szabady, E. Hidvegi and Sz Nyiredy, *Chromatographia*, **Suppl. 56**, S165, (2002).
29. E. Papp et al., *Microchemical J.*, **73**, 39 (2002).
30. G. Katay, A. Szecsi and E. Tyiak, *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **14**, 53, (2001).
31. E. Papp et al., *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **13**, 328, (2000).
32. E. Papp et al., *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **12**, 383, (1999).
33. K. H-Otta et al., *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **11**, 370, (1998).
34. A. Pelander, I. Ojanpera and E. Vuori, *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **11**, 365, (1998).
35. J. Pothier et al., *Il Farmaco*, **56**, 505 (2001).
36. (a) E. Tyihak and E. Szoke, *Plant Growth Regulation*, **20**, 317 (1996); (b) M-H. Yang et al., *System Ecology*, **26**, 117 (1998); (c) E. Maday, E. Tyihak and E. Szoke, *Plant Growth Regul.*, **30**, 105 (2000); (d) E. Tyihak

- et al., Proc. Intern. Symp. Planar Separations: *Planar Chromatography, New Milestones in TLC*, Sz Nyiredy, Ed., (Res. Inst. Med. Plants, Budapest, 2001), 3; (e) G. Katay et al., *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **15**, 28, (2002); (f) T.K. Rozlyo et al., *Biomed. Chromatogr.*, **14**, 173, (2000); (g) G. Blunden et al., *Biochem. System, Ecology*, **30**, 1, (2000).
37. A. Moricz et al., in Proc. Intern. Symp. Planar Separations, *Planar Chromatography*, Sz Nyiredy, Ed., (Res. Inst. Med. Plants, Budapest, Hungary, 2003), 319–327.
 38. D. Papillard et al., *LC•GC Eur. Applications Book*, April 2003, 20–21 (2003).
 39. (a) G.Y. Morovjan et al., *J. Chromatogr. Sci.*, **40**, 603, (2002); (b) B.D. Kiss et al., *J. Planar Chromatogr.*, **13**, 257, (2000); (c) K. Ludanyi et al., *J. AOAC Int.*, **82**, 231, (1999).
 40. Unpublished results, Bionisis.
 41. (a) M. Manach, D. Papillard and S. LaRoche, X, C. Poster HPLC 2003, Nice, France; (b) D. Papillard et al., *LC•GC Eur. Applications Book*, September 2003, 30–31 (2003); (c) E. Mincsovcics et al., *J. Liq. Chrom.*, **26**(16), 2611–2627 (2003).
 42. E. Tyihak et al., *J. Chromatogr.*, **174**, 75 (1979).
 43. D. Nurok, *Anal. Chem.*, **72**, 634A (2000).
 44. (a) P. Kerouredan, *Spectra Analyse*, **212**, 39 (2000); (b) J. Pothier, *Il Farmaco*, **56**, 505 (2001).

Nathan Bryson is the Director of Research in charge of chemistry and applications development at Bionisis SA. After obtaining his doctorate degree from MIT in 1988, he joined Flamel Technologies where he was in charge of polymer research. He has been with Bionisis since 2002. (nbbionisis@wanadoo.fr)

Domitille Papillard is the manager of methods development and instrument conception. She obtained a chemical engineering degree from the Ecole Supérieure de Chimie Organique et Minérale in 1998 and a Masters (DEA) in Spectrochemistry from the Université Paris VI. She has been with Bionisis since 2001. (dpbionisis@wanadoo.fr)

