

ASC Audit Report Template, Version 2.2

Instructions and disclaimers

General:

If you would like to register a problem or issue with the document or wish to leave feedback and suggestions for improvements, please contact: certification@asc-aqua.org In port would need to register a protection is used with the document of water recovers with a subgestional or termination prease contact: certification prease subjects of the subject of How to use this document This document dayout is formatted into tables for data entry and questions to record answers and evidence. You can adjust the width of the columns to display all information. Users shall **not** delete any rows or columns. Document type - PDF conversion - Guidance Always save your document as a macro-enabled spreadsheet (click on "File", "Save As" and make sure the document type is saved as "Excel Macro-Enabled Workbook"). If your audit team plans to work on a shared online document - ensure the platform app supports macro-enabled functionality. Spelling Check Each worksheet has a spellcheck function button. What if changes are made? Vhen an updated report is submitted to ASC, ALL cells containing changes shall be highlighted in yellow for transparency reasons, and as far as possible a clear statement on the update shall be made in the respective field. Confidential information - Data protection and publication of reports All fields in the audit report are mandatory and shall be filled out and will be made publicly available. However, should the client or CAB desire to submit certain data confidentially (e.g.. for GDPR or commercial sensitivity reasons), this data can be entered in the confidential sheets, which are included in the audit report template in black. Please BE AWARE to exclude these confidential sheets from the PDF document, as the PDF will be published. The excel file (including confidential sheets) will NOT be made publicly available. ed. The excel file (including confidential sheets) will NOT be made publicly available Comments or guidance For a lot of the fields in the audit report, a guidance field pops up as soon as the field is selected. Data restrictions & conditional formatting
This audit report template contains a lot of fields with data validation and data restriction fields, allowing for only a certain type of data to be filled out in the specific field (For example, date fields, picklists, numbers etc.). Please ONLY fill out the requested type of data. If not This audit report template contains a lot of fields with data validation and data restriction fields, allowing for only a certain type of data to be filled out in the specific field (For example, date fields, picklists, numbers etc.). Please ONLY fill out the requested type of data. If not filled out correctly, an error message will pop up, giving guidance to enter the correct type of data. The audit report template also contains a lot of fields with conditional formatting, meaning that cells or field will be highlighted, based on the type of data filled out. Some cells are highlighted with red, these will change to blue when data is entered into the cell. This makes it easier to detect fields that are not filled out, blai be filled out. Please note that all fields are required and shall be filled out.

User Instructions

The four audit report and summary templates in Worksheets 5 to 8 are automatically generated based on data entered into Worksheets 1 (General Information) and 3 (Site Information). To generate Worksheets 5 to 8, it is essential that the four following fields (light orange in colour) are first accurately completed: Worksheet 2: (1.4) Certification Type, (1.8) ASC Standard, Worksheet 3: (3.2) Site Name, (3.3) Site included in audit Y/N.

Audit Report Template Functionality – Report Generation, Do not change any of the light orange fields once data entry into the audit report (Worksheets 5 & 7) worksheets have been initiated. CHANGES WILL RESULT IN THE DELETION OF INFORMATION IN THESE WORKSHEETS.

CHANGES WILL RESULT IN THE DELETION OF INFORMATION IN THESE WORKSHI

General. Do not copy cell contents across columns. THIS WILL RESULT IN THE CORRUPTION OF INFORMATION IN THE WORKSHEETS.

Note- Cell contents can be copied down rows (Audit Report Worksheets).

In worksheet 3 (Site Information), sites must be added in sequence starting in row 8. Leaving any gaps between rows will result in ommissions in the Audit Report (Worksheet 5).

NC Summary Worksheets

The 2 NC Summary Worksheets (5. NC Summary-MS or Group & 8a. NC Summary-Farm) are automatically generated based on the non-conformity data entered into the audit report worksheets.

Link to all the sheets	Continued	Continued2	
1) General Information	7) Audit Report Farm	12 MS Option2 Calculator	
2) Audit Information	8a) NC Summary-Farm	13) Group Calc	
3) Site information	8b) Last Audit NC Summary	Confidential 1- Interviewee	
4) Stakeholder engagement	9) Traceability Assessment	Confidential 2- Stakeholder	
5) Audit Report-MS or Group	10) Traceability Test	Confidential 3- Social Info	
6) NC Summary-MS or Grou	11 BEIA-PSIA checklist	Confidential 4- Labour	

Worksheet 3 - Production System Definitions

Production Systems Pick List	Definition
Ponds – Earthen	Ponds - Earthen: Any semi- or fully enclosed pool of water that is entirely made of soil materials and dug directly into the ground. The water is directly in contact with the soil and there are no isolation layers or materials.
Ponds – Lined	Ponds - Lined: Any fully enclosed pool of water where the culture water is not directly in contact with the soil or material used to construct the pond. Instead, there is a liner that serves as an isolation layer to prevent seepage
	of water or any other substance.
Flow through tanks & raceways	Flow through tanks & raceways: Any production system in which the water supplied to it is used once only and then discharged with or without primary treatment (depending on stocking density and waste output). A raceway
	is a long narrow basin with current, in which the water inlet and outlet are at opposite ends.
RAS (Recirculating Aquaculture	RAS (Recirculating Aquaculture Systems): Production systems where the water is to a great extent recirculated within the system and treated thoroughly for further re-use. RAS' treatments include removal of suspended solid
Systems)	(down to micron level), biological filtration, degassing, disinfection (UV or ozone addition are the most commonly used methods) and oxygenation (including emergency oxygenation). These types of systems may include
	mechanisms to adjust pH and control water temperature.
Cage, including net pen	Cage, including net pen (seawater): Any production system placed at brackish and marine waters (fjord, coastal, open water or offshore) where fish are enclosed in cages, typically allowing free exchange of water and all
(seawater)	other substances. They can come in all shapes and layouts and in some cases include semi-or fully enclosed cages that aim at minimising the environmental impacts.
Cage including net pen	Cage including net pen (freshwater): Any production system located in a freshwater body where fish are enclosed in cages, typically allowing free exchange of water and all other substances. They can come in all shapes and
(freshwater)	layouts and in some cases include semi-or fully enclosed cages that aim at minimising the environmental impacts.
Suspended culture	Suspended culture: Culture method whereby cultured species (molluscs or seaweeds) are grown on hanging ropes, or other structures, suspended from fixed or floating installations (buoys, frames, floating platforms,
	longlines).
Other sea-based systems	Other sea-based systems (molluscs): including all other sea-based production methods (beyond fish cages and suspended culture). These may include bivalve cages, Bouchot, tray culture. This category captures most means
(molluscs)	of mollusc production, which encompasses many different practices across the globe.



General Information										
Document type:	Final Audit Report									
Document language:	Eng	•								
Second document language:										
	Multi-site with IMS									
Certification Type:	Multi-Site option 2 - With Internal Management System (IMS)									
Audit type:	Scope extension									
Name of the Conformity Accordment	SGS	SNI								
Name of the Conformity Assessment Body (CAB):	SGS Nede	-								
If "other" was selected, please fill in	505 Nede									
the CAB name in this field.										
ASC Standard:	Salmo	n v1.4								
Standard version:	v1	4								
CAR version:	CAR	v2.2								
Recirculating Aquaculture System	Ν	0								
(RAS) Module:										
ASC Certificate Number:	ASCO	1360								
Company (Certificate Holder) name:	Grieg Seafc	ood BC Ltd.								
Unit of Certification (Uoc) name:	Conception P	t. Bligh Island								
Country where Uoc is located:		5								
country where overs located.	Canada									
	Client Contact Details:									
First name:	Kris									
Surname:	Sto	,								
Position in the Uoc (Job title): Environmental and Regulatory Responsibility Manager										
	Activities Covered under the Scope of Certification:									
Grow Out:	Covered									
Transportation:	Not Covered									
Storage (if present at farm (Uoc):	Not Covered									
Processing (if present at Uoc):	Not Covered									
Packing (if present at Uoc):	Not Covered Covered									
Harvest:	Love	ered								
Description of Uoc:	This Case is a difference of 44 for some the									
Please provide the description of Uoc The structure of the Uoc /Uoc Ownership and	This Group audit covers 11 farm sites o 5 sites in No	, ,								
contract relationships. (Sites, contract farming,	3 sites in Esperanza Inle									
subcontractors, ownership of product, etc.)	3 sites in Cleo Channel,									
Receiving Water Body/ local geography/ hydrology. Social Characteristics										
Employees	Male	Female								
Total number of full-time employees in	102	46								
Uoc at the time of audit	102	40								
Total number of part-time employees		2								
in Uoc at the time of audit		۷								
Total number of seasonal temporary										
employees in Uoc (<u>in the year</u>										
preceding the audit)										
Are any sites within the Uoc permitted										
to produce both certified and non-	No									
certified product?										
Permitted Reason										
Specific and identifiable batch(es) or										
production unit(s) do not comply with any ASC Farm Standard indicators										
related to:										
Description:										
Description.	n/	/a								
	 	-								
What is excluded from the Certificate	n/	/a								
Scope? Document Name: ASC Audit Report										



Is ASC CoC certification held by the Uoc?	No
If yes, enter the CoC code:	n/a



Audit Information

Audit ID:	A0006969									
Audit conducted: on-site, assisted remote or remote?	On-site									
Start date site audit:		06-F	eb-23							
End date site audit :	15-Feb-23									
Time assigned to audit activities	Social Auditor(s) Environmental auditor(s) IMS auditor (<i>if applicable</i>)									
Off-site activities:	0.50	3.00								
On-site activities:	8.00									
Total auditor-hours:	0.50 3.00 8.00									
Are the social principles covered under the scope of this Audit? (If no, please justify)	Yes									
Justification:		r	n/a							
Is harvesting covered under the scope of this Audit? (If no, please justify)	Yes									
Justification:		r	n/a							

Last date of harvest witnessed:	23-Mar-22
Partial or full harvest ?	Partial Harvest

Audit Team & Additional Attendees										
Surname	First Name	Role	Auditor On-site or Remote?							
Orellana	Alfonso	Social auditor	On-site							
Rees	Howard	Environmental auditor	On-site							
Rees	Howard	Lead auditor	On-site							

Audit - Technical Review & Certification								
Technical Reviewer's Name:	Nikki den Boon							
Certifier:	Nikki den Boon							
Certification Decision:	Scope Extension Granted							
Certification Decision Date:	15-May-23							
Rationale for Decision:	All elements in the standard and guidance documents have been completed.							
	15 minor NCs were raised and closed within the NC deadline. 1 Minor NC is							
	extended as it is subjected to seasonal nature of sampling requirements. No							
	stakeholder feedback was submitted. It is determined that the UoC has the							
Certificate Valid From:	20-May-23							
Certificate Valid Until:	19-May-26							



										Site inf	ormation										
	s included/to ita and map on si			submitted to AS	(7	Yes															
ASC Site ID	Site name	Sites Included in the Audit? (Yes/No)	Site Status at time of audit? (Certified/ In	Harvest Witnessed (Yes/No)?	Site Audit Start Date	Site Audit End Date	Total auditor- hours - Environmental <u>ON-SITE</u>	Total auditor- hours - Social <u>ON-SITE</u>	Total auditor- hours - all <u>OFF-</u> <u>SITE</u> activities	Holder	Primary culture species	Secondary species (select multiple species as	Production system (select multiple systems as	Latitude (N, S) (00.000000)*	Longitude (E,W) (00.000000)*	Production Cycle Status at time of current	in previous	Total volume (MT) planning to harvest in <u>current</u>	Bivalve/Abalon e: Volumes	GS1/GLN reference or ID	Note/ Other information
50001898	Gore	Yes	Initial Audit)	No	06-Feb-23	15-Feb-23	activities	activities 0.727272727272 727273	0.318181818	Site (Owned by CH)	Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar)	relevant) n/a	relevant) Cage, including net pen (seawater)	49.650000	-126.430000	Fallowing	calendar year:	colendar year:	Indicate in 3.18		
S0001899	Muchalat North	Yes	Certified	No	06-Feb-23	15-Feb-23	0.9090909090 909091	0.7272727272 727273		Site (Owned by CH)	Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar)		Cage, including net pen (seawater)	49.650000		On-growing (<75% biomass)					
S0001900	Williamson	Yes	Certified	No	06-Feb-23	15-Feb-23	909091	0.7272727272 727273		Site (Owned by CH)	Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar)		Cage, including net pen (seawater)	49.660000		On-growing (<75% biomass)					
S0001951	Atrevida Point	Yes	Certified	No	06-Feb-23	15-Feb-23	909091	0.72727272727272727272727272727272727272		Site (Owned by CH)	salmon (Salmo salar)		Cage, including net pen (seawater)	49.660000							
S0001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	Yes	Certified	No	06-Feb-23	15-Feb-23	0.90909090909090909090	0.7272727272		Site (Owned by CH)	Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) Atlantic		Cage, including net pen (seawater) Cage,	49.650000		Fallowing On-growing					
S0003357	Esperanza - Site	Yes	Certified	No	06-Feb-23	15-Feb-23	909091	727273		Site (Owned by CH)	salmon (Salmo salar) Atlantic		including net pen (seawater) Cage,	49.890000		(≥75% biomass) On-growing					
S0003359	Steamer - Site	Yes	Certified	No	06-Feb-23	15-Feb-23	909091	727273	0.318181818	Site (Owned by CH)	salmon (Salmo salar) Atlantic	n/a	including net pen (seawater) Cage, including net	50.610000	-126.360000	(≥75% biomass) On-growing					
S0003675	Noo-la	Yes	In Initial Audit	No	06-Feb-23	15-Feb-23	909091 0.9090909090 909091	727273 0.727272727272 727273		Site (Owned by CH) Site (Owned	salmon (Salmo salar) Atlantic salmon (Salmo		Cage, including net	50.600000	-126.350000	(<75% biomass) On-growing (<75%					
S0003676	Wa-kwa Tsa-ya	Yes	In Initial Audit	No	06-Feb-23 06-Feb-23	15-Feb-23 15-Feb-23		0.727272727272 727273	0.318181818	by CH) Site (Owned	salar) Atlantic salmon (Salmo	n/a	pen (seawater) Cage, including net	50.610000	-126.330000	biomass) On-growing (<75%					
50005239	Lutes	Yes	In Initial Audit	No	06-Feb-23	15-Feb-23	0.9090909090 909091	0.7272727272 727273	0.318181818	by CH) Site (Owned by CH)	salar) Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar)	n/a	Cage including net pen (freshwater)	49.890000	-126.770000	biomass) On-growing (≥75% biomass)					
										ву снј	salar)		(tresnwater)			biomass)					

|--|

Stakeholder Engagement												
Claiks data protection very winkouly, Personal data provided involution, the audit processis in our contraction or confliction. Claiks data provided involution, the main data provided involution, the audit processis in the provided involution, the data provided involution data and personal data												
L andreis to track processes in the provek (sec. track process and protection eds.) and protocol and and a participant and												
	vide stakeholder comments including CAB response, please use the confidential Arress in case of any confidential data not to be published.											
This table collects all the information relevant t For more information on ASC's Data Protection	Lable collectural the information information information to stabulations consultated and/or the audit process. Build process. Build and/or the audit process. Build and audits and audit and audits a											
Name of Company/ Organisation		Country where stakeholder is based	Stakeholder type	If stakeholder type "other" was selected what type?	Contact date stakeholder	Did the Stakeholder request an interview?	Did the stakeholder submit comments?	Brief summary of points raised by stakeholder	Date stakeholder submission was received	Date CAB responded to stakeholder	Actual changes made due to stakeholder comment?	Additional Notes
Mowachaht Muchalaht First Nation			First Nation		17-Jan-23							
Nootka Sound Watershed Society, West		Canada Canada			17-Jan-23 16-Jan-23		No				-	
Coast Marine Terminals		Canada	Conservation Group		16-Jan-23	NO	NO			1		
Tahsis Salmon Enhancement Society		Canada	Conservation Group		17-Jan-23	No	No					
Village of Gold River		Canada	Municipal Government		16-Jan-23		No					
Nootka Marina Adventures		Canada	Industry		17-Jan-23	No	No			1	-	
Westview Marine		Canada	Industry		16-Jan-23		No					
Cougar Creek Resort		Canada	Industry		16-Jan-23 16-Jan-23		No	1		1	1	
Moucha Bay Resort		Canada	Industry		16-Jan-23		No				-	
Air Nootka		Canada	Industry		16-Jan-23		No					
Nootka Sound Service - Nootka Sound Marine Freight		Canada	Industry		16-Jan-23	No	No					
Village of Tahsis		Canada	Municipal Government		16-Jan-23	No	No					
Village of Zeballos		Canada	Municipal Government		16-Jan-23	No	No					
Uu-a-thluk Fisheries/Regional Fisheries Biologist		Canada	First Nation representative		16-Jan-23	No	No					
Nuchatlaht Tribe		Canada	First Nation		16-Jan-23	No	No					
Ehattesaht Chinehkint		Canada	First Nation		16-Jan-23	No	No					
Tahsis Salmon Enhancement Society		Canada	Conservation Group		16-Jan-23	No	No					
Department of Fisheries and Oceans - Conuma River Hatchery		Canada	Conservation Group		16-Jan-23	No	No					
Badinotti Net Services Canada Ltd		Canada	Contractor		16-Jan-23	No	No					
Alloen Diving		Canada	Contractor		16-Jan-23	No	No					
Mike Buttle Water Taxi		Canada	Contractor		16-Jan-23		No					
Tlowitsis First Nation		Canada	First Nation		16-Jan-23	No	No					
Regional District of Mount Waddington Area A		Canada	Regional Government		16-Jan-23	No	No					
Grieg Seafood Sales North America Inc.		Canada	Industry		16-Jan-23		No					
Living Oceans		Canada	NGO - Environmental area		16-Jan-23		No					
			l				l					
									_		-	
						-					-	
									-	1		
									-			
									-		-	



Audit Report: Multi-site or Group

Certificate Holder	Grieg Seafood BC Ltd.	Standard	Salmon v1.4				
UoC Name	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	Country	Canada				
CAR version	CARv2.2	САВ	SGSNL				
Certification Type	Multi-Site option 2 - With Internal Management System (IMS)	Audit Type	Scope extension				
Indicator	Indicator/ Requirement Text	Evaluation	Audit Findings & Evidence				
1.1	The multi-site client shall be a legal entity.	Compliant	Grieg Seafood BC Ltd. is registered with the Canada Revenue Agency with GST # 13887 6479 RT0001.				
1.2	The multi-site client shall have a legally binding link(i.e. direct ownership, or contract) with all sites within the UoC .	Compliant	Grieg Seafood BC Ltd. owns all the sites in the UoC				
1.3	The multi-site client shall be responsible for the oversight and implementation of the organisation's internal management system	Compliant	Grieg Seafood BC is responsible for the oversight and implementation of the organisation's internal management system.				
1.4	All sites in the UoC shall: a) Operate within the same jurisdiction or within neighbouring jurisdictions that share relevant common regulations; b) Have the same or similar production system; c) Handle the same species, and this species shall be under the scope of an applicable ASC Farm Standard.	Compliant	All sites operate under the requirements of the federal Aquaculture Activities Regulations are sites are located in the same jusridiction operate the condtions of their Marine Finfish Aquaculture Licences under the Fisheries Act. All sites grow Atlantic salmon in marine waters, in square metal cages or polar circles.				
1.5	Subcontracted farms may be included in the unit of certification if all the following apply: If the ASC farm standard being audited to contains indicator(s) for contract farming, the below requirements (1.5.1 - 1.5.6) shall not apply.	N/A	N/A. All sites are wholly owned by Grieg Seafood BC				
1.5.1	All of the operations of the farm are subject to the same procedures as the rest of the unit of certification.	N/A	N/A. All sites are wholly owned by Grieg Seafood BC				
1.5.2	The product produced by the subcontractor is owned by the certificate holder.	N/A	N/A. All sites are wholly owned by Grieg Seafood BC				
1.5.3	The central office has the same oversight and right to control over the operations of subcontractors as it has for the client's own operations.	N/A	N/A. All sites are wholly owned by Grieg Seafood BC				
1.5.4	All of the operations of the subcontracted farms shall be included in the multi-site certificate.	N/A	N/A. All sites are wholly owned by Grieg Seafood BC				
1.5.5	The contract shall be transparent, mutually accepted by both parties and include the above provisions (1.5.1-1.5.4).	N/A	N/A. All sites are wholly owned by Grieg Seafood BC				
1.5.6	Contract farming arrangements with subcontracted farms should follow the FAO "Guiding principles for responsible contract farming operations".	N/A	N/A. All sites are wholly owned by Grieg Seafood BC				
1.6	The multi-site client shall have a central office that is responsible for the management of and conformity to ASC requirements for the UoC.	Compliant	Grieg's central office is located in Campbell River, BC. The management of and conformity to ASC requirements is the responsibility of the Environmental and Regulatory Responsibility Manager				
	The central office shall also:						
1.6.1	Have the authority to require compliance of all sites and operations included in the unit of certification.	Compliant	The Environmental and Regulatory Responsibility Manager has authority over all sites for the effective implimentation of the ASC Standard				
1.6.2	Demonstrate its ability to collect and analyse data from all sites, operations and the central office included, in the unit of certification including: A. Data required to demonstrate conformity with ASC requirements, and B. Implementation of corrective and preventive actions.	Compliant	The Fish Talk records include, feeding and environmental records for each farm site and every pen. DATs (Digital Actions Tracking System) Records have the employee training, unexplained loss, wildlife mortalities, accident investigations and corrective actions, internal audits etc.				
1.6.3	Demonstrate its ability to implement organisational change if required.	Compliant	The authority to implement organizational change is expressed in the GSE Standard - Program Administration and GSE Standard - Docmuentation & Control. (GSE = Grieg Seafood Excellence Standard)				
1.6.4	Notify the CAB of any non-conformities against applicable local regulations that are relevant to the ASC scope of certification within three (3) days of detection.	Compliant	"The Certification Manager must notify the ASC CAB of any non-compliances against applicable local regulations that are relevant to the ASC scope of certification within three (3) days of detection." is stipulated in the GSE Standard - Inspection & Monitoring				
	Internal Audit						
1.7	The Multisite client shall conduct an internal audit of the IMS against the applicable ASC CAR requirements on each site and against the applicable ASC standard at least annually. For initial audits, the internal audits shall be completed before the external audit by the CAB.	Compliant	Internal audit reports were provided to the auditor for the 11 farms in the group audit. Audits were completed for all 11 sites between January and August, 2022.				
1.7.1	The outcome of the internal audit shall demonstrate conformity against the ASC multi-site requirements and the applicable ASC Farm Standard Requirements.	Compliant	Internal audit reports demonstrate conformity with ASC multi-site requirements and the ASC Salmon Standard. Dats records contain the NC's, root cause and corrective actions and confirm the actions have been completed				



1.7.2	Some social requirements may be excluded from internal audits to maintain worker confidentiality, if requirements are excluded justifications shall be recorded.	Compliant	The exclusion of Indicators 6.4.2 (incidents of discrimination), 6.6.1 (wages), 6.8.2 (grievances) and 6.9.1 (disciplinary actions) are justified in the ASC Internal Audit – Final Report (May 2022).
1.7.2.1	Social indicator exclusion justifications shall have a valid and acceptable rationale.	Compliant	The Exclusions of indicators 6.4.2, 6.6.1, 6.8.2 and 6.9.1 is based on requiring the auditor(s) to view confidential information concerning co-workers.
1.8	The multi-site client shall conform to the following documented procedures:		
1.8.1	Document control procedure.	Compliant	GSE Standard - Document Management & Control (v2, October 2019) contains the document control protocol.
1.8.2	Record keeping and retention procedure.	Compliant	The GSE Standard - Document Management & Control (v2, October 2019)contains the record-keeping and retention procedure.
1.8.3	Procedure for managing changes to ASC requirements.	Compliant	GSE Standard - Document Management & Control (v2, October 2019) contains the procedure for managing changes to ASC requirements.
1.8.4	Procedure for conducting annual management reviews.	Compliant	The GSE Standard - Inspection & Monitoring (v1, May 2019 update Aug 2019) contains the procedure for conducting annual management reviews.
1.8.5	Procedure for managing complaints submitted to management by stakeholders and staff members as per specified in the	Compliant	The External Complaints Resolution Policy (June 2019) contains the procedure for managing complaints.
1.8.6	Procedure for the evaluation and implementation of corrective and preventive actions.	Compliant	The GSE Standard - Prog Administration (September 2021) contains the procedure for the evaluation and implementation of corrective actions, records of corrective actions are available in DATS.
1.8.7	Procedure for conducting root cause analyses for nonconformities, and for addressing identified root causes.	Compliant	The training module Incident Investigation for Supervisors is mandatory for management and supervisory personnel and covers root cause analysis. Training records, investigations, root cause determinations and corrective actions are available in DATS.
1.8.8	Procedures to ensure compliance with legal requirements.	Compliant	The GSE Standard - Regulatory & Compliance and GSE Standard - Program Administration, contain the procedures to ensure compliance with legal requirements.
1.8.9	Procedures for conducting an annual internal audit, covering ASC requirements.	Compliant	The GSE Standard - Inspection & Monitoring (v1, May 2019 update Aug 2019) contain the procedures for conducting annual internal audits to meet ASC requirements.
1.8.10	Procedures for planning for and evaluation of the results of internal audits.	Compliant	The GSE Standard - Inspection & Monitoring (v1, May 2019 update Aug 2019) contain the procedures for planning and evaluating internal audits re ASC requirements.
1.8.11	Procedures for the scheduled reporting of performance of management systems and sites.	Compliant	The GSE Standard - Inspection & Monitoring (v1, May 2019 update Aug 2019) contains procedures for scheduled reporting and review of management systems, Section titled Management Review Meetings.
1.8.12	Procedures for identifying and segregating all products within each site, among sites within the unit of certification, and products that are not included in the unit of certification.	Compliant	SOP Pre-harvest, Seining and Harvest contains procedures for the identification an segregation of products.
	The procedures shall describe:		
1.8.12.1	How certified products are identified and segregated to prevent mixing with non-certified before the start of the MSC/ASC certified chain of custody.	Compliant	When fish are harvested they will only be from ASC certified farms or non-certified farms, never a mix. Once at Browns Bay the entire shipment is processed at one time with a clear break both before and after processing. This to ensure only product associated with that lot are processed, cased up and labelled with that lot
1.8.12.2	The conditions under which products must be segregated, and measure to prevent mixing directly or indirectly.	Compliant	SOP Pre-harvest, Seining and Harvest lists the situations under which products mu be segregated.
1.8.13	The procedures and associated records shall allow products to be traced back from the start of the MSC/ASC certified chain of custody back to the production unit (i.e. cage/net/pen/pond/tank/ raceway).	Compliant	Site Managers are responsible for the ensuring that traceability data is entered to FishTalk on a daily basis. Farm site traceability data is transferred to Fishtalk which enables everyone with access to fishtalk the ability to review the traceability information. Site Managers are responsible for the ensuring data is available and accurate. Fishtalk reports provide summary of of product history including smolt and feed sources, chemicals used, transporters, etc. Various tracebility requirements are also defined in the GSE Standards.





			Sumn	narv of Multi	-site or Group Non-conformitie	s (NCs)															
Contificato	i oldor	Grieg Seafood BC Ltd.																			
Certificate H UoC Name	lotoer	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	Audit Start Date	06-Feb-23		NC Type	TOTALS	1													
Country		Canada	Audit End Date	15-Feb-23		Major															
Country Standard		Salmon v1.4	Standard version	v1.4		Mingr		-													
Certification	Type	Multi-site with IMS	Standard version CAR	v1.4 CARv2.2		Total		-													
Audit Type		Scope extension	CAB	SGSNL		littai															
NC Code (CAB)	Indicator		Requirement	NC Grade	Non- conformity (NC) Details	Justification of NC Grade	NC Detection Date	Deadline for NC Close-out	Correction	Root Cause Analysis	Corrective Action	Evidence Provided (document, photograph, visit/other)	Auditor Approval of CAP and Evidence?	Extended Deadline for NC Close-out (if applicable)	Reason for extension NC close- out (if applicable)	NC status	Date of NC Closure	VR submitted (Give VR Number)	Status of submitted VR	VR used (Give VR Number)	Notes
				_																	
								-													
				-																	
																				+	
								1													
				-																	
							1	1					1			1					
																				+	
								1													
		1			1		1	1	1				1	1	1	1					



			Indicator/ Requirement Text			
Site ID	Site Name	Indicator		Footnotes & Criterion	Evaluation	Audit Findings & Evidence
50001898	Gore	1.1.1	Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with local and national regulations and requirements on land and water use Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	Digital copies of applicable land and water use laws are available, and the following documents were presented: 1 Finfish Aquaculture Licence AQFF 129810 issued by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), expiring June 30, 2024; 2) Licence of Cocupation re Land File No. 1411100 was shown as issued May 15 2012, (20 yr. term) Status Active on government online system, GATOR (General Access to Online Requests). 3) Campbell River Business Licence 100545, Issued March 15 2022 exp Feb 27 2023 DFO auditing and enforcement activities confirm GPS co-ordinates, lice monitoring records, FHMP compliance, benthic surveys and site debris. The farm is not located in any national preservation areas.
50001899	Muchalat North	1.1.1	Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with local and national regulations and requirements on land and water use Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	Digital copies of applicable land and water use laws are available, and the following documents were presented: 1) Finish Aquaculture Licence AQFF 129815 issued by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), expiring June 30, 2024; 2) Licence of Occupation re Land File No. 1411168 was shown as issued April 1, 2013, (20 yr. term) Status Active on government online system, GATOR (General Access to Online Requests). 3) Campbell River Business Licence 100545, Issued March 15 2022 exp Feb 27 2023 DFO auditing and enforcement activities confirm GPS co-ordinates, Lice monitoring records, FHMP compliance, benthic surveys and site debris. The farm is not located in any national preservation areas.
\$0001900	Williamson	1.1.1	Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with local and national regulations and requirements on land and water use Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	Digital copies of applicable land and water use laws are available, and the following documents were presented: 1) Finish Aquaculture Licence AQFF 129813 issued by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), expiring June 30, 2024; 2) Licence of Occupation re Land File No. 1411068 was shown as issued May 18,2010, (10 yr. term) now operating on a Month-to-Month hasis as per Licence of Occupation. Site Status Active on government online system, GATOR (General Access to Online Requests). 3) Campbell River Business Licence 100545, Issued March 15 2022 exp Feb 27 2023 DFO auditing and enforcement activities confirm GPS co-ordinates, lice monitoring records, FHMP compliance, benthic surveys and site debris. The farm is not located in any national preservation areas.
50001951	Atrevida Point	1.1.1	Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with local and national regulations and requirements on land and water use Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	Digital copies of applicable land and water use laws are available, and the following documents were presented: 1) Finfbh Aquaculture Licence AQFF 129811 issued by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), expiring June 30, 2024; 2) Licence of Occupation re Land File No. 1411084 was shown as issued April 29 2010, (10 yr. term) now operating on a Month-to-Month basis as per Licence of Occupation. Site Status Active on government online system, GATOR (General Access to Online Request). 3) Campbell River Business Licence 100545, Issued March 15 2022 exp Feb 27 2023 DFO auditing and enforcement activities confirm GPS co-ordinates, Lice monitoring records, FHMP compliance, benthic surveys and site debris. The farm is not located in any national preservation areas.
50001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	1.1.1	Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with local and national regulations and requirements on land and water use Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local anatonal legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	Digital copies of applicable land and water use laws are available, and the following documents were presented: 1) Finfbi Aquiculture Licence AQEF 129753 issued by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), expiring June 30, 2024; 2) Licence Of Occupation re Land File No. 1405634 was shown as issued May 15 2012, (20 yr. term) Status Active on government online system, GATOR (General Access to Online Requests). 3) Campbell River Business Licence 100545, Issued March 15 2022 exp feb 27 2023 DFO auditing and enforcement activities confirm GPS co-ordinates, lice monitoring records, FHMP compliance, benthic surveys and site debris. The farm is not located in any national preservation areas.
S0003357	Esperanza - Site	1.1.1	Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with local and national regulations and requirements on land and water use Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	Digital copies of applicable land and water use laws are available, and the following documents were presented: 1 Finlish Aquaculture Licence AQFF 129794 Issued by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), expiring June 30, 2024; 2 Licence of Occupation re Land File No. 1411100 was shown as issued May 1 2006, (20 yr. term) Status Active on government online system, GATOR (General Access to Online Request). 3) Campbell Hiver Business Licence 100545, Issued March 15 2022 exp Feb 27 2023 DFO auditing and enforcement activities confirm GPS co-ordinates, lice monitoring records, FHMP compliance, benthic surveys and site debris. The farm is not located in any national preservation areas.
S0003359	Steamer - Site	1.1.1	Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with local and national regulations and requirements on land and water use Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	Digital copies of applicable land and water use laws are available, and the following documents were presented: 1) Finfish Aquaculture Licence AQFF 129791 issued by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), expiring June 30, 2024; 2) Licence of Occupation re Land File No. 1404969 was shown as issued May 1 2010, (5 yr. term) now operating on a Month-to-Month basis as per Licence of Occupation. Site Status Active on government online system, GATOR (General Access to Online Requests). 3) Campbell River Business Licence 100545, Issued March 15 2022 exp Feb 27 2023 DFO auditing and enforcement activities confirm GFS co-ordinates, lice monitoring records, FHMP compliance, benthic surveys and site debris. The farm is not located in any national preservation areas.
\$0003675	Noo-la	1.1.1	Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with local and national regulations and requirements on land and water use Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	Digital copies of applicable land and water use laws are available, and the following documents were presented: 1) Finfish Aquaculture Licence AQFF 129754 issued by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), expiring June 30, 2024; 2) Licence of Occupation re Land File No. 1411154 was shown as issued Sept 20 2022, (20 yr. term) Status Active on government online system, GATOR (General Access to Online Requests). 3) Campbell Hver Business Licence 100545, Issued March 15 2022 exp Feb 27 2023 DFO auditing and enforcement activities confirm GPS co-ordinates, lice monitoring records, FHMP compliance, benthic surveys and site debris. The farm is not located in any national preservation areas.
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	1.1.1	national regulations and requirements on land and water use Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	Digital copies of applicable land and water use laws are available, and the following documents were presented: 1) Finish Aquaculture Licence AQFF 129752 issued by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), expiring June 30, 2024; 2) Licence of Cocupation re Land File No. 1411170 was shown as issued Aug 1 2015, (20 yr. term) Status Active on government online system, GATOR (General Access to Online Request). 3) Campbell Hiver Business Licence 100545, Issued March 15 2022 expc Feb 27 2023 DFO auditing and enforcement activities confirm GPS co-ordinates, lice monitoring records, FHMP compliance, benthic surveys and site debris. The farm is not located in any national preservation areas.
S0003677	Tsa-ya	1.1.1	Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with local and national regulations and requirements on land and water use Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	Digital copies of applicable land and water use laws are available, and the following documents were presented: 1) Finish Aquaculture Licence AQFF 129852 issued by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), expiring June 30, 2024; 2) Licence of Cocupation re Land File No. 1411171 was shown as issued June 1 2016, (20 yr. term) Status Active on government online system, GATOR (General Access to Online Requests). 3) Campbell River Business Licence 100545, Issued March 15 2022 exp Feb 27 2023 DFO auditing and enforcement activities confirm GPS co-ordinates, lice monitoring records, FHMP compliance, benthic surveys and site debris. The farm is not located in any national preservation areas.



50005239 Lutes 1.1.1 halonal regulations and regulations and regulations and regulations and regulations and regulations and regulations requirements and reg	J and water use laws are available, and the following documents were AQFF 129792 issued by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), nd File No. 1404968 was shown as issued Aug 20, 2020, (terminates on Feb 17 ment online system, GATOR (General Access to Online Requests). nene 100545, issued March 15 2022 gers Feb 27 2023
S0001898 Gore 1.1.2 Requirement: Yes applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Canada Revenue Agency letter of Columbia under incorporation of Compliant annually 100545, Exp. Feb 27, 2 Applicability: All Applicability: All Compliant annually 100545, Exp. Feb 27, 2	activities confirm GPS co-ordinates, lice monitoring records, FHMP d site debris. The farm is not located in any national preservation areas.
	ompany operating under tax number GST 13887 6479 RT0001, confirmed in of Jan 18, 2018. The company is also incorporated in the Province of British # BC1147456. The City of Campbell River Business License is renewed 2023 22 Property Tax Notice – Account Number RPT-1070-6946 (BC Ministry of ce # 801620 (BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resources).
S0001899 Muchalat North 1.1.2 Requirement: Yes Requiremen	ompany operating under tax number GST 13887 6479 RT0001, confirmed in of Jan 18, 2018. The company is also incorporated in the Province of British B EC1147456. The City of Campbell River Business License is renewed 2023 site: (1) 2022 Property Tax Notice – Account Number RPT-1080-8120 (BC Land Invoice # 800539 (BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resources).
S001900 Williamson 1.1.2 Requirement: Yes Requirement: Yes Requirements and regulations requirements and regulations Canada Revenue Agency letter Columbia under Incorporation A Canada Revenue Agency letter Columbia under Incorporation A Complexit and anticorporation A Complexit and anticorporation A Specific to the Williamson site:	ompany operating under tax number GST 13887 6479 RT0001, confirmed in of Jan 18, 2018. The company is also incorporated in the Province of British # BC1147456. The City of Campbell River Business License is renewed 2023 (J) 2022 Property Tax Notice – Account Number RPT-1098-1330 (BC Ministry orice # 418735 (BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resources).
S0001951 Arrevida Point 1.1.2 Requirement: Yes Requirement: Yes Requirements and regulations requirements and regulations are constructed are	ompany operating under tax number GST 13887 6479 RT0001, confirmed in of Jan 18, 2018. The company is also incorporated in the Province of British B EC1147456. The City of Campbell River Business License is renewed 2023 Lice (1) 2022 Property Tax Notice – Account Number RPT-1095-4742 (BC Land Invoice # 417711 (BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resources).
S0001952 Conception Pt. Bigh Island 1.1.2 Requirement: Yes Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Requirements and regulations Canada Revenue Agency letter requirements and regulations Compared to Canada Revenue Agency letter requirements and regulations Compared to Compar	ompany operating under tax number GST 13887 6479 RT0001, confirmed in of Jan 18, 2018. The company is also incorporated in the Province of British B EC1147456. The City of Campbell River Business License is renewed 2023 Site: (1) 2022 Property Tax Notice – Account Number RPT-1113-2370 (BC Land Invoice # 802127 (BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resources).
S0003357 Esperanza - Site 1.1.2 Requirement: Yes Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Applicability: All	ompany operating under tax number GST 13887 6479 RT0001, confirmed in of Jan 18, 2018. The company is also incorporated in the Province of British B EC1147456. The City of Campbell River Business License is renewed 2023 (1) 2022 Property Tax Notice – Account Number RPT-1096-6665 BCM Ministry voice # 801620 (BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resources).
S0003359 Steamer - Site 1.1.2 Requirement: Yes requirements and regulations requirements and regulations requirements and regulations requirements and regulations annually 100545, Exp. Feb 27, 2 Specific to the Steame site: (1)	ompany operating under tax number GST 13887 6479 RT0001, confirmed in of Jan 18, 2018. The company is also incorporated in the Province of British B EC1147456. The City of Campbell River Business License is renewed 2023 2022 Property Tax Notice – Account Number RPT-1074-2377 (BC Ministry of ce # 417712 (BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resources).
S003675 Noc-la 1.1.2 Requirement: Yes requirements and regulations reference reference requirements and regulations reference requirements and regulations reference reference requirements reference requirements reference requirements reference refe	ompany operating under tax number GST 13887 6479 RT0001, confirmed in of Jan 18, 2018. The company is also incorporated in the Province of British B & C1147456. The City of Campbell River Business License is renewed 2023 2022 Property Tax Notice – Account Number RPT-1057-3840 (BC Ministry of Ia Tenure 1411154, Renewal, Payment Oct 5, 2022 (BC Ministry of Forests,
S0003676 Wa-kwa 1.1.2 Requirement: Yes requirements and regulations Columbia under Incorporation I columbia under Incorporation I columbia under Incorporation I compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Society (Columbia under Incorporation I columbia under Incorporation I columbia under Incorporation I compliant) Society (Columbia under Incorporation I columbia under Incorporation I compliant) Society (Columbia under Incorporation I columbia under Incorporation I compliant) Society (Columbia under Incorporation I columbia under Incorporation I compliant) Society (Columbia under Incorporation I columbia under Incorporation I compliant) Society (Columbia under Incorporation I columbia under Incorporation I compliant) Society (Columbia under Incorporation I columbia under Incorporation I compliant) Society (Columbia under Incorporation I columbia under Incorporation I columbia under Incorporation I compliant) Society (Columbia under Incorporation I columbia under Incorporatio under Incorporation I columbia under Incorporation I columbia und) 2022 Property Tax Notice – Account Number RPT-1072-3373 (BC Ministry of ce # 808948 (BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resources).
S003677 Tsa-ya 1.1.2 Requirement: Yes requirements and regulations annually 100545, Exp. Feb 27, 2 Specific to the Tsa-ya site: (1) 2 Specific to	ompany operating under tax number GST 13887 6479 RT0001, confirmed in of Jan 18, 2013. The company is also incorporated in the Province of British # BC1147456. The City of Campbell River Business License is renewed 2023 2022 Property Tax Notice – Account Number RPT-1094-7778 (BC Ministry of ce # 804894 (BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resources).
S0005239 Lutes 1.1.2 Applicability: All Applicability: All Applicability: All Canada Revenue Agency letter of Columbia under incorporation of annually 100545, Exp. Feb 27, 2 specific to the Lutes site: (1) 20	ompany operating under tax number GST 13887 6479 RT0001, confirmed in of Jan 18, 2018. The company is also incorporated in the Province of British # BC1147456. The City of Campbell River Business License is renewed 2023 2022 Property Tax Notice – Account Number RPT-1101-3049 (BC Ministry of ce # 808298 (BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resources).
S0001898 Gove 11.3 Instance of the second se	h Farm Employee Fact Sheet was observed posted on at all Grieg Facilities is how to find, online, the most current version of the Act. The B.C. served, details current minimum wages and Freedom of Association rights for e in B.C. is 515.65 per hour since June 1, 2022. Interviews with managment, riewed and Company Handbook indicated that Grieg lowest pay rate is 518.00 ved. The company demonstrated compliance with National and Local Laws lew in the Headquarters office.
S001999 Muchalat North 1.1.3 national and local labour laws and regulations applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations compliant Applicability: All Compliant Complication Complication Complication Complia	e as Gore site applies to this site.
S0001900 Williamson 1.1.3 Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with all relevant antional and local labour laws and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements: and regulations Same audit findings & evidence compliant Applicability: All Applicability: All Applicability: All	e as Gore site applies to this site.
	e as Gore site applies to this site.



			Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with all relevant	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			national and local labour laws and regulations	applicable local and national legal		······································
S0001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	1.1.3	Requirement: Yes	requirements and regulations	Compliant	
			Analisability All			
			Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with all relevant	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			national and local labour laws and regulations	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations		
S0003357	Esperanza - Site	1.1.3	Requirement: Yes	requirements and regulations	Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with all relevant	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			national and local labour laws and regulations	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations		
S0003359	Steamer - Site	1.1.3	Requirement: Yes	requirements and regalitations	Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with all relevant			Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			national and local labour laws and regulations	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations		
S0003675	Noo-la	1.1.3	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with all relevant	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	1.1.3	national and local labour laws and regulations	requirements and regulations	Compliant	
50003676	wa-кwa	1.1.3	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with all relevant national and local labour laws and regulations	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0003677	Tsa-ya	1.1.3		requirements and regulations	Compliant	
50005077	130 90		Requirement: Yes		compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with all relevant national and local labour laws and regulations	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0005239	Lutes	1.1.3		requirements and regulations	Compliant	
			Requirement: Yes			
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts	applicable local and national legal		The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements,
				requirements and regulations		sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the sulphides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried
\$0001898	Gore	1.1.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Gore Operational Monitoring Report (May 11, 2022), prepared
			Applicability: All			by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (June 13th, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries.
						site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 or this thetkilst concerns discharges for the natchenes.
			Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal		The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements,
				requirements and regulations		sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided
\$0001899	Muchalat North	1.1.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	to DFO, and in cases where the sulphides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Muchalat North Operational Monitoring Report (JUNE 17,
			Applicability: All			2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (July 5, 2022)
						indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges
						for the hatcheries.
			Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all		
			Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts	applicable local and national legal		The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements,
			and permits concerning water quality impacts			The farm site does not fail under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided
\$0001900	Williamson	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes	applicable local and national legal	Compliant	The farm site does not fail under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the sulphides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022).
50001900	Williamson	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts	applicable local and national legal	Compliant	The farm site does not fail under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022), prepared by Mainteram Biological Consiliting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating the subsequent of the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating the subsequent of the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating test test and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating test and test and te
\$0001900	Williamson	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes	applicable local and national legal	Compliant	The farm site does not fail under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the sulphides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022).
50001900	Williamson	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplicide stat does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the
\$0001900	Williamson	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplieds data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicated that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries.
S0001900	Williamson	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do
S0001900 S0001951		1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplides data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries.
			and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal		The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring that is provided to DFO, and in cases where the subplides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022), prepared by Mainteram Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the sulphides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried to prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMER 23, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (November 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements fourther testing must be carried to prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (November 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for the subsequent DFO letter (November 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Sections 36 this checklist concerns discharges
			and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal		The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Dperational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (November 4, 2022).
			and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all		The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around he farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (Neugust De carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), inclusing that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Discupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture becaried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (November 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do
			and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal		The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet POF requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) repeared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), propared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Nuevenber 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for the result concern discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence of set show monitoring requirements, set and the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries.
50001951	Atrevida Point Conception Pt.	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet POF requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 27, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries.
	Atrevida Point		and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal		The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the subplieds data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Network 19, 2022 indicating to DFO, and in cases where the subpliced by the subsequent DFO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating to DFO, and in cases where the subpliced by the subsequent DFO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating to DFO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried to DFO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried to DFO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried to the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence os have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data to provided to DFO, and in cases where the subplied stat does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried to prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Active biomass. Monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitorid at peak biomass. Monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitori
50001951	Atrevida Point Conception Pt.	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal	Compliant	The farm site does not fail under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring that is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplides data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for the restorements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022), that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fail under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the buildoigcial Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (Nuexent 51, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (Nuexent 51, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (Nuexent 54, 2023), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (Nuexent 54, 2023), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (Nuexent 54, 2023), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (Nuexent 54, 2023), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent 50 restocking, setting must be carried to DFO, and in cases where the suphyloide stata does meet DFO requirements further testing must
50001951	Atrevida Point Conception Pt.	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subjindes data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) repeared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subjindies data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), incleated by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Nuesupet A 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subjindies data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Conception PL Operational Monitoring Report (UNE 23, 2022), prepared by Mai
50001951	Atrevida Point Conception Pt.	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal context of the second seco	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplieds data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) indications and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplieds data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Dperational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), incleared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Nuesupet DPM) Exerc (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), incleared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Nuesupet A) indicating that the site meets the requirements for the subclicat concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the sulphides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Conception PL. Operational Monitoring Report (JUME 23, 2022), appeared by Mainstream Biological Consulting,
50001951 50001952	Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bilgh Island	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all	Compliant	The farm site does not fail under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring that is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplieds data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fail under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the sublidies data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (November 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fail under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subjedies data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Conception PL. Operational Monitoring Report (JUNE 23, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consuiting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that
50001951	Atrevida Point Conception Pt.	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal context of the second seco	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplieds data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) indicators and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplieds data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), incleated by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Nuexee) the hartcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring Report (USETEMBER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Nuexee) to PPO, and in cases where the subplieds stat does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Conception PL. Operational Monitoring Report (UME 23, 2022), 1022, 1022, 1022, 1022, 1022, 1022, 1022, 1022, 1022, 1022, 1022, 1022, 1022,
50001951 50001952	Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bilgh Island	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal context of the second seco	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplides data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) indications and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplieds data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Nuevenber 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for the resting must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Nuevenber 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for the restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitor
50001951 50001952	Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bilgh Island	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the subpliced stat adoes meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (August 29, 022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Deprational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (Neuest 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the subpliced stata does meet DFO requirements for the tackeries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring data is provided to DFO, and
50001951 50001952	Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bilgh Island	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring in testing must be carried to DFO, and in cases where the suphides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) indications the subplication of the subsequent DFO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the subplides data does meet DFO requirements for the subsequent DFO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating duri prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrivia Point Operational Monitoring Report (SPFTEMER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (November 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, adiments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the subplides data does meet DFO requirements Monitoring requirements, adiments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Conception P.O preational Monintoring Report (UIME 23, 2022), pr
50001951 50001952	Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bilgh Island	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) indications testing must be accounted in the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), propared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Nuevenber 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for the testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), propared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Nuevenber 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for the testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Conception PL Operational Monitoring Report (UNE 23, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Mugust 29, 2022) and carrieguire awater quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments benea
50001951 50001952	Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bilgh Island Esperanza - Site	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Ucence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplieds data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) indications and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplieds data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Dperational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), incleated by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Neusenber 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for the testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Dperational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), repraeted by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Neusenber 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for the subsidiest concerns discharges for the harcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the subplides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Experanza Site Operational
50001951 50001952 50003357	Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bilgh Island Esperanza - Site	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant Compliant Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring in data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the suphides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Discupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the subplides data does meet DFO requirements for there tasting must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrived Point Operational Monitoring Report (SPFTEMRER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (November 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, action prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Conception PL. Operational Monitoring Report (UIME 23, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries.
50001951 50001952 50003357	Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bilgh Island Esperanza - Site	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant Compliant Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplieds data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) indicatorsem Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 27, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring Reapt (SEPTMBER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Nugust D) indicating that the site meets the requirements for the resting must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTMBER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (Nugust D) for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplieds data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Conception Pt. Operational Monitoring Report (June 74, 2022) repared by Mainstream Biological Consuiting, and the subsequent DPO letter
50001951 50001952 50003357	Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bilgh Island Esperanza - Site	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of docume	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant Compliant Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplides data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) indications and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplieds data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstram Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (November 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for the restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the sulphides data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Conception Pt. Operational Monitoring Report (UME 23, 2022), indicating requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this
50001951 50001952 50003357	Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bilgh Island Esperanza - Site	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with	Compliant Compliant Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the sulphides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Deprational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (Neusen 24, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, adiments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the sulphides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried to prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Conception PL. Operational Monitoring Report (LIME 23, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (Magust 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns dis
\$0001951 \$0001952 \$0003357 \$0003359	Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bilgh Island Esperanza - Site Steamer - Site	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of docume	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the sulphides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the sulphides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (November 4, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the sulphides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried to duritor to restocking. Grieg presented the Conception PL Operational Monitoring Report (UIME 23, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that
50001951 50001952 50003357	Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bilgh Island Esperanza - Site Steamer - Site	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with	Compliant Compliant Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subpliced stat does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for the testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplieds data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 25, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (November 4, 2022), indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the suppliced stat does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Conception PL Operational Monitoring Report (JUME 23, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biologica
\$0001951 \$0001952 \$0003357 \$0003359	Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bilgh Island Esperanza - Site Steamer - Site	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes	applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements Criterion 1.1 Compliance with	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplides data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Williamson Operational Monitoring Report (AUGUST 27, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence of Uccupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DPO, and in cases where the subplides data does meet DPO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Atrevida Point Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 22, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (November 4, 2022), indicating that the site meets the requirements for the testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Conception PL. Operational Monitoring Report (UME 23, 2022), indicating that the site meets the requirements for the testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Conception PL. Operational Monitoring Report (UME 23, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DPO letter (August 29, 2022) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries. The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence do



\$0003676	Wa-kwa	1.1.4	and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to E/O, and in cases where the supplicited at the supersent of the testing must be carried out prior to restocking. Grieg presented the Wa-kwa Operational Monitoring Report (SEPTEMBER 7, 2021), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (October 12, 2021) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries.
\$0003677	Tsa-ya	1.1.4	Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require awater qualky impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the sulphides data does meet DO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restoring. Greing presented the Tsaya Operational Monitoring Report (Dottober 29, 2021), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting, and the subsequent DFO letter (November 2, 2021) indicating that the site meets the requirements for restocking. Section 8 of this checklist concerns discharges for the hatcheries.
S0005239	Lutes	1.1.4	Indicator: Presence of documents demonstrating compliance with regulations and permits concerning water quality impacts Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 1.1 Compliance with all applicable local and national legal requirements and regulations	Compliant	The farm site does not fall under any discharge laws. The Aquaculture Licence or Licence of Occupation do not require a water quality impacts permit. The Aquaculture Licence does have monitoring requirements, sediments beneath and around the farm must be monitored at peak biomass. Monitoring data is provided to DFO, and in cases where the sulphides data does meet DFO requirements further testing must be carried out prior to restocking. This is the first stocking for this site.
50001898	Gore	2.1.1	Approximity. Au Indiator: Redox potential or (5) sulphide levels in sediment outside of the Allowable Zone of Effect (AZE), following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: Redox potential > 0 mV or Sulphide ≤ 1,500 μMol/L Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under criterion 2.1.8e Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (5) Farm sites can choose whether to use redox or sulphide. Farms do not have to demonstrate that they meet both.	Compliant	This Site is fallowing during this audit, stocking will happen later this spring. Mainstream Biological Consulting completed the FINFSH AQUACULTURE STE, AQUACULTURE STEWARDSHP COUNCIL REPORT for GORE ISLAND, NOOTKA SOUND, BC. Survey conducted 11 &12 May 2022, report dated 1 June 2022. Sediment was collected and the data analyzed according to the Aquaculture Activities Regulations (AAR) and adapted to address Criterion 2.1 and 5.2 of the Aquaculture Stewardship Council (ASC) Salmon Standard 1.3. The mean subplide level in sediment collected at the stations outside AZE on Transects A, B and C (87.6 JuN, 71.5 JuN and 120 JuN repsectively) on May 11, 2022, were less than the ASC Salmon Standard subplide upper limit of 1500 µM. These results have been submitted to ASC
50001899	Muchalat North	2.1.1	Indicator: Redox potential or (5) sulphide levels in sediment outside of the Allowable Zone of Effect (AZE), following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: Redox potential > 0 mV or Sulphide 5 1,500 µMol/L Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1 see Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (5) Farm sites can choose whether to use redox or sulphide. Farms do not have to demonstrate that they meet both.	Compliant	Mainstream Biological Consulting completed the FINFISH AQUACULTURE SITE, AQUACULTURE STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL REPORT for MUCHALAT NORTH, MUCHALAT INLET, BC. Survey conducted 1 March 2022, report dated 1 June 2022. Sediment vasa collected and the data analyzed according to the Aquaculture Activities Regulations (AAR) and adapted to address Criterion 2.1 and 5.2 of the Aquaculture Stewardship Council (ASC) Salmon Standard 1.3. Individual sediment sampling stations were located along one transect (Transect C). The station within the AZE was located 5 m inside the 1 g DEPOMOD contour. The station outside the AZE was located 25 m outside of the 1 g DEPOMOD contour. The mean sulphide level in sediment collected at the station outside AZE on Transect C (9.1 µM) on March 1, 2022, was less than the ASC Salmon Standard sulphide upper limit of 1500 µM. These results have been submitted to ASC
50001900	Williamson	2.1.1	Indicator: Redox potential or (5) sulphide levels in sediment outside of the Allowable Zone of Effect (AZE), following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: Redox potential > 0 mV or Sulphide 5 1.500 µMol/L Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1 See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (5) Farm sites can choose whether to use redox or sulphide. Farms do not have to demonstrate that they meet both.	Compliant	Mainstream Biological Consulting completed the FINFISH AQUACULTURE STE, AQUACULTURE STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL REPORT for MUCHALAT NORTH, MUCHALAT INLET, B.C. Survey conducted 29 March 2022, report dated 1 June 2022. Selimient was collected and the data analyzed according to the Aquaculture Activities Regulations (AAR) and adapted to address Criterion 2.1 and 5.2 of the Aquaculture Stewardship Council (ASC) Salmon Standard 1.3. Individual sediment sampling stations were located along three transects (Transects A, B, and C). Stations within the AZE were located 5 m inside the 1 g DEPOMOD contour for Transects B and C and at the perimeter of the containment system for Transects A and B. During a previous ASC benthic assessment in January 2020 sediment could not be successfully obtained at the station located inside the AZE on Transect A2. Therefore, attempts to obtains ediment from this location were not made during this survey. Stations outside the AZE were located 25 m outside of the 1 g DEPOMOD contour. Imit of 1500 µM. The mean sulphide level in sediment collected at the stations outside AZE on Transects A, B and C (68.0 µM, 660 µM and 33.8 µM respectively) on March 29 and 30, 2022 were less than the ASC Salmon Standard sulphide upper limit of 1500 2M. These results have been submitted to ASC
50001951	Atrevida Point	2.1.1	Indicator: Redox potential or (5) sulphide levels in sediment outside of the Allowable Zone of Effect (AZE), following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: Redox potential > 0 mV or Sulphide 5 1,500 µMol/L Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1. and 2.1.3. (5) Farm sites can choose whether to use redox or subpliele. Farms do not have to demonstrate that they meet both.	Compliant	This Site is fallowing during this audit. Mainstream Biological Consulting completed the FINFISH AQUACULTURE SITE, AQUACULTURE STEWARDSHP COUNCIL REPORT for ATREVIDA POINT, MUCHALAT INLET, BC. Survey conducted 23 & 24 February 2022, report dated 23 March 2022. Sediment was collected and the data analyzed according to the Aquaculture Activities Regulations (AAR) and adapted to address Criterion 2.1 and 5.2 of the Aquaculture Stewardship Council (ASC) Salmon Standard 1.3. Individual sediment sampling stations were located along two transects (Transects A and C). Stations within the A2E were located 5 m inside the 1 g DEPOMOD contour for both transects and also at the perimeter of the containment system. Stations outside the A2E were located 25 m outside of the 1 g DEPOMOD control The mean sulphide level in sediment collected at the stations outside A2E on Transects A and C (936 µM and 508 µM repsectively) on February 23 and 24, 2022, were less than the ASC Salmon Standard sulphide upper limit of 1500 µM. These results have been submitted to ASC



50001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Mand	2.1.1	Indicator: Redox potential or (5) sulphide levels in sediment outside of the Allowable Zone of Effect (AZE), following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: Redox potential > 0 mV or Sulphide 5 1,500 µMol/L Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (5) Farm sites can choose whether to use redox or subplide. Farms do not have to demonstrate that they meet both.	Compliant	This Site is fallowing during this audit, stocking will happen later this spring. Mainstream Biological Consulting completed the FINFISH AQUACULTURE STE, AQUACULTURE STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL REPORT for CONCEPCION POINT, NOOTKA SOUND, Survey conducted 13 & 14 June 2022, report dated 14 July 2022. Sediment was collected and the data analyzed according to the Aquaculture Activities Regulations (AAR) and adapted to address Criterion 2.1 and 5.2 of the Aquaculture Stewardship Council (ACS) Salmon Standard 1.3. Individual sediment sampling stations were located along three Transects A, B and C. Stations within the AZE were located 5 mixide the 12 pEPOMOD contour and stations outside the AZE were located 25 m outside of the 1 g DEPOMOD contour. The mean sulphide level in sediment collected at the stations outside AZE on Transects A and C (155 µM and 306 µM respectively) on June 13 and 14, 2022, were less than the ASC Salmon Standard sulphide upper limit of 1500 µM. These results have been submitted to ASC
50003357	Esperanza - Site	2.1.1	Indicator: Redox potential or (5) sulphide levels in sediment outside of the Allowable Zone of Effect (AZE), following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: Redox potential > 0 mV or Sulphide 5 1,500 μMol/L Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1.5e Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (5) Farm sites can choose whether to use redox or sulphide. Farms do not have to demonstrate that they meet both.	Compliant	Grieg requested on their annual notifications to ASC (13 Jan 2023 to c)ontinue the exemption from 2.1.1.c-f, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3 and 5.2.10 for the Esperanza farm as it is hard bottom (reports were attached to the notification). This site and its associated zone of effect is over a hard bottom the DFO guidelines require a transect to establish that the zone of effect is acceptable to allow re-stocking. This transect survey was completed during the previous year class peak biomass. Operational Monitoring Report (May 11, 2022), prepared by Mainstream Biological Consulting. Sulphide readings are not possible due to the hard bottom, a video survey was completed at the Esperanza finish aquaculture site, on June 7. 2021. Video was collected and the data analyzed according to the Aquaculture Activities Regulation (AAR) and the associated Monitoring Standard (Annex 7 and Annex 8). DFO letter (July 30th, 2021) indicates the site meets the requirements for restocking.
50003359	Steamer - Site	2.1.1	Indicator: Redox potential or (5) sulphide levels in sediment outside of the Allowable Zone of Effect (AZE), following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: Redox potential > 0 mV or Sulphide 5 1,500 µMol/L Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1 see Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (5) Farm sites can choose whether to use redox or sulphide. Farms do not have to demonstrate that they meet both.	Compliant	This Site is fallowing during this audit, stocking will happen later this spring. Mainstream Biological Consulting completed the FINFISH AQUACULTURE STE, AQUACULTURE STEWARDSHP COUNCIL REPORT for STEAMER POINT, HECATE CHANNEL, Survey conducted 11 February 2021, report dated 19 March 2021. Sediment was collected and the data analyzed according to the Aquaculture Activities Regulations (AAR) and adapted to address Criterion 2.1 and 5.2 of the Aquaculture Stewardship Council (ASC) Salmon Standard 1.3. Individual sediment sampling stations were located along one transect (Transect C). Stations within the AZE were located 5 m inside the 1 g DEPOMDD contour for Transect C. Stations outside the AZE were located 25 m outside of the 1 g DEPOMDD contour. The mean sulphide level in sediment collected at the station outside AZE on Transect C (15.4 μ M) on February 11, 2021 was less than the ASC Salmon Standard sulphide upper limit of 1500 μ M. These results have been submitted to ASC
50003675	Nco-la	2.1.1	Indicator: Redox potential or (5) sulphide levels in sediment outside of the Allowable Zone of Effect (AZE), following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: Redox potential > 0 mV or Sulphide ≤ 1,500 µMol/L Applicability: All farms except; Llosed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (5) Farm sites can choose whether to use redox or sulphide. Farms do not have to demonstrate that they meet both.	Compliant	Mainstream Biological Consulting completed the FINFI5H AQUACULTURE STEY, AQUACULTURE STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL REPORT for NOO-1A, CLIO CHANNEL, Survey conducted 2 June 2021, report dated 19 June 2021. Sediment was collected and the data analyzed according to the Aquaculture Activities Regulations (AAR) and adapted to address Criterion 2.1 and 5.2 of the Aquaculture Stewardship Council (ASC) Salmon Standard 1.3. Individual sediment sampling stations were located along three Transects A, B, and C. Stations within the AZE were located 5 m inside the 1 g DEPOMOD contour for all three transects. Stations outside the AZE were located 5 m outside of the 1 g DEPOMOD contour. The mean sulphide level in sediment collected at the stations outside AZE on Transects A, B and C (260 µM, 106 µM and 932 µMµM respectively) on June 2, 2021 were less than the ASC Salmon Standard sulphide upper limit of 1500 µM. These results have been submitted to ASC
S0003676	Wa-kwa	2.1.1	Indicator: Redox potential or (5) sulphide levels in sediment outside of the Allovable Zone of Effect (AZE), following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: Redox potential > 0 mV or Sulphide 5 1,500 μMol/L Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1.5e Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid unitients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (5) Farm sites can choose whether to use redox or sulphide. Farms do not have to demonstrate that they meet both.	Compliant	Mainstream Biological Consulting completed the FINFISH AQUACULTURE STEV.AAQUACULTURE STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL REPORT for WA-KWA, CLIO CHANNEL, Survey conducted 27 July 2021, report dated 21 August 2021. Sediment was collected and the data analyzed according to the Aquaculture Activities Regulations (AAR) and adapted to address Criterion 2.1 and 5.2 of the Aquaculture Stewardship Council (ASC) Salmon Standard 1.3. Individual sediment sampling stations were located along three Transects A, B, and C. Stations within the AZE were located 5 m inside the 1g DEPOMOD contour for all three transects Stations outside the AZE were located 25 m outside of the 1g DEPOMOD contour. The mean sulphide level in sediment collected at the stations outside AZE on Transects A and B (40.7 µM and 33.7 µJM respectively) on July 27, 2021, were less than the ASC Salmon Standard sulphide upper limit of 1500 µM. These results have been submitted to ASC



\$0003677	Tsa-ya	2.1.1	Indicator: Redox potential or (5) sulphide levels in sediment outside of the Allowable Zone of Effect (AZE), following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: Redox potential > 0 mV or Sulphide 5 1,500 μMol/L Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (5) Farm sites can choose whether to use redox or sulphide. Farms do not have to demonstrate that they meet both.	Compliant	Mainstream Biological Consulting completed the FINFISH AQUACULTURE STE, AQUACULTURE STEWARDSHI COUNCL REPORT for TSA-YA, CLIO CHANNEL, Survey conducted 5 August 2021, report dated 7 September COUNCL REPORT for TSA-YA, CLIO CHANNEL, Survey conducted 5 August 2021, report dated 7 September 2021. Sediment was collected and the data analyzed according to the Aquaoulture Artivities Regulations (AAR) and adapted to address Criterion 2.1 and 5.2 of the Aquaoulture Artivities Regulation Standard 1.3. Individual sediment sampling stations were located along three Transects A, B, and C. Stations within the AZE were located 5 m inside the 1 g DEPOMOD contour for all three transects. Stations outside the AZE were located 25 m outside of the 1 g DEPOMOD contour. The mean subplide level in sediment collected at the stations outside AZE on Transects A, B and C (79.7 μM, 12.4 μM and 32.1 μM respectively) on August 5,2021 were less than the ASC Salmon Standard sulphide upper limit of 1500 μM. These results have been submitted to ASC
50005239	Lutes	2.1.1	Indicator: Redox potential or (5) sulphide levels in sediment outside of the Allovable Zone of Effect (AZE), following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: Redox potential > 0 mV or Sulphide \$ 1,500 µMol/L Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect, closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix Vi for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (5) Farm sites can choose whether to use redox or sulphide. Farms do not have to demonstrate that they meet both.	Minor	The initial production cycle is not completed at this site. The site was at ≥ 75% peak biomass but sulfide data was not yet available during the audit.
50001898	Gore	2.1.2	Indicator: Faunal index score indicating good (6) to high ecological quality in sediment outside the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: AZTI Marine Biotic Index (AMBI) score ≤ 3.3, or Shannon-Wiener Index score > 3, or Benthic Quality Index (BOI) score ≥ 15, or Infaunal Trophic Index (GII) score ≥ 25 Applicability: AII farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (6) "Good" Ecological Quality Classification: The level of diversity and abundance of invertebrate tax is slightly outside the range associated with the type- specific conditions. Most of the sensitive taxa of the type-specific communities are present.	Compliant	Indicator 2.1.2 is no longer applicable. Based on VR 224, issued Feb 18, 2019, authorizing reliance on the scientifically proven and federally regulated sulphide surrogates for the assessment of benthic biodiversity and benthic effects.
50001899	Muchalat North	2.1.2	Indicator: Faunal index score indicating good (6) to high ecological quality in sediment outside the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: AZTI Marine Biotic Index (AMBI) score ≤ 3.3, or Shannon-Wiener Index score > 3, or Benthic Quality Index (800) score ≥ 15, or Infaunal Trophic Index (01) score ≥ 25 Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dipose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (6) "Good" Ecological Quality Classification: The level of diversity and abundance of invertebrate taxa is slightly outside the range associated with the type- specific conditions. Most of the sensitive taxa of the type-specific communities are present.	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.





50001900	Williamson	2.1.2	Indicator: Faunal index score indicating good (6) to high ecological quality in sediment outside the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: AZTI Marine Biotic Index (AMBI) score s 3.3, or Shannon-Wiener Index score > 3, or Benthic Quality Index (BQI) score > 15, or Infaunal Trophic Index (ITI) score ≥ 15, or Infaunal Trophic Index (ITI) score ≥ 25 Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (6) 'Good'' Ecological Quality Classification: The level of diversity and abundance of invertebrate taxa is slightly outside the range associated with the type- specific conditions. Most of the sensitive taxa of the type-specific communities are present.	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001951	Atrevida Point		Indicator: Faunal index score indicating good (6) to high ecological quality in sediment outside the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: AZTI Marine Biotic Index (AMBI) score ≤ 3.3, or Shannon-Wiener Index score > 3, or Benthic Quality Index (BOI) score ≥ 15, or Infaunal Trophic Index (ITI) score ≥ 25 Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from shandrast under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (6) "Good" Ecological Quality Classification: The level of diversity and abundance of invertebrate tax is slightly outside the range associated with the type- specific conditions. Most of the sensitive tax of the type-specific communities are present.	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.1.2	Indicator: Faunal index score indicating good (6) to high ecological quality in sediment outside the A2E, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix 1-1 Requirement: AZTI Marine Biotic Index (AMBI) score ≤ 3.3, or Shannon-Wiener Index score > 3, or Infaunal Trophic Index (ITI) score ≥ 15, or Infaunal Trophic Index (ITI) score ≥ 25 Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that bey collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (6) "Good" Ecological Quality Classification: The level of diversity and abundance of invertebrate taxa is slightly outside the sensitive taxa of the type-specific communities are present.	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50003357	Esperanza - Site	2.1.2	Indicator: Faunal index score indicating good (6) to high ecological quality in sediment outside the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: AZTI Marine Biotic Index (AMBI) score ≤ 3.3, or Shannon-Wiener Index score > 3, or Benthic Quality Index (BOI) score ≥ 15, or Infanual Trophic Index (IT) score ≥ 25 Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (6) "Good" Ecological Quality Classification: The level of diversity and abundance of invertebrate taxa is slightly outside the range associated with the type- specific conditions. Most of the sensitive taxa of the type-specific communities are present.	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.





-							
s	0003359	Steamer - Site	212	Indicator: Faunal index score indicating good (6) to high ecological quality in sediment outside the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: AZTI Marine Biotic Index (AMBI) score 5 3.3, or Shannon-Wiener Index score > 3, or Benthic Quality Index (BQI) score > 15, or Infaunal Trophic Index (ITI) score 2 15, or Infaunal Trophic Index (ITI) score 2 25 Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (6) "Good" Ecological Quality Classification: The level of diversity and abundance of invertebrate taxa is slightly outside the sensitive taxa of the type-specific communities are present.	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
s	0003675	Noc-ia	212	Indicator: Faunal index score indicating good (6) to high ecological quality in sediment outside the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: AZTI Marine Biotic Index (AMBI) score ≤ 3.3, or Shannon-Wiener Index score > 3, or Benthic Quality Index (BOI) score ≥ 15, or Infaunal Trophic Index (BOI) score ≥ 25 Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutritents from the production system are except from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (6) "Good" Ecological Quality Classification: The level of diversity and abundance of invertebrate taxis is slightly outside the range associated with the type- specific conditions. Most of the sensitive taxa of the type-specific communities are present.	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
s	0003676	Wa-kwa	212	Indicator: Faunal Index score Indicating good (6) to high ecological quality in sediment outside the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix 1-1 Requirement: AZTI Marine Biotic Index (AMBI) score ≤ 3.3, or Shannon-Wiener Index score > 3, or Benthic Quality Index (BQI) score ≥ 15, or Infaunal Trophic Index (ITI) score ≥ 25 Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nurrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (6) "Good" Ecological Quality Classification: The level of diversity and abundance of invertebrate taxa is slightly outside the sensitive taxa of the type-specific communities are present.	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
S	0003677	Та-уа		Indicator: Faunal index score indicating good (6) to high ecological quality in sediment outside the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: AZTI Marine Biotic Index (AMBI) score ≤ 3.3, or Shannon-Wiener Index score ≥ 3, or Benthic Quality Index (Bd) score ≥ 15, or Infanual Trophic Index (IT) score ≥ 2.5 Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are except from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (6) "Good" Ecological Quality Classification: The level of diversity and abundance of invertebrate taxa is slightly outside the range associated with the type- specific conditions. Most of the sensitive taxa of the type-specific communities are present.	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.





			Indicator: Faunal index score indicating good (6) to high ecological quality in	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0005239	Lutes	2.1.2	sediment outside the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: AZTI Marine Biotic Index (AMBI) score ≤ 3.3, or Shamon-Wiener Index score > 3, or Benthic Quality Index [80] score ≥ 15, or Infanual Trophic Index (IT) score ≥ 2.5 Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are except from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (6) "Good" Ecological Quality Classification: The level of diversity and abundance of invertebrate taxa is slightly outside the range associated with the type- specific conditions. Most of the sensitive taxa of the type-specific communities are present.	Compliant	
50001898	Gore	2.1.3	Indicator: Number of macrofaunal taxa in the sediment within the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix H 1 Requirement: 2 2 highly abundant (7) taxa that are not pollution indicator species Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid untrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (7) Highly abundant: Greater than 100 organisms per square metre (or equally high to reference site(s) if natural abundance is lower than this level).	Compliant	Indicator 2.1.3 is no longer applicable. Based on VR 224, issued Feb 18, 2019, authorizing reliance on the scientifically proven and federally regulated sulphide surrogates for the assessment of benthic biodiversity and benthic effects.
\$0001899	Muchalat North	2.1.3	Indicator: Number of macrofaunal taxa in the sediment within the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix H 1 Requirement: ≥ 2 highly abundant (7) taxa that are not pollution indicator species Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid untrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (7) Highly abundant: Greater than 100 organisms per square metre (or equally high to reference site(s) if natural abundance is lower than this level).	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001900	Williamson	2.1.3	Indicator: Number of macrofaunal taxa in the sediment within the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix H 1 Requirement: 2 2 highly abundant (7) taxa that are not pollution indicator species Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are except from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nurrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1.See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.2.2.and 2.1.3. (7) Highly abundant: Greater than 100 organisms per square metre (or equally high to reference site(s) if natural abundance is lower than this level).	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001951	Atrevida Point	2.1.3	Indicator: Number of macrofaunal taxa in the sediment within the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix H 1 Requirement: 2 highly abundant (7) taxa that are not pollution indicator species Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that Can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (7) Highly abundant: Greater than 100 organisms per square metre (or equally high to reference site(s) if natural abundance is lower than this level).	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.





			Indicator: Number of macrofaunal taxa in the sediment within the AZE,	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.1.3	following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: 2 2 highly abundant (7) taxa that are not pollution indicator species Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (7) Highly abundant: Greater than 100 organisms per square metre (or equally high to reference ste(s) if natural abundance is lower than this level).	Compliant	
\$0003357	Esperanza - Site	2.1.3	Indicator: Number of macrofaunal taxa in the sediment within the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: 2 2 highly abundant (7) taxa that are not pollution indicator species Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (7) Highly abundant: Greater than 100 organisms per square metre (or equally high to reference site(s) if natural abundance is lower than this level).	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50003359	Steamer - Site	2.1.3	Indicator: Number of macrofaunal taxa in the sediment within the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix H 1 Requirement: 2 highly abundant (7) taxa that are not pollution indicator species Applicability: All farms except: Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (7) Highly abundant: Greater than 100 organisms per square metre (or equally high to reference sites) if natural abundance is lower than this level).	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50003675	Noo-la	2.1.3	Indicator: Number of macrofaunal taxa in the sediment within the A2E, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix H1 Requirement: ≥ 2 highly abundant (7) taxa that are not pollution indicator species Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (7) Highly abundant: Greater than 100 organisms per square metre (or equally high to reference site(s) if natural abundance is lower than this level).	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	2.1.3	Indicator: Number of macrofaunal taxa in the sediment within the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: 2 2 highly abundant (7) taxa that are not pollution indicator species Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (7) Highly abundant: Greater than 100 organisms per square metre (or equally high to reference site(s) if natural abundance is lower than this level).	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.





\$0003677	Тза-уа	2.1.3	Indicator: Number of macrofaunal taxa in the sediment within the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix I-1 Requirement: ≥ 2 highly abundant (7) taxa that are not pollution indicator species Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (7) Highly abundant: Greater than 100 organisms per square metre site(s) if natural abundance is lower than this level).	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0005239	Lutes	2.1.3	Indicator: Number of macrofaunal taxa in the sediment within the AZE, following the sampling methodology outlined in Appendix i-1 Requirement: 2-2 highly abundant (7) taxa that are not pollution indicator species Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of Solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1 See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (7) Highly abundant: Greater than 100 organisms per square metre (or equally high to reference site(s) if natural abundance is lower than this level).	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001898	Gore	2.1.4	indicator: Definition of a site-specific AZE based on a robust and credible (8) modelling system (9) Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dipose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (8) Robust and credible: The SEPA AUTODEPOMDD modelling system is considered to be an example of a credible and robust system. The model must include a multi-parameter approach. Monitoring must be used to ground-truth the AZE proposed through the model. (9) The CAB shall confirm that the AZE is correct and then to default	Compliant	DFO requires a DEPOMOD be submitted for Salmon farming sites in British Columbia. The DFO benthic survey requirement detailed in 2.1.1 uses DEPOND for survey design. The Depomod was carried out in Nov of 2014 for an application to convert the site to 5 x 200 M circle pens, which is what it has now. The model for this site was based on an average feed rate of 1500kg/day. These modelled zones of effect are shown on the site maps and used to estabilish sampling stations. Maps in place in the benthic report includes the Depomod site impact zones. There has been no change to the site site in the benthic report includes the same location, the stocking density and biomass has remained the same
50001899	Muchalat North	2.1.4	Indicator: Definition of a site-specific AZE based on a robust and credible (8) modelling system (9) Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	to the social principles (P6 and Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (8) Robust and credible: The SEPA AUTODEPOMOD modelling system is considered to be an example of a credible and robust system. The model must include a multi-parameter approach. Monitoring must be used to ground-truth the AZE proposed through the model. (9) The CAB shall confirm that the AZE is correct and then to default	Compliant	DFO requires a DEPOMOD be submitted for Salmon farming sites in British Columbia. The DFO benthic survey requirement detailed in 2.1.1 uses DEPOMD for survey design. The Depomod dated March 19 2010 for a configuration of 30 m pens 2 wide x 7 long x 15m deep, which is what it has now. The model for this site was based on an average feed input/pen: 452 kg/day over a 23 month growout. These modelled zones of effect are shown on the site maps and used to establish sampling stations. Maps in place in the benthic report includes the Depomod site impact zones. There has been no change to the site since it was modelled, the site is in the same location, the stocking density and biomass has remained the same.



50001900	Williamson	2.1.4	Indicator: Definition of a site-specific AZE based on a robust and credible (8) modelling system (9) Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (8) Robust and credible: The SEPA AUTODEPOMOD modelling system is considered to be an example of a credible and robust system. The model must include a multi-parameter approach. Monitoring must be used to ground-truth the AZE proposed through the model. (9) The CAB shall confirm that the AZE is correct and then to default to the social principles (P6 and	Compliant	DFO requires a DEFOMOD be submitted for Salmon farming sites in British Columbia. The DFD benthic survey requirement detailed in 2.1.1 uses DEFOMO for survey degine. The Depomod was carried out October 14, 2009 for the existing configuration of 30 m gens 2 wide x 7 long x 15m deep, using current data from 2009 and actual feed rates from 2007. The outdef for this site was based on an avarage feed rate of 551kg/day over a 23 month growout. These modelled zones of effect are shown on the site maps and used to establish sampling stations. Maps in place in the benthic report includes the Depomod site impact zones. There has been on change to the site since it was modelled, the site is in the same location, the stocking density and biomass has remained the same.
50001951	Atrevida Point	2.1.4	Indicator: Definition of a site-specific AZE based on a robust and credible (8) modelling system (9) Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	 (a) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of 9.7% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (b) Robust and credible: The SEPA AUTODEDMOD modelling system. The model must include a multi-parameter approach. Monitoring must be used to ground-truth the AZE proposed through the model. (b) The CAB shall confirm that the AZE is correct and then to default to the social principles (P6 and to the sentemed). 	Compliant	DFO requires a DEPOMOD be submitted for Salmon farming sites in British Columbia. The DFO benthic survey requirement detailed in 2.1.1 uses DEPOMD for survey design. The Depomod was carried out October 27, 2008 for the present configuration of 30 m pens 2 wide s folng x 15m deep, using current data from 2009 and actual feed rates from 2007. The model for this site was based on an average feed rate of ASSL(J4) wors 2.2 month growout. These modelled conses of fetch are schwon on the site maps and used to establish sampling stations. Maps in place in the benthic report includes the Depomd site impact zones. There has been no change to the site since it was modelled, the site is in the same location, the stocking density and biomass has remained the same .
50001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.1.4	Indicator: Definition of a site-specific AZE based on a robust and credible (8) modelling system (9) Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dipose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (8) Robust and credible: The SEPA AUTODEPOMOD modelling system is considered to be an example of a credible and robust system. The model must include a multi-parameter approach. Monitoring must be used to ground-truth the AZE proposed through the model. (9) The CAB shall confirm that the AZE is correct and then to default to the social principles (P6 and	Compliant	DFO requires a DEPOMOD be submitted for Salmon farming sites in British Columbia. The DFO benthic survey requirement detailed in 2.1.1 uses DEPOMD for survey design. The Depomod was carried out june 15 2005 for the existing configuration of 30 m pens 2 wide x 10 ng x 15m deep, using current data from 2005, The model for this site was based on an average feed rate of 452kg/day over a 23 month growout. These modelled zones of fefct are shown on the site maps and used to establish sampling stations. Maps in place in the benthic report includes the Depomod site impact zones. There has been no change to the site since it was modelled, the site is in the same location, the stocking density and biomass has remained the same.
50003357	Esperanza - Site	2.1.4	Indicator: Definition of a site-specific AZE based on a robust and credible (8) modelling system (9) Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	 (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of 57% of 50% disposed for the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1. j. 2.1. and 2.1.3. (8) Robust and credible: The SEPA AUTODEPOMOD modelling system is credible: The SEPA AUTODEPOMOD modelling system is considered to be an example of a credible and robust system. The model must include a multi-parameter approach. Monitoring must be used to ground-truth the AZE proposed through the model. (9) The CAB shall confirm that the AZE is correct and then to default to the social principles (P6 and The Sepa Automation and the social principles (P6 and The Sepa Automaticate) and the social	Compliant	DEPOMOD is required by DFO on sites in British Columbia. The DFO benthic survey requirement detailed in 2.1.1 uses DEPOMD for survey design. The Depomod was carried out in August 2011. The model for this site was based on an average feed rate of 452kg/day over a 23 month growout. These modelled zones of effect are shown on the site maps and used to establish sampling stations. Maps in place in the benthic report includes the Depomod site impact zones. There has been no change to the site since it was modelled, the site is in the same location, the stocking density and biomass has remained the same .





			Indicator: Definition of a site-specific AZE based on a robust and credible (8)	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity		DFO requires a DEPOMOD be submitted for Salmon farming sites in British Columbia. The DFO benthic
\$0003359	Steamer - Site	2.1.4	modelling system (9) Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (8) Robust and credible: The SEPA AUTODEPOMOD modelling system is considered to be an example of a credible and robust system. The model must include a multi-parameter approach. Monitoring must be used to ground-truth the AZE proposed through the model. (9) The CAB shall confirm that the	Compliant	survey requirement detailed in 2.1.1 uses DEPOND for survey design. The Depomod was carried out June 15 2011 for the existing configuration of 3 0m pens 2 wide x 7 long x 15m deep, using current data from 2001 and 2002. The model for this site was based on an average feed rate of 452kg/day over a 23 month growout. These modeled canes of feftc are shown on the site mays and used to establish sampling stations. Maps in place in the benchic report includes the Depomod site impact zones. There has been no change to the site since it was modeled, the site is in the same location, the stocking density and biomass has remained the same .
			Indicator: Definition of a site-specific AZE based on a robust and credible (8)	AZE is correct and then to default to the social principles (P6 and Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity		DFO requires a DEPOMOD be submitted for Salmon farming sites in British Columbia. The DFO benthic
50003675	Noo-la	2.1.4	modeling system (9) Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	Childron 2.2 Benuite Undoversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (8) Robust and credible: The SEPA AUTODEPOMOD modelling	Compliant	Survey requires a ter-Power detailed in 2.1 uses DEPOND for survey design. The Depond was carried out 31 October 2005 for the existing configuration of 30 m pens 2 wide x7 long x 15m deep, using current data form 2005. The model for this iste was based on an average feed rate of 542kg/day over a 23 month growout. These modeling to this twe was based on an average feed rate of 542kg/day over a 24 month growout. These modeling to this twe shores do an average feed rate of 542kg/day over a 24 month growout. These modeling to this the benthic report includes the Depond site impact zones. There has been no change to the site since it was modelied, the site is in the same location, the stocking density and biomass has remained the same .
				SETA ADD OUE FORMULATION INDUCTING system is considered to be an example of a credible and robust system. The model must include a multi-parameter approach. Monitoring must be used to ground-truth the AZE proposed through the model. (9) The CAB shall confirm that the AZE is correct and then to default to the social principles (P6 and		
			Indicator: Definition of a site-specific AZE based on a robust and credible (8)	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity		DFO requires a DEPOMOD be submitted for Salmon farming sites in British Columbia. The DFO benthic
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	2.1.4	modelling system (9) Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1 See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (8) Robust and credible: The SEPA AUTODEPOMOD modelling system is considered to be an example of a credible and robust system. The model must include a multi-parameter approach. Monitoring must be used to ground-truth the AZE proposed through the model.	Compliant	survey requirement detailed in 2.1.1 uses DEPOND for survey design. The Depomod was carried out in April 2021 to amend the configuration to 30 m pens 2 wide k 6 long x 15m deep. The model for this site was based on an average feed rate of 405kg/day. These modelled zones of effect are shown on the site maps and used to establish sampling stations. Mays in place in the benthic report includes the Depomod site impact zones. There has been no change to the site since it was modelled, the site is in the same location, the stocking density and biomass has remained the same
				(9) The CAB shall confirm that the AZE is correct and then to default to the social principles (P6 and		
			Indicator: Definition of a site-specific AZE based on a robust and credible (8) modelling system (9) Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	 (a) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of 57% of 50% unit uniterints from the production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. 		DFO requires a DEPOMOD be submitted for Salmon farming sites in British Columbia. The DFO benthic survey requirement detailed in 2.1.1 uses DEPOMD for survey design. The Depomod was carried out in April 2013 to amend the configuration to 30 m ens 2 wide k 6 long x 15m deep. The model for this site was based on an average feed rate of 405kg/day. These modelled zones of effect are shown on the site maps and used to establish sampling stations. Maps in place in the benthic report includes the Depomod site impact zones. There has been no change to the site since it was modelled, the site is in the same location, the stocking density and biomass has remained the same
\$0003677	Tsa-ya	2.1.4		(8) Robust and credible: The SEPA AUTODEPOMOD modelling system is considered to be an example of a credible and robust system. The model must include a multi-parameter approach. Monitoring must be used to ground-truth the AZE proposed through the model. (9) The CAB shall confirm that the AZE is correct and then to default to the social principles (P6 and	Compliant	





1=							
				Indicator: Definition of a site-specific AZE based on a robust and credible (8) modelling system (9) Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms except; Closed production systems that can demonstrate they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production system are exempt from standards under Classing > 1.1 ce aevandia VI demonstrate the reseasement (6.2 a	Criterion 2.1 Benthic biodiversity and benthic effects (4) (4) Closed production systems that can demonstrate that they collect and responsibly dispose of > 75% of solid nutrients from the production action area or more		DFO requires a DEPOMOD be submitted for Salmon farming sites in British Columbia. The DFO benthic survey requirement detailed in 2.1 Juscs DEPOMOD for survey design. The Depond owas carried out August 29, 2018 for a new site with a configuration of 30 m pens 2 wide x 8 long x 15m deep. The model for this site was based on an average feed rate of SAOkg/day. These modelled zones of effect are shown on the site maps and used to establish samping stations. Maps in place in the benthic report includes the Depond site impact zones. The site is situated as it was modelled, the site was set up in the same location, the stocking density and biomass are as modelled. Stocked 08. Oct 2021, this site was previously active between 2006 and 2009
	0005239	Lutes	2.1.4	Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.	production system are exempt from standards under Criterion 2.1. See Appendix VI for requirements on transparency for 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. (8) Robust and credible: The	Compliant	
					SEPA AUTODEPOMOD modelling system is considered to be an example of a credible and robust system. The model must include a multi-parameter approach. Monitoring must be used to ground-truth the AZE proposed through the model.		
				Indicator: Weekly average percent saturation (14) of dissolved oxygen (DO)	(9) The CAB shall confirm that the AZE is correct and then to default to the social principles (P6 and Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		Weekly averages were calculated and submitted to ASC. There were no missing samples during fish
				(15) on farm, calculated following methodology in Appendix I-4 Requirement: ≥ 70% (16)	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for		production. There were no readings below 70%, the sites lowest reading was in week 26 of 2022 with a site reading of 73.4 and a reference station reading of 71
				Applicability: All farms. An exception to this standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (14) Percent saturation: Percent saturation is the amount of oxygen dissolved in the water		
	0001898	Gore	2.2.1		sample compared to the maximum amount that could be present at the same temperature and salinity.	Compliant	
					(15) Averaged weekly from two daily measurements (proposed at 6 am and 3 pm).		
					(16) An exception to this standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.		
				Indicator: Weekly average percent saturation (14) of dissolved oxygen (DO) (15) on farm, calculated following methodology in Appendix I-4	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		Weekly averages were calculated and submitted to ASC. There were no missing samples during fish production. There were no readings below 70%, the sites lowest reading was in week 50 of 2022 with a site reading of 75.8 and a reference station reading of 89
				Requirement: ≥ 70% (16) Applicability: All farms. An exception to this standard shall be made for farms	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	0001899	Muchalat North	2.2.1	that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.	(14) Percent saturation: Percent saturation is the amount of oxygen dissolved in the water sample compared to the maximum amount that could be present at the same temperature and salinity.	Compliant	
					(15) Averaged weekly from two daily measurements (proposed at 6 am and 3 pm).		
					(16) An exception to this standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.		
				Indicator: Weekly average percent saturation (14) of dissolved oxygen (DO) (15) on farm, calculated following methodology in Appendix I-4 Requirement: 2 70% (16)	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for		Weekly averages were calculated and submitted to ASC. There were no missing samples during fish production. There were no readings below 70%, the sites lowest reading was in week 46 of 2022 with a site reading of 81.9 and a reference station readings of 85 & 77
				Applicability: All farms. An exception to this standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
	60001900	Williamson	2.2.1		144) Percent saturation: Percent saturation is the amount of oxygen dissolved in the water sample compared to the maximum amount that could be present at the same temperature and salinity.	Compliant	
					(15) Averaged weekly from two daily measurements (proposed at 6 am and 3 pm).		
					(16) An exception to this standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.		
L							





			Indicator: Weekly average percent saturation (14) of dissolved oxygen (DO) (15) on farm, calculated following methodology in Appendix I-4	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		Weekly averages were calculated and submitted to ASC. There were no missing samples during fish production. There were no readings below 70%, the sites lowest reading was in week 14 with a site reading
			Requirement: ≥ 70% (16)	(13) See Appendix VI for		of 83.86 and a reference station readings of 98 & 102
			Applicability: All farms. An exception to this standard shall be made for farms	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.1	that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.	(14) Percent saturation: Percent saturation is the amount of oxygen dissolved in the water sample compared to the maximum amount that could be present at the same temperature and salinity.	Compliant	
				(15) Averaged weekly from two daily measurements (proposed at 6 am and 3 pm).		
				(16) An exception to this standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.		
			Indicator: Weekly average percent saturation (14) of dissolved oxygen (DO)	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		Weekly averages were calculated and submitted to ASC. There were no missing samples during fish
			(15) on farm, calculated following methodology in Appendix I-4	near the site of operation (13)		production. There were no readings below 70%, the sites lowest reading was in week 26 of 2022 with a site reading of 84 and a reference station reading of 88.5 & 99.3
			Requirement: ≥ 70% (16) Applicability: All farms. An exception to this standard shall be made for farms	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.	(14) Percent saturation: Percent		
				saturation is the amount of oxygen dissolved in the water		
				sample compared to the maximum amount that could be		
S0001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.2.1		present at the same temperature and salinity.	Compliant	
				(15) Averaged weekly from two daily measurements (proposed at 6 am and 3 pm).		
				(16) An exception to this		
				standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency		
				with a reference site in the same water body.		
			Indicator: Weekly average percent saturation (14) of dissolved oxygen (DO)	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		
			(15) on farm, calculated following methodology in Appendix I-4	near the site of operation (13)		
			Requirement: ≥ 70% (16) Applicability: All farms. An exception to this standard shall be made for farms	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.	(14) Percent saturation: Percent		
				saturation is the amount of oxygen dissolved in the water		The DO's were <70 during 11 weeks, of these 11 weeks, 6 had a spread of more than 10% between the farm
\$0003357	Esperanza - Site	2.2.1		sample compared to the maximum amount that could be present at the same temperature and salinity.	Minor	reading and the References station. Week 8/22 the farm reading was 68.7,% the reference station was 81% Week 43 the farm reading was 61.9%, the reference station was 82% Week 47 the farm reading was 64.9%, the reference station was 77%
				(15) Averaged weekly from two daily measurements (proposed at 6 am and 3 pm).		Week 49 the farm reading was 60%, the reference station was 80% Week 50 the farm reading was 59%, the reference station was 70% Week 51 the farm reading was 55.1%, the reference station was 75%
				(16) An exception to this		
				standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.		
			Indicator: Weekly average percent saturation (14) of dissolved oxygen (DO) (15) on farm, calculated following methodology in Appendix I-4	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		
			Requirement: ≥ 70% (16) Applicability: All farms. An exception to this standard shall be made for farms	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.	(14) Percent saturation: Percent saturation is the amount of		
\$0003359	Steamer - Site	2.2.1		saturation is the amount of oxygen dissolved in the water sample compared to the maximum amount that could be present at the same temperature and salinity.	Minor	The DO's were <70 during 5 weeks, of these 5 weeks, 1 had a spread of more than 10% between the farm reading and the Reference station.
				(15) Averaged weekly from two daily measurements (proposed at 6 am and 3 pm).		Week 43/2022 the farm reading was 61.1%, the reference station was 82%
				(16) An exception to this standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.		
				6 am and 3 pm). (16) An exception to this standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same		



			Indiastor Wookk avages state the 14 h ft	Criterion 2.2 Water av		Worldy average wars calculated and cut-stand and received and the state of the second
			Indicator: Weekly average percent saturation (14) of dissolved oxygen (DO) (15) on farm, calculated following methodology in Appendix I-4	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		Weekly averages were calculated and submitted to ASC. There were no missing samples during fish production. There were 11 readings below 70%, in all cases the reference stations were wiithin 10 of the site reading. The lowest reading was in week 47 of 2022 with a site reading of 62.6 and a reference station
			Requirement: ≥ 70% (16)	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		reading of 70
			Applicability: All farms. An exception to this standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (14) Percent saturation: Percent		
			body.	saturation is the amount of oxygen dissolved in the water		
				sample compared to the maximum amount that could be		
S0003675	Noo-la	2.2.1		present at the same temperature and salinity.	Compliant	
				(15) Averaged weekly from two daily measurements (proposed at		
				6 am and 3 pm).		
				(16) An exception to this standard shall be made for farms		
				that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.		
				with body.		
			Indicator: Weekly average percent saturation (14) of dissolved oxygen (DO) (15) on farm, calculated following methodology in Appendix I-4	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		Weekly averages were calculated and submitted to ASC. There were no missing samples during fish production. There were 7 readings below 70%, in all cases the reference stations were within 10 of the site
			Requirement: ≥ 70% (16)	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		reading. The lowest reading was in week 49 of 2022 with a site reading of 64.6 and a reference station reading of 73
			Applicability: All farms. An exception to this standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			body.	(14) Percent saturation: Percent saturation is the amount of		
				oxygen dissolved in the water sample compared to the maximum amount that could be		
S0003676	Wa-kwa	2.2.1		present at the same temperature and salinity.	Compliant	
				(15) Averaged weekly from two daily measurements (proposed at		
				6 am and 3 pm).		
				(16) An exception to this standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency		
				with a reference site in the same water body.		
				Criteriae 2.2 Water surfit in and		
			Indicator: Weekly average percent saturation (14) of dissolved oxygen (DO) (15) on farm, calculated following methodology in Appendix I-4	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		
			Requirement: ≥ 70% (16)	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		
			Applicability: All farms. An exception to this standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (14) Percent saturation: Percent		
			body.	saturation is the amount of oxygen dissolved in the water		
				sample compared to the maximum amount that could be		The DO's were <70 during 13 weeks, of these 13 weeks, 5 had a spread of more than 10% between the farm reading and the Reference station. Week 36/2022 the farm reading was 68,% the reference station was 85%
\$0003677	Tsa-ya	2.2.1		present at the same temperature and salinity.	Minor	Week 38 the farm reading was 67.3%, the reference station was not recorded Week 50 the farm reading was 60.6%, the reference station was 73%
				(15) Averaged weekly from two daily measurements (proposed at		Week 52 the farm reading was 64.2%, the reference station was 79% Week 2/2023 the farm reading was 66.8%, the reference station was 79%
				6 am and 3 pm). (16) An exception to this		
				standard shall be made for farms that can demonstrate consistency		
				with a reference site in the same water body.		
			Indicator: Weekly average percent saturation (14) of dissolved oxygen (DO)	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		
			(15) on farm, calculated following methodology in Appendix I-4 Requirement: ≥ 70% (16)	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for		
			Applicability: All farms. An exception to this standard shall be made for farms	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same water body.	(14) Percent saturation: Percent saturation is the amount of		
				oxygen dissolved in the water sample compared to the		The DO's were <70 during 10 weeks, of these 10 weeks, 5 had a spread of more than 10% between the farm reading and the Reference station.
\$0005239	Lutes	2.2.1		maximum amount that could be present at the same temperature	Minor	Week 43/2022 the farm reading was 61.1,% the reference station was 82%
				and salinity. (15) Averaged weekly from two		Week 47 the farm reading was 52%, the reference station was 77% Week 49 the farm reading was 59.1%, the reference station was 80% Week 51 the farm reading was 54%, the reference station was 75%
				daily measurements (proposed at 6 am and 3 pm).		Week 52 the farm reading was 60.9%, the reference station was 75%
				(16) An exception to this standard shall be made for farms		
				that can demonstrate consistency with a reference site in the same		
				water body.		
			Indicator: Maximum percentage of weekly samples from 2.2.1 that fall under 2 mg/L DO	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		There have been no DO readings under 2 mg/L in the current cycle.
S0001898	Gore	2.2.2	Requirement: 5%	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for	Compliant	
			Applicability: All	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			Indicator: Maximum percentage of weekly samples from 2.2.1 that fall under 2 mg/L DO	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		There have been no DO readings under 2 mg/L in the current cycle.
S0001899	Muchalat North	2.2.2	Requirement: 5%	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for	Compliant	
			Applicability: All	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		



			Indicator: Maximum percentage of weekly samples from 2.2.1 that fall under 2 mg/L DO	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		There have been no DO readings under 2 mg/L in the current cycle.
\$0001900	Williamson	2.2.2	Requirement: 5%	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for	Compliant	
			Applicability: All	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			Indicator: Maximum percentage of weekly samples from 2.2.1 that fall under 2 mg/L DO	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		There have been no DO readings under 2 mg/L in the current cycle.
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.2	Requirement: 5%	(13) See Appendix VI for	Compliant	
			Applicability: All	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			Indicator: Maximum percentage of weekly samples from 2.2.1 that fall under 2 mg/L DO	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		There have been no DO readings under 2 mg/L in the current cycle.
\$0001952	Conception Pt.	2.2.2	Requirement: 5%	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for	Compliant	
	Bligh Island		Applicability: All	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			Indicator: Maximum percentage of weekly samples from 2.2.1 that fall under	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		There have been no DO readings under 2 mg/L in the current cycle.
			2 mg/L DO	near the site of operation (13)		
S0003357	Esperanza - Site	2.2.2	Requirement: 5%	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.	Compliant	
			Applicability: All Indicator: Maximum percentage of weekly samples from 2.2.1 that fall under	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		There have been no DO readings under 2 mg/L in the current cycle.
			2 mg/L DO	near the site of operation (13)		······································
S0003359	Steamer - Site	2.2.2	Requirement: 5%	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for	Compliant	
			Applicability: All	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			Indicator: Maximum percentage of weekly samples from 2.2.1 that fall under 2 mg/L DO	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		There have been no DO readings under 2 mg/L in the current cycle.
\$0003675	Noo-la	2.2.2	Requirement: 5%	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for	Compliant	
			Applicability: All	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			Indicator: Maximum percentage of weekly samples from 2.2.1 that fall under 2 mg/L DO	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		There have been no DO readings under 2 mg/L in the current cycle.
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	2.2.2	Requirement: 5%	(13) See Appendix VI for	Compliant	
			Applicability: All	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			Indicator: Maximum percentage of weekly samples from 2.2.1 that fall under	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		There have been no DO readings under 2 mg/L in the current cycle.
\$0003677	Tsa-ya	2.2.2	2 mg/L DO Requirement: 5%	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for	Compliant	
50005077	150 90		Applicability: All	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.	compliant	
			Indicator: Maximum percentage of weekly samples from 2.2.1 that fall under	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		There have been no DO readings under 2 mg/L in the current cycle.
			2 mg/L DO	near the site of operation (13)		
S0005239	Lutes	2.2.2	Requirement: 5%	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for	Compliant	
			Applicability: All Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		The sampling results from the spring of 2022 indicate that finfish aquaculture facilities operated by Grieg
			targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19)	near the site of operation (13)		Seafood BC Ltd are located in areas of good or very good water quality as defined by the Provincial BC MoE and CCME water quality guidelines and objectives for the parameters of interest.
			Requirement: Yes (20)	(13) See Appendix VI for		Mainstream Biological Consulting undertook water quality surveys in the spring of 2022. Sampling for total nitrogen, nitrate, total phosphorus and orthophosphate concentration in Esperanza Inlet, Muchalat Inlet
			Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		and Clio Channel
			demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	(17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N,		
			other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	P, chlorophyll A).		
\$0001898	Gore				Compliant	
S0001898	Gore	2.2.3		P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to	Compliant	
50001898	Gore	2.2.3		P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from	Compliant	
S0001898	Gore	2.2.3		P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are	Compliant	
50001898	Gore	2.2.3		P, chlorophyll A). (13) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water	Compliant	
S0001898	Gore	2.2.3		P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use	Compliant	
50001898	Gore	2.2.3		P, chlorophyll A). (13) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring	Compliant	
50001898	Gore	2.2.3	Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an	P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001898	Gore	2.2.3	Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality	P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001898	Gore	2.2.3	Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20)	P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demostrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001898	Gore	2.2.3	Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients	P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001898	Gore	2.2.3	Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can	P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demostrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001898	Gore	2.2.3	Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as 5 of disolved nutrients (through biofitration, setting and/or	P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring ystems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2., 2.2. and 2.2.S. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
	Gore		Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as 5 of disolved nutrients (through biofitration, setting and/or	P, chlorophyll A). (13) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A).	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as 5 of disolved nutrients (through biofitration, setting and/or	P, chlorophyll A). (13) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring ystems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive.		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as 5 of disolved nutrients (through biofitration, setting and/or	P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as 5 of disolved nutrients (through biofitration, setting and/or	P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring yestems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and Yevry good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as 5 of disolved nutrients (through biofitration, setting and/or	P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.S. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "yery good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are a benchmark kevel of water quality from farm monitoring		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as 5 of disolved nutrients (through biofitration, setting and/or	P, chlorophyll A). (13) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3, and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification form other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.





			Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			argets [17], demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19)	near the site of operation (13)		same auur muings & evuence as oure site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes (20)	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		
			Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	(17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A).		
				(18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit.	Concellent	
50001900	Williamson	2.2.3		(19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5.	Compliant	
				(20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the		
			Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19)	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	 (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, 		
			other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	P, chlorophyll A).		
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.3		(18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit.	Compliant	
50001551	Auctual form	2.2.5		(19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU	compilant	
				Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring		
				systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use		
				a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5.		
				(20) Closed production systems		
				that can demonstrate the		
			Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19)	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20)	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyli A).		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001952	Conception Pt.	2.2.2	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: Al farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N,		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: Al farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001952		2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: Al farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chirophyli A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001952		2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: Al farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001952		2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: Al farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001952		2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: Al farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001952		2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate to collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5.		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001952		2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19)	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		
50001952		2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate to collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		
\$0001952		2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20)	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		
S0001952		2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiliration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients avell as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients avell as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients avel as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients avel as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection adventions (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection adventions (through biofiltration) setting and/or adventions area adventions (through biofiltration).	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark kevel of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N,		
50001952			targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiliration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients avell as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients avell as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients avel as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients avel as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection adventions (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection adventions (through biofiltration) setting and/or adventions area adventions (through biofiltration).	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to		
	Bligh Island		targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiliration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients avell as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients avell as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients avel as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients avel as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection adventions (through biofiltration, setting and/or adventions that the collection adventions (through biofiltration) setting and/or adventions area adventions (through biofiltration).	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from firam monitoring	Compliant	





1			Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19)	near the site of operation (13)		Same auoir minings & evidence as Gore site applies to tins site.
			Requirement: Yes (20)	(13) See Appendix VI for		
			Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofilitation, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	(17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A).		
\$0003359	Steamer - Site	2.2.3		(18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit.	Compliant	
				(19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems		
			Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality	that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19)	near the site of operation (13)		
			Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	(17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A).		
				(18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit.		
\$0003675	Noo-la	2.2.3		(19) Classifications of "good" and	Compliant	
				"very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from		
				other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are		
				acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water		
				quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5.		
				(20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the		
			Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an	near the site of operation (13)		sune addit intelligs de evidence as dore site applies to this site.
			targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19)	near the site of operation (13)		aune aun manga a chaene as our site appilies to uns site.
			targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an			sunc den mongs à chience às der sine applies le mis sie.
			targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: Al farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N,		
			targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
50003676	Wa-kwa	2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: Al farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and	Compliant	
50003676	Wa-kwa	2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: Al farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive.		
50003676	Wa-kwa	2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: Al farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU		
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: Al farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality wonkroling systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark (well of water		
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: Al farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use		
50003676	Wa-kwa	2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiliration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the		
50003676	Waława	2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: Al farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients a well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50003676	Wə-kwa	2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate to collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyli A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "wery good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for		
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiliration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2. Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
50003676	Wa-kwa	2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiliration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		
			targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiliration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients avell as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or administrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate t	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use benchmark kevel of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N,	Compliant	
50003676 50003677	Wa-kwa	2.2.3	targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiliration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients avell as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or administrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate t	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyli A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.S. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU		
			targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiliration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients avell as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or administrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate t	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyli A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from	Compliant	
			targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiliration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients avell as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or administrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate t	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use abenchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are	Compliant	
			targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiliration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients avell as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or administrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate t	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2. Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring	Compliant	
			targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiliration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients avell as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or administrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate t	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, p, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to year acceptable, it is acceptable to year act and emonstrate the Criterion 2.2. Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, p, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S.	Compliant	
			targets (27), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiliration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19) Requirement: Yes (20) Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients avell as yes of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or administrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently (18) farms except, closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 57% of solid nutrients area recently closed area recently closed production systems that can demonstrate t	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, p, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring data as defined in Appendix I-S. (20) Closed production systems that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2. Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, p, chlorophyll A). (18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit. (19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU Water framework Directive. Equivalent classification from other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring	Compliant	



			Indicator: For jurisdictions that have national or regional coastal water quality	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		Same audit findines & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Indicator: For jurisdictions that have hational or regional coastal water quality targets (17), demonstration through third-party analysis that the farm is in an area recently (18) classified as having "good" or "very good" water quality (19)	near the site of operation (13)		unine unun muniga di errorence as dore alle appres to UIIS site.
			Requirement: Yes (20)	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		
			Applicability: All farms except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	(17) Related to nutrients (e.g. N, P, chlorophyll A).		
				(18) Within the two years prior to the initial audit.		
\$000523	9 Lutes	2.2.3		(19) Classifications of "good" and "very good" are used in the EU	Compliant	
				Water Framework Directive. Equivalent classification from		
				other water quality monitoring systems in other jurisdictions are		
				acceptable, it is acceptable to use a benchmark level of water quality from farm monitoring		
				data as defined in Appendix I-5. (20) Closed production systems		
			Indicator: For jurisdictions without national or regional coastal water quality	that can demonstrate the Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		See 2.2.3
			targets, evidence of monitoring of nitrogen and phosphorous (21) levels on farm and at a reference site, following methodology in Appendix I-5	near the site of operation (13)		
			Requirement: Consistency with reference site	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
\$000189	8 Gore	2.2.4	Applicability: All farms, except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients	(21) Farms shall monitor total N, NH4, NO3, total P and Ortho-P in	Compliant	
			as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	the water column. Results shall be submitted to the ASC		
				database. Methods such as a Hach kit are acceptable.		
			Indicator: For jurisdictions without national or regional coastal water quality	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		See 2.2.3
			targets, evidence of monitoring of nitrogen and phosphorous (21) levels on farm and at a reference site, following methodology in Appendix I-5	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for		
			Requirement: Consistency with reference site	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
\$000189	9 Muchalat North	2.2.4	Applicability: All farms, except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	(21) Farms shall monitor total N, NH4, NO3, total P and Ortho-P in	Compliant	
			other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	the water column. Results shall be submitted to the ASC		
				database. Methods such as a Hach kit are acceptable.		
			Indicator: For jurisdictions without national or regional coastal water quality	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		See 2.2.3
			targets, evidence of monitoring of nitrogen and phosphorous (21) levels on farm and at a reference site, following methodology in Appendix I-5	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for		
			Requirement: Consistency with reference site Applicability: All farms, except, Closed production systems that can	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
\$000190	0 Williamson	2.2.4	demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or	NH4, NO3, total P and Ortho-P in	Compliant	
			other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	the water column. Results shall be submitted to the ASC database. Methods such as a		
				Hach kit are acceptable.		
			Indicator: For jurisdictions without national or regional coastal water quality targets, evidence of monitoring of nitrogen and phosphorous (21) levels on	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		See 2.2.3
			farm and at a reference site, following methodology in Appendix I-5 Requirement: Consistency with reference site	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		
			Applicability: All farms, except, Closed production systems that can	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
\$000195	1 Atrevida Point	2.2.4	demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	(21) Farms shall monitor total N, NH4, NO3, total P and Ortho-P in the water column. Results shall	Compliant	
			and a second s	be submitted to the ASC database. Methods such as a		
				Hach kit are acceptable.		
			Indicator: For jurisdictions without national or regional coastal water quality targets, evidence of monitoring of nitrogen and phosphorous (21) levels on form and at a reference site following methodology in Angendi L.S.	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		See 2.2.3
			farm and at a reference site, following methodology in Appendix I-5 Requirement: Consistency with reference site	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		
\$000195	Conception Pt.	2.2.4	Applicability: All farms, except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.	Compliant	
3000195	2 Bligh Island	2.2.4	demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > /5% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	NH4, NO3, total P and Ortho-P in the water column. Results shall	compilant	
				be submitted to the ASC database. Methods such as a Hach kit are acceptable.		
			Indicator: For jurisdictions without national or regional coastal water quality targets, evidence of monitoring of nitrogen and phosphorous (21) levels on farm and at a reference site, following methodology in Appendix I-5	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		See 2.2.3
			Requirement: Consistency with reference site	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		
\$000335	7 Esperanza - Site	2.2.4	Applicability: All farms, except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (21) Farms shall monitor total N,	Compliant	
			as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	NH4, NO3, total P and Ortho-P in the water column. Results shall		
				be submitted to the ASC database. Methods such as a Hach kit are acceptable.		



\$0003359	Steamer - Site	2.2.4	Indicator: For jurisdictions without national or regional coastal water quality targets, evidence of monitoring of nitrogen and phosphorous (21) levels on farm and at a reference site, following methodology in Appendix I-S Requirement: Consistency with reference site Applicability: All farms, except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (21) Farms shall monitor total N, NH4, NO3, total P and Ortho-P in the water column. Results shall be submitted to the ASC database. Methods such as a Hach kit are acceptable.	Compliant	See 2.2.3
\$0003675	Noo-la	2.2.4	Indicator: For jurisdictions without national or regional coastal water quality targets, evidence of monitoring of nitrogen and phosphorous (21) levels on farm and at a reference site, following methodology in Appendix I-5 Requirement: Consistency with reference site Applicability: All farms, except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and re-sponsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (21) Farms shall monitor total N, NH4, NO3, total P and Ortho-P in the water column. Results shall be submitted to the ASC database. Methods such as a Hach kit are acceptable.	Compliant	See 2.2.3
S0003676	Wa-kwa	2.2.4	Indicator: For jurisdictions without national or regional coastal water quality targets, evidence of monitoring of nitrogen and phosphorous (21) levels on farm and at a reference site, following methodology in Appendix I-5 Requirement: Consistency with reference site Applicability: All farms, except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (21) Farms shall monitor total N, NH4, NO3, total P and Ortho-P in the water column. Results shall be submitted to the ASC database. Methods such as a Hach kit are acceptable.	Compliant	See 2.2.3
S0003677	Tsa-ya	2.2.4	Indicator: For jurkdictions without national or regional costal water quality targets, evidence of monitoring of nitrogen and phosphorous [21] levels on farm and at a reference site, following methodology in Appendix I-5 Requirement: Consistency with reference site Applicability: All farms, except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of disolved nutrients (through biolifitration, setting and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (21) Farms shall monitor total N, NH4, NO3, total P and Ortho-P in the water column. Results shall be submitted to the ASC database. Methods such as a Hach kit are acceptable.	Compliant	See 2.2.3
S0005239	Lutes	2.2.4	Indicator: For jurisdictions without national or regional coastal water quality targets, evidence of monitoring of nitrogen and phosphorous (21) levels on farm and at a reference site, following methodology in Appendix I-5 Requirement: Consistency with reference site Applicability: All farms, except, Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients as well as > 50% of disolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt from standards 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3. and 2.2.5. (21) Farms shall monitor total N, NH4, NO3, total P and Ortho-P in the water column. Results shall be submitted to the ASC database. Methods such as a Hach kit are acceptable.	Compliant	See 2.2.3
50001898	Gore	2.2.5	Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, 'fish' refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology.	Compliant	Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,696 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC.



			Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
			Requirement: Yes	(13) See Appendix VI for		The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 6,544 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC.
				transparency requirements for		ASC.
			Applicability: All	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N		
50001899	Muchalat North	2.2.5		or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In	Compliant	
				this equation, "fish" refers to		
				harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C.		
				2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp		
				ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the		
				World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sept 25-29, 2009;		
				VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index		
				BOD calculation methodology.		
			Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
			Requirement: Yes	(13) See Appendix VI for		The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 6,731 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC.
			Applicability: All	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
				(22) BOD calculated as: ((total N		
				in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in		
				fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or		
\$0001900	Williamson	2.2.5		absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct	Compliant	
50001900	williamson	2.2.5		collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to	compliant	
				harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C.		
				2009. Estimating mechanical		
				aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand		
				of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society		
				Meeting; Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global		
				Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology.		
			Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
			(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis	near the site of operation (13)		Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC.
						calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
			(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
			(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
			(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.677. A farm may deduct N		calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
50001851	Atravida Boint	225	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3, and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches	Compliant	calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N In feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In	Compliant	calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
50001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3, and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N In feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for	Compliant	calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical	Compliant	calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
50001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for C calculation methodology: Boyd Ca09. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand	Compliant	calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + (total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as iMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In his equation, fish' refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society	Compliant	calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
50001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + (total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as iMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In his equation, fish' refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCiruz, Mexico. And: Global	Compliant	calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
50001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or c that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shiring ponds from the oxygon demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Seyt 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. Anc: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology.	Compliant	calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC.
50001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N In feed – total N In fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture 52-59, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index	Compliant	Calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC. Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
50001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N In feed – total N In fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sety 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for	Compliant	calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC. Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate
50001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as iMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In his equation, fish' refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCiruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology.	Compliant	Calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC. Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
50001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	ear the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency regularements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total V in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd calculation methodology: Boyd calculation requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance index BOD calculation methodology. (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for t2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3. and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N	Compliant	Calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC. Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
50001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	ear the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57)+ ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for Calculation methodology: Boyd Calculation methodology: Boyd Calculation methodology. Expl Output See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57)+ (total C in feed – total C in	Compliant	Calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC. Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
50001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N In feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + (Itotal C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sety 25-29. 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) +	Compliant	Calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC. Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
	Conception Pt.		(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N In feed – total N In fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.57). A farm may deduct N or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sety 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N		Calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC. Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
S0001951 S0001952		2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N In feed – total N In fish)*4.57) + (Itotal C In feed – total C In fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + Min feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In	Compliant	Calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC. Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
	Conception Pt.		(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + (Itotal C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Parformance Index BOD calculation methodology. (Therion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + (Itotal C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or c that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for		Calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC. Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
	Conception Pt.		(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	ear the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd calculation methodology: Boyd world Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraGruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. Or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical		Calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC. Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
	Conception Pt.		(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	ear the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total V in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for C calculation methodology: Boyd C acutation methodology: Boyd C acutation requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total V in fish)*4.57) + (total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C calculation methodology: Boyd C calculation methodology.		Calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC. Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
	Conception Pt.		(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total V in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as iMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for C aclutation methodology. Boyd Calculation methodology. Boyd Calculation methodology. Boyd Calculation methodology. World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + (total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as iMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society		Calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC. Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
	Conception Pt.		(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total V in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as iMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as iMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global		Calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC. Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
	Conception Pt.		(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N In feed – total N In fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting, Sept 25-29, 2003) VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or c that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting, Serg 2.5, 2009;		Calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,106 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC. Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.



1						
			Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD.
			Requirement: Yes	(13) See Appendix VI for		The BOD for the last cycle, SF 19 year class was 6,521 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to ASC.
			Applicability: All	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
50003357	Esperanza - Site	2.2.5	Appircadinty: Ail	2.4.1, 2.4.2, 4.2.4 sind 2.4.5. (22) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N in feed - total N in fish) *4.57) + (Itotal C in feed - total C in fish) *2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed, in: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology.	Compliant	
			Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate
			(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis	near the site of operation (13)		calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 19 year class was 4,548 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
			Requirement: Yes	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		ASC.
			Applicability: All	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
				(22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) +		
				((total C in feed – total C in		
				fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or		
\$0003359	Steamer - Site	2.2.5		absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct	Compliant	
				collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to		
				harvested fish. Reference for		
				calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical		
				aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand		
				of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society		
				Meeting; Sept 25-29, 2009;		
				VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index		
			Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand	BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate
			(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis	near the site of operation (13)		calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 7,485 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
			Requirement: Yes	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		ASC.
			Applicability: All	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
				(22) BOD calculated as: ((total N		
				in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in		
				fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or		
\$0003675				absorbed through approaches		
50003075	Noola	225		such as IMTA or through direct	Compliant	
	Noo-la	2.2.5		collection of nutrient wasted. In	Compliant	
	Noo-la	2.2.5		collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for	Compliant	
	Noo-la	2.2.5		collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical	Compliant	
	Noo-la	2.2.5		collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand	Compliant	
	Noo-la	2.2.5		collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp	Compliant	
	Noo-la	2.2.5		collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting, Sept 25-29, 2009;	Compliant	
	Noo-la	2.2.5		collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VerafCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index	Compliant	
	Noo-la	2.2.5	Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and	Compliant	Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate
	Noo-la	2.2.5	Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology.	Compliant	Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate calculations of BOD. The BOD for the ast cycle, SF 20 year class was 4,476 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
	Noo-la	2.2.5		collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demain of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sept 25-29, 2009; Verafcruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for	Compliant	calculations of BOD.
	Noo-la	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish, Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)	Compliant	calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 4,476 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
	Noo-la	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demain of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 22. ZWater quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.1, 2.2, 2, 2.3 and 2.2, 5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N	Compliant	calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 4,476 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
	Noo-la	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demain of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + (Itotal C in feed – total C in	Compliant	calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 4,476 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
	Noo-la	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demain of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sept 25-29, 2009; VerafCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N in feed - total N in fish) *4.57) + (Itotal C in feed - total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N	Compliant	calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 4,476 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
			(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demain of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2: ZWater quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N in feed – total N in fish) "4.57] + (Itotal C in feed – total C in fish) "2.67]. A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches		calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 4,476 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
50003676	Noo-la	2.2.5	(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demain of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2: Zu Vater quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for Z. 2. Z. 2. Z. 2. Z. 3. and 2. Z. 5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + (total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C thal is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In	Compliant	calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 4,476 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
			(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demain of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 22, 22, 32 and 22, 25. (22) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N in feed – total N in fish)? 4.57). (Itotal C in feed – total C in fish)? 4.57). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or furtiered system.		calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 4,476 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
			(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish, Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Society BOD calculation methodology. BOD calculation methodology. BOD calculation methodology. (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed - total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, fish' refers to		calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 4,476 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
			(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for C. Calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demain of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting; Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for z.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((Itotal C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp		calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 4,476 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
			(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demain of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29. 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2: ZWater quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for Z.2.1, Z.2. and Z.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: (Ictal N in feed – total N in fish)" 4.57) + (Ictal C in feed – total C in fish)" 2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In this Requence for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed Toroceedings of the		calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 4,476 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
			(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demain of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 a data 2.2 s. (2) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N in feed – total N in fish)" 4.57) + (Itotal C in feed – total C in fish)" 4.57). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or through direct collection of the Argent and a from theology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009;		calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 4,476 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
			(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demain of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 22, 22, 32 and 22, 25. (22) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N in feed – total N in fish)" 4.57) + (Itotal C in feed – total C in fish)" 2.67). A farm may deduct N or C that is captured, filtered or absorbed through approaches such as IMTA or furtient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraTruz, Mexico. And: Global		calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 4,476 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
			(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes	collection of nutrient wasted. In this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology. Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demain of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. (22) BOD calculated as: (Itotal N in feed – total N in fish) "4.57) + (Itotal C in feed – total C in fish) "2.67). A farm may deduct N in C that is captured, filtered N or C that is captured, filtered N or C that is captured, filtered N arc Lation requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demandal aeration requirement in shrimp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009; VeraCruz, Mexico, And: Global		calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 4,476 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to



		Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		Grieg uses an Excel spreadsheet which was reviewed during audit and found to be providing accurate
		(BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis	near the site of operation (13)		calculations of BOD. The BOD for the last cycle, SF 20 year class was 5,421 MT O2/l, and this information has been submitted to
		Requirement: Yes	(13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		ASC.
Тза-уа		Applicability: All	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		
			(22) BOD calculated as: ((total N		
			in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) + ((total C in feed – total C in		
			fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N		
			absorbed through approaches		
	2.2.5		such as IMTA or through direct collection of nutrient wasted. In	Compliant	
			this equation, "fish" refers to		
			calculation methodology: Boyd C.		
			aeration requirement in shrimp		
			ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the		
			World Aquaculture Society Meeting: Sept 25-29, 2009:		
			VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global		
			BOD calculation methodology.		
		Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		The initial production cycle is not completed at this site, however the audit evidence of the 10 sea sites above demonstrate that Grieg Seafood is able to calculated BOD effectively on a production cycle level.
			transparency requirements for		
		Applicability: All			
			(22) BOD calculated as: ((total N in feed – total N in fish)*4.57) +		
			((total C in feed – total C in fish)*2.67). A farm may deduct N		
	2.2.5		or C that is captured, filtered or		
Lutes			such as IMTA or through direct	Compliant	
			this equation, "fish" refers to		
			harvested fish. Reference for calculation methodology: Boyd C.		
			2009. Estimating mechanical aeration requirement in shrimp		
			ponds from the oxygen demand		
			World Aquaculture Society		
			VeraCruz, Mexico. And: Global		
			Aquaculture Performance Index BOD calculation methodology.		
		Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hydenic conditions on the form which extends to all chemicals including	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and		The company has developed a comprehensive quality management program, Grieg Seafood Excellence, tha incorporates the best practices in safety, environment and social licence, as well as elements of the BAP,
		veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental			ASC and OSSE (Occupational Safety Standard of Excellence) standards.
Gore	2.2.6		transparency requirements for	Compliant	Procedures are in place for the storage and handling of chemicals and waste, feeding practices, fish containment, wildlife interactions and daily mortality collection and proper storage and disposal of
		Requirement: Yes	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		mortalities. Drug usage is under veterinary authorization and is fully documented. Workers have been adequately trained to perform duties as per company requirements.
		Applicability: All			
			Criterion 2.2 Water quality is and		Sama audit findings & auidansa as Cora sita annlias to this sita
		Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Muchalat North	226	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Muchalat North	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised	near the site of operation (13)	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Muchalat North	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Muchalat North	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Muchalat North	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)	Compliant	
Muchalat North	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for	Compliant	
		Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for		
		Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for		
		Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental eventions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental event may drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse integration environmental event and the second second to the second second to the second second the second second to the second second to the second	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2, 3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson Atrevida Point	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.3.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3 and 2.2.5.	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson Atrevida Point Conception Pt.	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson Atrevida Point Conception Pt.	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson Atrevida Point Conception Pt.	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson Atrevida Point Conception Pt.	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs. thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.	Compliant Compliant Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.	Compliant Compliant Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2, 2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for	Compliant Compliant Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bigh Island Esperanza - Site	2.2.6 2.2.6 2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.2.6 2.2.6 2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13)	Compliant Compliant Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
	Lutes	Lutes 2.2.5	Gore 2.2.6 Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basis Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Ta-ya2.5.5Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: 547-257. A farm may deduct N absorbed through approaches such as MITA or through direct collection on this Reference for VeraCuz, Mexico. Add. Global Aquaculture Society Meeting: 547-257. A farm may deduct N are of this equation, "fish" refers to harvested fish. Reference for World Aquaculture Society Meeting: 547-257. A farm may deduct N calculation methodology: Boyd C. 2009. Estimating mechanical acation requirement in shrinp ponds from the oxygen demand of feed. In: Proceedings of the World Aquaculture Society Meeting: 547-257. 2009; Meeting: 547-257. A farm may deduct N for transparency requirements for 22.1, 22.2, 22.2 and 22.5.Lutes2.2.5Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)(22) of the farm on a production cycle basisCriterion 2.0.2.1.2.1.2.1.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.	Tarya2.55Indicator: Demonstration of calculation of biochemical oxygen demand of ford. In Parsoner Biosched Hrough approaches such as MTA or Hrough Heret of acalculation methodology: Boyl 2-57, Afarm may deduct N or C that is capation, Fight refers to acalculation methodology: Boyl 2-57, 2-57, 2-50, Meeting: Spar 2-5-29, 2-50, Meeting: Spar 2-5-29, 2-50, 2



Wa-kwa Tsa-ya	2.2.6 2.2.6 2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for	Compliant Compliant Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Wa-kwa Tsa-ya	2.2.6	Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for	Compliant	
Тзә-уа	2.2.6	Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for		
Тза-уа	2.2.6	Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised	2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
		hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5. Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Lutes	2.2.6	Applicability: All Indicator: Appropriate controls are in place that maintains good culture and hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised	Criterion 2.2 Water quality in and near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for		
Lutes	2.2.6	hygienic conditions on the farm which extends to all chemicals, including veterinary drugs, thereby ensuring that adverse impacts on environmental quality are minimised	near the site of operation (13) (13) See Appendix VI for		
		Requirement. res	transparency requirements for 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.5.	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
		Applicability: All Indicator: Percentage of fines (23) in the feed at point of entry to the farm	Criterion 2.3 Nutrient release		VR246 allows the use of feed fine sampling results directly from the feed mills
		(24) (calculated following methodology in Appendix I-2) Requirement: < 1% by weight of the feed	from production (23) Fines: Dust and fragments in		Vice-foundwist fire date of recer me dampling learnst uncerty in normalized and inmost two sampled for feed fines in each of the 4 quarters of the 2022. Feed fines ranged from 0.0 to 0.18% Skretting sampled for feed fines in each of the 4 quarters of the 2022. Feed fines ranged from 0.0 to 0.1%
Gore	2.3.1	Applicability: All farms except: To be measured every quarter or every three months. Samples that are measured shall be chosen randomly. Feed may be sampled immediately prior to delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage where it is not possible to sample on farm. Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients and > 50% of disolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or other	the feed. Particles that separate from feed with a diameter of 5 mm or less when sieved through a 1 mm sieve, or particles that separate from feed		
		technologies) are exempt.	mm sieve. To be measured at farm gate (e.g. from feed bags after they are delivered to farm).	Compliant	
			(24) To be measured every quarter or every three months. Samples that are measured shall be chosen randomly. Feed may be sampled immediately prior to delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage where it is not possible to sample on farm. Chosed		
		In Product Descentions of Gran (2011) while found at an interface to the star she form	production systems that can demonstrate the collection and		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
	2.3.1	(24) (calculated following methodology in Appendix I-2) Requirement: < 1% by weight of the feed Applicability: All farms except; To be measured every quarter or every three months. Samples that are measured shall be chosen randomly. Feed may be sampled immediately prior to delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage where it is not possible to sample on farm. Closed production systems that can	from production (23) Fines: Dust and fragments in the feed. Particles that separate from feed with a diameter of 5 mm or less when sieved through a 1 mm sieve, or particles that separate from feed		
uchalat North		demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients and > 50% of disolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt.	mm when sieved through a 2.36 mm sieve. To be measured at farm gate (e.g. from feed bags after	Compliant	
			(24) To be measured every quarter or every three months. Samples that are measured shall be chosen randomly. Feed may be		
			delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage where it is not possible to sample on farm. Closed production systems that can		
		Indicator: Percentage of fines (23) in the feed at point of entry to the farm (24) (calculated following methodology in Appendix I-2)	Criterion 2.3 Nutrient release from production		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
Williamson	2.3.1	Requirement: < 1% by weight of the feed Applicability: All farms except; To be measured every quarter or every three months. Samples that are measured shall be chosen randomly. Feed may be sampled immediately prior to delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage where it is not possible to sample on farm. Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients and > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt.	(23) Fines: Dust and fragments in the feed. Particles that separate from feed with a diameter of 5 mm or less when sieved through a 1 mm sieve, or particles that separate from feed with a diameter greater than 5 mm when sieved through a 2.36		
			mm sieve. To be measured at farm gate (e.g. from feed bags after they are delivered to farm).	Compliant	
			(24) To be measured every quarter or every three months. Samples that are measured shall be chosen randomly. Feed may be sampled immediately prior to delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage where it is not possible to sample on farm. Closed production systems that can		
	chalat North	chalat North 2.3.1	Gore 2.3.1 Bequirement: < 1% by weight of the feed	Gene 2.3.1 Requirement: < 15 by weight of the feed	interpretation Paysing interpretation in the second interpret interpretation in the second interpret interpretation interpret





			Indicator: Percentage of fines (23) in the feed at point of entry to the farm (24) (calculated following methodology in Appendix I-2)	Criterion 2.3 Nutrient release from production		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: < 1% by weight of the feed	(23) Fines: Dust and fragments in		
				the feed. Particles that separate		
			Applicability: All farms except; To be measured every quarter or every three months. Samples that are measured shall be chosen randomly. Feed may be	from feed with a diameter of 5 mm or less when sieved		
			sampled immediately prior to delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage where it is not possible to sample on farm. Closed production systems that can	through a 1 mm sieve, or particles that separate from feed		
			demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients	with a diameter greater than 5 mm when sieved through a 2.36		
			and > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt.	mm		
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	2.3.1		sieve. To be measured at farm gate (e.g. from feed bags after	Compliant	
				they are delivered to farm).		
				(24) To be measured every		
				quarter or every three months. Samples that are measured shall		
				be chosen randomly. Feed may be sampled immediately prior to		
				delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage where it is not		
				possible to sample on farm. Closed		
				production systems that can		
			Indicator: Percentage of fines (23) in the feed at point of entry to the farm	demonstrate the collection and Criterion 2.3 Nutrient release from	production	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			(24) (calculated following methodology in Appendix I-2)			
			Requirement: < 1% by weight of the feed			
	Conception Pt.		Applicability: All farms except; To be measured every quarter or every three			
S0001952	Bligh Island	2.3.1	months. Samples that are measured shall be chosen randomly. Feed may be sampled immediately prior to delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage		Compliant	
			where it is not possible to sample on farm. Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients			
			and > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt.			
			Indicator: Percentage of fines (23) in the feed at point of entry to the farm	Criterion 2.3 Nutrient release from	production	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			(24) (calculated following methodology in Appendix I-2)			
			Requirement: < 1% by weight of the feed			
\$0003357	Esperanza - Site	221	Applicability: All farms except; To be measured every quarter or every three months. Samples that are measured shall be chosen randomly. Feed may be		Compliant	
50005557	caperonico ore	2.3.1	sampled immediately prior to delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage		compliant	
			where it is not possible to sample on farm. Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients			
			and > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt.			
			Indicator: Percentage of fines (23) in the feed at point of entry to the farm	Criterion 2.3 Nutrient release from	production	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			(24) (calculated following methodology in Appendix I-2)			
			Requirement: < 1% by weight of the feed			
			Applicability: All farms except; To be measured every quarter or every three		Constant	
S0003359	Steamer - Site	2.3.1	months. Samples that are measured shall be chosen randomly. Feed may be sampled immediately prior to delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage		Compliant	
			where it is not possible to sample on farm. Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients			
			and > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt.			
			Indicator: Percentage of fines (23) in the feed at point of entry to the farm	Criterion 2.3 Nutrient release from	production	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			(24) (calculated following methodology in Appendix I-2)			
			Requirement: < 1% by weight of the feed			
\$0003675	Noo-la	2.3.1	Applicability: All farms except; To be measured every quarter or every three months. Samples that are measured shall be chosen randomly. Feed may be		Compliant	
50005075	10010	2	sampled immediately prior to delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage			
			where it is not possible to sample on farm. Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients			
			and > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt.			
			Indicator: Percentage of fines (23) in the feed at point of entry to the farm	Criterion 2.3 Nutrient release from	production	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			(24) (calculated following methodology in Appendix I-2)			
			Requirement: < 1% by weight of the feed			
0000000		2.2.1	Applicability: All farms except; To be measured every quarter or every three		Compliant	
S0003676	Wa-kwa	2.3.1	months. Samples that are measured shall be chosen randomly. Feed may be sampled immediately prior to delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage		compliant	
			where it is not possible to sample on farm. Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients			
			and > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt.			
			Indicator: Percentage of fines (23) in the feed at point of entry to the farm	Criterion 2.3 Nutrient release from	production	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			(24) (calculated following methodology in Appendix I-2)			
			Requirement: < 1% by weight of the feed			
\$0003677	Ter	2.2.1	Applicability: All farms except; To be measured every quarter or every three		Compliant	
50003677	Tsa-ya	2.3.1	months. Samples that are measured shall be chosen randomly. Feed may be sampled immediately prior to delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage		compliant	
			where it is not possible to sample on farm. Closed production systems that can demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients			
			and > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, settling and/or other technologies) are exempt.			
			Indicator: Percentage of fines (23) in the feed at point of entry to the farm	Criterion 2.3 Nutrient release from	production	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			(24) (calculated following methodology in Appendix I-2)			
			Requirement: < 1% by weight of the feed			
\$0005239	Lutes	2.3.1	Applicability: All farms except; To be measured every quarter or every three months. Samples that are measured shall be chosen randomly. Feed may be		Compliant	
			sampled immediately prior to delivery to farm for sites with no feed storage where it is not possible to sample on farm. Closed production systems that can			
			demonstrate the collection and responsible disposal of > 75% of solid nutrients and > 50% of dissolved nutrients (through biofiltration, setting and/or other			
			technologies) are exempt.			



\$0001898	Gore	2.4.1	Indicator: Evidence of an assessment of the farm's potential impacts on biodiversity and nearby ecosystems that contains at a minimum the components outlined in Appendix I-3 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	Compliant	There has not been an update to the impact assessment since it was presented to this auditor In March of 2022. The following information remains current. The applicant presented the document impact Assessment Natoxta - Jan 2022, an update of original assessment created in 2019. The report was prepared by Grieg's Certification Manager who is a Registered Professional Biologist. It lists several sources from which information was drawn, including the Nootka Caastal Land Use Plan, a 2001 document prepared by the provincial government. Wildlife species at risk and species of concern are covered, as well as special ecosystems and habitats including IBAs (Important Bird Areas). The assessment addresses parks and protected areas and other users of the area, and describes management strategies for protecting biodiversity and ecosystems. It contains a series of mags from provincial and federal government sources showing IBAs, Rockfish Conservation Areas, marine protected areas, critical habitats for the Southern Niller while population and matcled murrelets, commercial crab fisheries and herring spawning areas. The assessment concludes "that there are no identified High Conservation Value Areas within the vicinity of the Nockfa finfsh angualture sea sites: The biological impact from the site is not significant and is limited to potential interactions with species at risk and there are sufficient procedures in place to minimize these interactions."
\$0001899	Muchalat North	2.4.1	Indicator: Evidence of an assessment of the farm's potential impacts on biodiversity and nearby ecosystems that contains at a minimum the components outlined in Appendix I-3 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001900	Williamson	2.4.1	Applicability: All	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	2.4.1	Applicationity. Au Indicator: Evidence of an assessment of the farm's potential impacts on biodiversity and nearby ecosystems that contains at a minimum the components outlined in Appendix I-3 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.4.1	Indicator: Evidence of an assessment of the farm's potential impacts on biodiversity and nearby ecosystems that contains at a minimum the components outlined in Appendix I-3 Requirement: Yes	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0003357	Esperanza - Site	2.4.1	Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence of an assessment of the farm's potential impacts on biodiversity and nearby ecosystems that contains at a minimum the components outlined in Appendix I-3 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	Compliant	There has not been an update to the impact assessment since it was presented to this auditor In March of 2022. The following information remains current. The applicant presented the document impact Assessment Esperanza - Jan 2022, an update of original assessment done in 2019. The report was prepared by Grieg'S Certification Manager who is a Registered Professional Biologist. It lists several sources from which information was drawn, including the Nootka Coastal Land Use Plan, a 2001 document prepared by the provincial government. Wildlife species at risk and species of concern are covered, as well as special ecosystems and habitats including IBAS (Important Bird Areas). The assessment addresses parks and protected areas and other users of the area, and describes management strategies for protecting biodiversity and ecosystems. It contains a series of maps from provincial and federal government sources showing IBAs, Rockfish Conservation Areas, marine protected areas, critical habitats for the Southern Niller whale population and marbled murrelets, commercial crab fisheries and herring spawning areas. The assessment concludes "that there are no identified High Conservation Value Areas within the vicinity of the Esperanza finfish auculture sea site. The biological impact from the site is not significant and is limited to potential interactions with species at risk and there are sufficient procedures in place to minimize these interactions."
\$0003359	Steamer - Site	2.4.1	Indicator: Evidence of an assessment of the farm's potential impacts on biodiversity and nearby ecosystems that contains at a minimum the components outlined in Appendix I-3 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Esperanza site applies to this site.
\$0003675	Noo-la	2.4.1	Indicator: Evidence of an assessment of the farm's potential impacts on biodiversity and nearby ecosystems that contains at a minimum the components outlined in Appendix I-3 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	Compliant	The applicant presented the document Impact Assessment Clio Channel - Jan 2022. The report was prepared by Grieg's Certification Manager who is a Registered Professional Biologist. It lists several sources from which information was drawn, including the Baseline Eish and Fish Hablett Assessment (Noo-la, Wa-kwa and Tsa-ya) by Mainstream Biological and the Marine Planning Partnership Initiative. 2015. North Vancouver Island Marine Plan. Wildlife species at risk and species of concern are covered, as well as special ecosystems and habitats including BAs- and face trained and species of concern are covered, as well as special ecosystems and habitats including BAs- maps from provincial and federal government sources showing BAs, Rocklish Conservation Areas, marine protected areas, critical habitats for the Southern killer whale population and marbled murrelets, commercial crab fisheries and herring spawning areas. The assessment Conclowes "That there are no lidentified High Conservation Value Areas within the vicinity of the Noo-la, Wa-kwa and Ta-ya finitshi aquaculture sea sites. The impact from the site is not significant and is limited to potential interactions with species at risk and there are sufficient procedures in place to minimize these interactions."
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	2.4.1	Indicator: Evidence of an assessment of the farm's potential impacts on biodiversity and nearby ecosystems that contains at a minimum the components outlined in Appendix I-3 Requirement: Yes	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Noo-la site applies to this site.
\$0003677	Tsa-ya	2.4.1	Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence of an assessment of the farm's potential impacts on biodiversity and nearby ecosystems that contains at a minimum the components outlined in Appendix I-3 Requirement: Yes	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Noo-la site applies to this site.
\$0005239	Lutes	2.4.1	Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence of an assessment of the farm's potential impacts on biodiversity and nearby ecosystems that contains at a minimum the components outlined in Appendix I-3 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Esperanza site applies to this site.





				Indicator: Allowance for the farm to be sited in a protected area (25) or High Conservation Value Areas(26) (HCVAs) Requirement: None (27)	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	Il or sensitive ha	GBSE lies dwaprecized om the down of the own of the down of the do
	50001898	Gore	2.4.2	Applicability: All, The following exceptions shall be made; + For protected areas classified by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as Category Vor VI (these are areas preserved primarily for the inanscapes or for sustainable resource management). + For HCVAs if the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the HCVA designation. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been identified as a HCVA. + For farms located in a protected area if it was designated as such after the protected area and it is in compliance with any relevant conditions or regulations placed on the farm as a result of the formation/designation of the protected area. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been protected.		Compliant	
ľ					Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critica	I or sensitive ha	Glistisiandwaparclashmitted to ASC and were verified by auditor. The farm is not situated in a protected area or HCVA (res 2.4.1)
	50001899	Muchalat North	2.4.2	Conservation Value Areas(26) (HCVAs) Requirement: None (27) Applicability: All, The following exceptions shall be made; • For protected areas classified by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or V (these are areas preserved primarily for their landscapes or for sustainable resource management). • For HCVAs if the farm can demonstrate that it is environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the HCVA designation. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been identified as a HCVA. • For farms located in a protected area if it was designated as such after the farm was already in operation and provided the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the protected area. It is in compatible with the core reason an area has been protected.		Compliant	The farm is not situated in a protected area or HCVA (see 2.4.1).
					Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critica	Il or sensitive ha	GBStSilandwapeckedomitted to ASC and were verified by auditor.
	50001900	Williamson	2.4.2	Conservation Value Areas(26) (HCVAs) Requirement: None (27) Applicability: All, The following exceptions shall be made; • For protected areas classified by the international Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or V (these are areas preserved primarily for their landscapes or for sustainable resource management). • For HCVAs if the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the HCVA designation. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that its not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been identified as a HCVA. • For farms located in a protected area if it was designated as such after the farm was already in operation and provided the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the protected area and it is in complance with any relevant conditions or regulations placed on the farm as a result of the formation/designation of the protected area. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been protected.		Compliant	The farm is not situated in a protected area or HCVA (see 2.4.1).
	50001951	Atrevida Point	2.4.2	Indicator: Allowance for the farm to be sited in a protected area (25) or High Conservation Value Area(26) (HCVAs) Requirement: None (27) Applicability: All, The following exceptions shall be made; - For protected areas classified by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or V (these are areas preserved primarily for their landscapes or for sustainable resource management). - For HCVAs if the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the HCVA designation. The burden of provol would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the core reason an area has been identified as a HCVA. - For farms located in a protected area if it was designated as such after the farm was already in operation and provided the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the protected area and it is in complance with any relevant conditions or regulations placed on the farm as a result of the formation/designation of the protected area. The burden of provo would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been protected.	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	l or sensitive ha	SBSEfilesdepreciedomitted to ASC and were verified by auditor. The farm is not situated in a protected area or HCVA (see 2.4.1).





				Indicator: Allowance for the farm to be sited in a protected area (25) or High Conservation Value Areas(26) (HCVAs)	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critica	I or sensitive ha	GBStSilesdwapeckedsmitted to ASC and were verified by auditor. The farm is not situated in a protected area or HCVA (see 2.4.1).
				Requirement: None (27)			
	50001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.4.2	Applicability: All, The following exceptions shall be made; For protected areas classified by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or V (these are areas preserved primarily for their landscapes or for sustainable resource management). • For HCVAs if the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the HCVA designation. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been identified as HCVA. • For farms located in a protected area if it was designated as such after the farm was already in operation and provided the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the protected area and it is in compliance with any relevant conditions or regulations placed on the farm as a result of the formation/designation of the protected area. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been protected.		Compliant	
ł				Indicator: Allowance for the farm to be sited in a protected area (25) or High	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critica	I or sensitive ha	GISt files dispecies builted to ASC and were verified by auditor.
	\$0003357	Esperanza - Site	2.4.2	Conservation Value Areas(26) (HCVAs) Requirement: None (27) Applicability: All, The following exceptions shall be made; • For protected areas classified by the international Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or V (Hose are areas preserved primarily for their landscapes or for sustainable resource management). • For HCVAs' If the farm can demonstrate that it is environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the HCVA designation. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been identified as a HCVA. • For farms located in a protected area if it was designated as such after the protected area and it is in compatible with the conservation objectives of the protected area and it is in complance with any relevant conditions or regulations placed on the farm as a result of the formation/designation of the protected area. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been protected.		Compliant	The farm is not situated in a protected area or HCVA (see 2.4.1).
				Indicator: Allowance for the farm to be sited in a protected area (25) or High	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	I or sensitive ha	GBISt Siles disprecises britted to ASC and were verified by auditor.
	50003359	Steamer - Site	2.4.2	Conservation Value Area(26) (HCVAs) Requirement: None (27) Applicability: All, The following exceptions shall be made; • For protected areas classified by the international Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or V (these are areas preserved primarily for their landscapes or for sustainable resource management). • For HCVAs if the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the HCVA designation. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that its environmental impacts are rarm vasa laready in operation and provided the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the protected area and it is in contiguations or regulations placed on the farm to devant conditions or regulations placed on the farm as a result of the formation/designation of the protected area. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been protected.		Compliant	The farm is not situated in a protected area or HCVA (see 2.4.1).
	\$0003675	Noc-la	2.4.2	Indicator: Allowance for the farm to be sited in a protected area (25) or High Conservation Value Areas(26) (HCVAs) Requirement: None (27) Applicability: All, The following exceptions shall be made; + For protected areas classified by the international Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or V (these are areas preserved primarily for their landscapes or for sustainable resource management). + For HCVAs if the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the HCVA designation. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that its not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been identified as a HCVA. + For farms located in a protected area if it was designated as such after the farm vas already in operation and provided the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the protected area and it is in complance with any relevant conditions or regulations placed on the farm as a result of the formation/designation of the protected area and it is in complance with any relevant conditions or the protected area it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been protected.	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	l or sensitive ha	GBS Elendoppededomitted to ASC and were verified by auditor. The farm is not situated in a protected area or HCVA (see 2.4.1).



			Indicator: Allowance for the farm to be sited in a protected area (25) or High Conservation Value Areas(26) (HCVAs)	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	al or sensitive ha	GBStSilesdusprecies/mitted to ASC and were verified by auditor. The farm is not situated in a protected area or HCVA (see 2.4.1).
			Requirement: None (27)			
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	2.4.2	Applicability: All, The following exceptions shall be made; - For protected areas classified by the international Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or VI (these are areas preserved primarily for their landscapes or for sustainable resource management). - For HCVAs if the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the HCVA designation. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been identified as a HCVA. - For farms located in a protected area if it was designated as such after the farm was already in operation and provided the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the protected area and it is in compliance with any relevant conditions or regulations placed on the farm as a result of the formation/designation of the protected area. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been protected.		Compliant	
			Indicator: Allowance for the farm to be sited in a protected area (25) or High	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critic	I or sensitive ha	State and Gopecies
\$0003677	Тануа	2.4.2	Conservation Value Areas(26) (HCVAs) Requirement: None (27) Applicability: All, The following exceptions shall be made; • For protected areas classified by the international Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or V (Hese are areas preserved primarily for their landscapes or for sustainable resource management). • For HCVAs If the farm can demonstrate that it is environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the HCVA designation. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been identified as a HCVA. • For farms located in a protected area if it was designated as such after the environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the protected area and it is in compatible with the coreavant conditions or regulations placed on the farm as a result of the formation/designation of the protected area. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that its not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been protected.		Compliant	
			Indicator: Allowance for the farm to be sited in a protected area (25) or High Conservation Value Areas(26) (HCVAs)	Criterion 2.4 Interaction with critica	I or sensitive ha	GISSIBiandwapeckedomitted to ASC and were verified by auditor. The farm is not situated in a protected area or HCVA (see 2.4.1).
\$0005239	Lutes	2.4.2	Requirement: None (27) Applicability: AI, The following exceptions shall be made; • For protected areas classified by the international Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or VI (these are areas preserved primarily for their landscapes of for sustainable resource management). • For HCVAs if the farm can demonstrate that its environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the ICVA designation. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been identified as a HCVA. • For farms located in a protected area if it was designated as such after the environmental impacts are compatible with the conservation objectives of the protected area and it is in compatible with the corear consons or regulations placed on the farm as a result of the formation/designation of the protected area. The burden of proof would be placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has been protected.		Compliant	
			Indicator: Number of days in the production cycle when acoustic deterrent	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	(20) AHDs are prohibited under 8.8 of the Marine Finfish Aquaculture Licence under the Fisheries Act
50001898	Gore	2.5.1	devices (ADDs) or acoustic harassment devices (AHDs) were used Requirement: 0 Applicability: All		Compliant	where it is stated: "When the licence holder uses Megafauna deterrents, they must: not use Acoustical Deterrents." The auditor did not observe any ADDs or AHDs during the tour of the farm site.
			Indicator: Number of days in the production cycle when acoustic deterrent devices (ADDs) or acoustic harassment devices (AHDs) were used	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Statore (20) It findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
S0001899	Muchalat North	2.5.1	Requirement: 0 Applicability: All		Compliant	
			Indicator: Number of days in the production cycle when acoustic deterrent	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Satore [20] it findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
S0001900	Williamson	2.5.1	devices (ADDs) or acoustic harassment devices (AHDs) were used Requirement: 0 Applicability: All		Compliant	
			Indicator: Number of days in the production cycle when acoustic deterrent	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Saore (20) it findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	2.5.1	devices (ADDs) or acoustic harassment devices (AHDs) were used Requirement: 0 Applicability: All		Compliant	
			Indicator: Number of days in the production cycle when acoustic deterrent	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pres	Satore (20) It findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.5.1	devices (ADDs) or acoustic harassment devices (AHDs) were used Requirement: 0		Compliant	inenge
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Number of days in the production cycle when acoustic deterrent devices (ADDs) or acoustic harassment devices (AHDs) were used	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli		Shione (20) It findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
S0003357	Esperanza - Site	2.5.1	Requirement: 0		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			



			1			
			Indicator: Number of days in the production cycle when acoustic deterrent devices (ADDs) or acoustic harassment devices (AHDs) were used	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	ሰያልወገቱ (ብደዝ)it findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
S0003359	Steamer - Site	2.5.1	Requirement: 0		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Number of days in the production cycle when acoustic deterrent	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe including pre	tSaore (28) It findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			devices (ADDs) or acoustic harassment devices (AHDs) were used		ic, meldung pre	
S0003675	Noo-la	2.5.1	Requirement: 0		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Number of days in the production cycle when acoustic deterrent	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	faore (20) It findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			devices (ADDs) or acoustic harassment devices (AHDs) were used			
S0003676	Wa-kwa	2.5.1	Requirement: 0		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Number of days in the production cycle when acoustic deterrent	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Saore (29) It findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			devices (ADDs) or acoustic harassment devices (AHDs) were used			
S0003677	Tsa-ya	2.5.1	Requirement: 0		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Number of days in the production cycle when acoustic deterrent devices (ADDs) or acoustic harassment devices (AHDs) were used	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Baore (ደዝ) it findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0005239	Lutes	2.5.1	Requirement: 0		Compliant	
50005255	Lutes	2.3.1			compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Number of mortalities (30) of endangered or red-listed (31) marine mammals or birds on the farm	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	te, including pre	Aktoms(q29)[ities of endangered or red-listed marine mammals or birds on the farm.
S0001898	Gore	2.5.2	Requirement: 0 (zero)		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
				Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	datoms(#29) lities of endangered or red-listed marine mammals or birds on the farm.
S0001899	Muchalat North	2.5.2			Compliant	
			Requirement: 0 (zero)			
			Applicability: All Indicator: Number of mortalities (30) of endangered or red-listed (31) marine	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	thitoms(#29) lities of endangered or red-listed marine mammals or birds on the farm.
\$0001900	Williamson	252	mammals or birds on the farm		Compliant	
50001900	winamson	2.5.2	Requirement: 0 (zero)		Compliant	
			Applicability: All Indicator: Number of mortalities (30) of endangered or red-listed (31) marine	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Nitoms(29)]Ities of endangered or red-listed marine mammals or birds on the farm.
			mammals or birds on the farm			
S0001951	Atrevida Point	2.5.2	Requirement: 0 (zero)		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Number of mortalities (30) of endangered or red-listed (31) marine mammals or birds on the farm	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	le, including pre	dations(q29) lities of endangered or red-listed marine mammals or birds on the farm.
S0001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.5.2	Requirement: 0 (zero)		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Number of mortalities (30) of endangered or red-listed (31) marine mammals or birds on the farm	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	blicons(29) jities of endangered or red-listed marine mammals or birds on the farm.
S0003357	Esperanza - Site	2.5.2	Requirement: 0 (zero)		Compliant	
				Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Bitoms(29) lities of endangered or red-listed marine mammals or birds on the farm.
\$0003359	Steamer - Site	2.5.2	mammals or birds on the farm		Compliant	
	Julia Sile		Requirement: 0 (zero)			
			Applicability: All Indicator: Number of mortalities (30) of endangered or red-listed (31) marine	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Nitoms(@99)]Ities of endangered or red-listed marine mammals or birds on the farm.
			mammals or birds on the farm			
S0003675	Noo-la	2.5.2	Requirement: 0 (zero)		Compliant	
			Applicability: All Indicator: Number of mortalities (30) of endangered or red-listed (31) marine	Critorion 2.5 Internationalist	in includer -	Nitour (2001) Line of an damagered as read. Hered marine many sets as blads as the form
			Indicator: Number of mortalities (30) of endangered or red-listed (31) marine mammals or birds on the farm	cinterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	detoms(20) lities of endangered or red-listed marine mammals or birds on the farm.
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	2.5.2	Requirement: 0 (zero)		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Number of mortalities (30) of endangered or red-listed (31) marine mammals or birds on the farm	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Bittors (29) lities of endangered or red-listed marine mammals or birds on the farm.
\$0003677	Tsa-ya	2.5.2	Requirement: 0 (zero)		Compliant	
				Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	antonsq@@ilities of endangered or red- listed marine mammals or birds on the farm.
\$0005239	Lutes	2.5.2	mammals or birds on the farm		Compliant	
50005259	Lutes		Requirement: 0 (zero)		phane	
			Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Bassiv(29) edator controls are in place, Bird nets over the top of the pens, predator netting around the main
			(32) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action			Containment net, electric fencing if required to keep predators off the pen system. The SOP SW - Wildlife Interaction, states
			 Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific 			"A marine mammal may only be culled after consultation with Grieg head office and after it has been determined by DFO that the mammal is an imminent risk for human safety or harm to the infrastructure
			 Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority 			that could result in a significant fish escape event. Any lethal actions must be made in consultation, and
S0001898	Gore	2.5.3	Requirement: Yes (33)		Compliant	upon the direction of DFO (with the involvement of the Regulatory Affairs Manager)." There have been no lethal actions taken against predators since the previous audit.
			Applicability: All. except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception			
			to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior			
			manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed.			
						1



1						
			Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (32) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli		ŝatore (ደይ) k findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001899	Muchalat North	2.5.3	Requirement: Yes (33)		Compliant	
			Applicability: All, except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed.			
			Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (32) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	端arme (201)は findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
S0001900	Williamson	2.5.3	Requirement: Yes (33)		Compliant	
			Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed.			
			Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (32) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Exploit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	Batore (RB) It findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	2.5.3	animal from the relevant regulatory authority		Compliant	
			Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All. except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception			
			to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed.			
			Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (32) against a predator:	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	dSatore (203) it findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001952	Conception Pt.	2.5.3	 All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority 		Compliant	
30001332	Bligh Island	2.3.5	Requirement: Yes (33)		compliant	
			Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed.			
			Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (32) against a predator:	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	Saore (22) it findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action Z. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority			
			anima nom the relevant regulatory authority			
\$0003357	Esperanza - Site	2.5.3	Requirement: Yes (33)		Compliant	
S0003357	Esperanza - Site	2.5.3	Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All. except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed.			
50003357	Esperanza - Site	2.5.3	Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All, except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (32) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli		Shame (20)It findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
	Esperanza - Site		Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All, except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (32) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli		Satore (201) It findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All, except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (32) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	Baone (ደደዩ) it findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (22) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Exploit permutes were pursued prior to using lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior		e, including pre	Skore (20) findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site. Skore (20) findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
	Steamer - Site		Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered. Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (22) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (23) against a predator: 1. All other avenese were pursued prior to using lethal action (24) against a predator: 2. All other avendes to take lethal action against the specific 3. All other avendes were taken to to take lethal action 3. All other avendes were taken prior to lethal action 3. Applicability: All except to take lethal action against the specific 3. Applicability to take lethal action against the specific		e, including pre	
50003359	Steamer - Site	2.5.3	Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (23) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action (23) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action (23) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit peredator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit peredator: 3. Explicit peredator: 3. Explicit peredator: 3. Explicit peredator: 4. Bit other avenues to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority		e, including prev Compliant e, including pre	
50003359	Steamer - Site	2.5.3	Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (22) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-indicator approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (22) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action (23) against a predator: 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-indicator approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (23) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action (24) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action (25) against a predator: 2. Approval was given from a senior manager 3. Ap	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre Compliant e, including pre Compliant	
50003359	Steamer - Site	2.5.3	Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (22) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were prused prior to using lethal action 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (23) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were prused prior to using lethal action (24) against a predator: 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All, except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-indicator against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All, except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-indicatent approval from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Applicability: All, except cases where human safety is endangered. Fouc	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre Compliant e, including pre Compliant	Same (20))t findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50003359	Steamer - Site	2.5.3	Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (22) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-indicator approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (22) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action (23) against a predator: 2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager 3. Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority Requirement: Yes (33) Applicability: All except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is endangered. Should this be required, post-indicator approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed. Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action (23) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action (24) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action (25) against a predator: 2. Approval was given from a senior manager 3. Ap	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre Compliant e, including pre Compliant e, including pre	Same (20))t findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.



			Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	tSatore (29) it findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			(32) against a predator:1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action			
			 Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific 			
			animal from the relevant regulatory authority			
\$0003677	Tsa-ya	2.5.3	Requirement: Yes (33)		Compliant	
			Applicability: All. except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception			
			to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is			
			endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed.			
			Indicator: Evidence that the following steps were taken prior to lethal action	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	fatore (29) It findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			(32) against a predator: 1. All other avenues were pursued prior to using lethal action			
			2. Approval was given from a senior manager above the farm manager			
			3. Explicit permission was granted to take lethal action against the specific animal from the relevant regulatory authority			
S0005239	Lutes	2.5.3	Requirement: Yes (33)		Compliant	
			Applicability: All. except cases where human safety is endangered' Exception			
			to these conditions may be made for a rare situation where human safety is			
			endangered. Should this be required, post-incident approval from a senior manager should be made and relevant authorities must be informed.			
			Indicator: Evidence that information about any lethal incidents on the farm	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Tabler\$029\$W - Wildlife Interaction, states: 'All mortality incidents must be posted to the Grieg website within
			has been made easily publicly available (34)			30 days of the incident.
\$0001898	Gore	2.5.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	Records are available on the Grieg website at https://www.griegseafoodcanada.com/our-
			Applicability: All			environment/information-sharing/
			Indicator: Evidence that information about any lethal incidents on the farm	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	fatore (20) it findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			has been made easily publicly available (34)			
S0001899	Muchalat North	2.5.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Evidence that information about any lethal incidents on the farm has been made easily publicly available (34)	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Baore (20) it findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
S0001900	Williamson	2.5.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence that information about any lethal incidents on the farm	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Satore (29) It findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	2.5.4	has been made easily publicly available (34)		Compliant	
50001551	Adenderoni	2.3.4	Requirement: Yes			
			Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence that information about any lethal incidents on the farm	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe including nre	Sacore (20) If findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			has been made easily publicly available (34)		ic, melading pre	
S0001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.5.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Evidence that information about any lethal incidents on the farm has been made easily publicly available (34)	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	វSatore (ଯິୟ) it findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0003357	Esperanza - Site	2.5.4			Compliant	
			Requirement: Yes			
			Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence that information about any lethal incidents on the farm	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Satore (203) It findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0003359		2.5.4	has been made easily publicly available (34)		Compliant	
20003329	Steamer - Site	2.5.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence that information about any lethal incidents on the farm	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fo including pro	Saore (28): It findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			has been made easily publicly available (34)	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with with	ie, including pre	
\$0003675	Noo-la	2.5.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Evidence that information about any lethal incidents on the farm has been made easily publicly available (34)	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	tisaore (20) it findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	2.5.4			Compliant	
			Requirement: Yes			
			Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence that information about any lethal incidents on the farm	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	fsatore (219)It findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			has been made easily publicly available (34)			
S0003677	Tsa-ya	2.5.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Evidence that information about any lethal incidents on the farm has been made easily publicly available (34)	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	le, including pre	ይሄልንንቱ (ፈንደ) it findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
S0005239	Lutes	2.5.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Maximum number of lethal incidents (35) on the farm over the prior two years	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	diteras(29) entarglement May 21 2021, is the only lethal incident in the past 2 years, this information has been provided to ASC and posted to the Grieg website
		2.5.5			Come in a	accur promote to App and posted to the oneg website
S0001898	Gore	2.5.5	Requirement: < 9 lethal incidents, (36) with no more than two of the incidents being marine mammals		Compliant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Maximum number of lethal incidents (35) on the farm over the prior two years	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	dBbors (29)e been no lethal incidents at this farm in the past 2 years
50001899	Muchalat North	255			Compliant	
30001033	which and the first	2.3.5	Requirement: < 9 lethal incidents, (36) with no more than two of the incidents being marine mammals		compnant	
			Applicability: All			
			Indicator: Maximum number of lethal incidents (35) on the farm over the prior two years	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	ˈ Bibiʊsˈsi(29)has had 4 lethal incidents in the last 2 years. March 2, 2021 (Crow), Sept 23, 2021 (Crow and Seagull) January 19, 2023 (Great Blue Heron)
\$0001900	Williamson	2.5.5	Requirement: < 9 lethal incidents, (36) with no more than two of the incidents		Compliant	
			being marine mammals			
			Applicability: All			



			Indicator: Maximum number of lethal incidents (35) on the farm over the prior two years	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	Tibere(129)e been no lethal incidents at this farm in the past 2 years
S0001951	Atrevida Point	2.5.5	Requirement: < 9 lethal incidents, (36) with no more than two of the incidents being marine mammals		Compliant	
			Applicability: All Indicator: Maximum number of lethal incidents (35) on the farm over the prior two years	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	(libers(i29)e been no lethal incidents at this farm in the past 2 years
S0001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.5.5	Requirement: < 9 lethal incidents, (36) with no more than two of the incidents being marine mammals		Compliant	
			Applicability: All Indicator: Maximum number of lethal incidents (35) on the farm over the prior two years	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	Clibers (29)e been no lethal incidents at this farm in the past 2 years
S0003357	Esperanza - Site	2.5.5	Requirement: < 9 lethal incidents, (36) with no more than two of the incidents being marine mammals		Compliant	
			Applicability: All Indicator: Maximum number of lethal incidents (35) on the farm over the prior two years	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	fiborfs(d9)has had 2 lethal incidents in the last 2 years. Feb 5, 2021 (Sea Lion) Nov 2, 2022 (Seaguli)
\$0003359	Steamer - Site	2.5.5	Requirement: < 9 lethal incidents, (36) with no more than two of the incidents being marine mammals		Compliant	
			Applicability: All Indicator: Maximum number of lethal incidents (35) on the farm over the prior two years	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	Ribustá(28)has had 2 lethal incidents in the last 2 years. Nov 28, 2022 (Western Grebe x2)
\$0003675	Noo-la	2.5.5	Requirement: < 9 lethal incidents, (36) with no more than two of the incidents being marine mammals		Compliant	
			Applicability: All Indicator: Maximum number of lethal incidents (35) on the farm over the prior two years	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	bloorfa(29)has had 2 lethal incidents in the last 2 years. July 17, 2022 (Crow) Nov 5, 2022 (Blue Heron)
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	2.5.5	Requirement: < 9 lethal incidents, (36) with no more than two of the incidents being marine mammals		Compliant	uny zz, zodz (Clow) two z, zodz (unie neronij
			Applicability: All Indicator: Maximum number of lethal incidents (35) on the farm over the	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	(Bhere (129)e been no lethal incidents at this farm in the past 2 years
\$0003677			prior two years		Constitut	
30003677	Tsa-ya	2.5.5	Requirement: < 9 lethal incidents, (36) with no more than two of the incidents being marine mammals Applicability: All		Compliant	
			Indicator: Maximum number of lethal incidents (35) on the farm over the prior two years	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	Tithers (29)e been no lethal incidents at this farm in the past 2 years
S0005239	Lutes	2.5.5	Requirement: < 9 lethal incidents, (36) with no more than two of the incidents being marine mammals		Compliant	
			Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	Mini(29): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and
50001898	Gore	2.5.6	risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes		Compliant	nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATs reporting system. The DATS reporting system, of Wildlife Mortality, the form used is investigations renvironmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures.
			Applicability: All			There is also an 'Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021.
				Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre	Midhi(29): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system.
50001899	Muchalat North	2.5.6	risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes		Compliant	The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations > Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites
\$0001899	Muchalat North	2.5.6			Compliant	
\$0001899	Muchalat North	2.5.6	steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli		the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction", dated April 2021. AMD/12/DF SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and musiance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system.
50001899 50001900	Muchalat North	2.5.6	steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli		the details of the incident and the concret steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction", dated April 2021. Mathat 2019: SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures.
			steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of fethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All		e, including pre Compliant	the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Midhi(2B): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality. The form used is Investigations Servironmental, includes the data of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021.
50001900	Williamson	2.5.6	steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of fethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All		e, including pre Compliant e, including pre	the details of the incident and the concret steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Addhi(2B): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021.
			steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of fethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences		e, including pre Compliant	the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Mitchield2B: SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Midhield2B: SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system.
50001900	Williamson	2.5.6	steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and the reduce that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and the reduce that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of lethal incident (s) has been undertaken and the reduce that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre Compliant e, including pre Compliant	the details of the incident and the concret steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. MidNir(2b) : SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the datalis of the incident and the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concret steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. MidNir(bi) SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and misance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system.
50001900	Williamson	2.5.6	steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the iter is the and the event of a lethal incident.	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre Compliant e, including pre Compliant	the details of the incident and the concret steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Addit(2B): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATs reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Addit(2B): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the data of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Mathic 2B): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Mathic 2B): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortal
50001900 50001951	Williamson Atrevida Point Conception Pt.	2.5.6	steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre Compliant e, including pre Compliant e, including pre	the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction", dated April 2021. Midnic 2019: SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction", dated April 2021. Midnic 2019: WWIIdlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. The data of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction", dated April 2021. Midnic 2019: SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The bATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality. The form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the bATS reporting system.
50001900 50001951	Williamson Atrevida Point Conception Pt.	2.5.6	steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre Compliant e, including pre Compliant e, including pre Compliant	the details of the incident and the concret steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Addition with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Mitchic2019: SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the datails of the incident and the concrete steps are identified on report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the datails of the incident and the concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an Temergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Mathic2019; SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the datails of the incident and the concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. The DATS reporting system for Wildlife
50001900 50001951	Williamson Atrevida Point Conception Pt.	2.5.6	steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal encident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre Compliant e, including pre Compliant e, including pre Compliant	the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Midnic 2019: SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the datails of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Midnic 2019; SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future i
\$0001900 \$0001951 \$0001952	Williamson Arrevida Point Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.5.6	steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre Compliant e, including pre compliant e, including pre compliant e, including pre compliant compliant	the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Midnic 2019: SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Midnic 2019: SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is investigations >Environmental, includes: the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future
\$0001900 \$0001951 \$0001952	Williamson Arrevida Point Conception Pt. Bligh Island	2.5.6	steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre Compliant e, including pre compliant e, including pre compliant e, including pre compliant compliant	the details of the incident and the concret steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Mathi (3b): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future inciden- Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each nicident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each nicident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Mathi (2b): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces th
\$0001900 \$0001951 \$0001952	Williamson Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bligh Island Esperanza - Site	2.5.6	steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Inthe event	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre Compliant e, including pre compliant e, including pre compliant e, including pre compliant compliant	the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Addin(2b): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Motion(2b): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an Temergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Motion(2b): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and i
50001900 50001951 50001952 50003357	Williamson Atrevida Point Conception Pt. Bligh Island Esperanza - Site	2.5.6 2.5.6 2.5.6	steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of relatal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of relatal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	e, including pre Compliant e, including pre Compliant e, including pre Compliant e, including pre Compliant e, including pre	the details of the incident and the concret steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Addini(2b): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Addini(2b): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021. Addini(2b): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and





S0003675	Noo-la	2.5.6	Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildl	fe, including pre	Middin(2B): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations >Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021.
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	2.5.6	Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Mithin(2B): SW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations - Environmental, includes the datals of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an 'Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021.
\$0003677	Tsa-ya	2.5.6	Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Mathink12th SVW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is investigations - Environmental, includes the OATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is investigations - Environmental, includes the details of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an 'Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction', dated April 2021.
S0005239	Lutes	2.5.6	Indicator: In the event of a lethal incident, evidence that an assessment of the risk of lethal incident(s) has been undertaken and demonstration of concrete steps taken by the farm to reduce the risk of future incidences Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Criterion 2.5 Interaction with wildli	fe, including pre	Mathing JB) SVW Wildlife Interactions SOP dated April 2021, farms are required to report mortalities and nuisance interactions with animals into the companies DATS reporting system. The DATS reporting system, for Wildlife Mortality, the form used is Investigations > Environmental, includes the datals of the incident and the concrete steps taken by the farm that reduces the risk of future incidence. Each incident of a mortality is investigated and concrete steps are identified and implemented for all sites with similar structures. There is also an "Emergency Response SOP Wildlife Interaction", dated April 2021.
50001898	Gore	3.1.1	Indicator: Participation in an Area-Based Management (ABM) scheme for managing disease, parasites and resistance to treatments that includes coordination of stocking, fallowing, therapeutic treatments, and information sharing as outlined in Appendix II-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	With the issuing of the new Aquaculture Licences in 2022 each licence has an Aquaculture Management Zone (AMZ) identified on the face of the licence. The farms located in NoothS Sourd were all designated in AMZ NS-01. Grieg owns all the sites in this zone. There is full control of synchronized operations such as stocking, fallowing and medicinal treatments as well as full knowledge of health on all sites.
50001899	Muchalat North	3.1.1	Indicator: Participation in an Area-Based Management (ABM) scheme for managing disease, parasites and resistance to treatments that includes coordination of stocking, fallowing, therapeutic treatments, and information sharing as outlined in Appendix II-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001900	Williamson	3.1.1	Indicator: Participation in an Area-Based Management (ABM) scheme for managing disease, parasites and resistance to treatments that includes coordination of stocking, fallowing, therapeutic treatments that includes a outlined in Appendix II-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	3.1.1	Indicator: Participation in an Area-Based Management (ABM) scheme for managing disease, parasites and resistance to treatments that includes coordination of stocking, fallowing, therapeutic treatments that includes coordination of stocking, fallowing, therapeutic treatments, and information sharing as outlined in Appendix II-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	3.1.1	Indicator: Participation in an Area-Based Management (ABM) scheme for managing disease, parasites and resistance to treatments that includes coordination of stocking, fallowing, therapeutic treatments, and information sharing as outlined in Appendix II-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
S0003357	Esperanza - Site	3.1.1	Indicator: Participation in an Area-Based Management (ABM) scheme for managing disease, parasites and resistance to treatments that includes coordination of stocking, fallowing, therapeutic treatments, and information sharing as outlined in Appendix II-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	With the issuing of the new Aquaculture Licences in 2022 each licence has an Aquaculture Management Zone (AMZ) identified on the face of the licence. The farms located in Esperanza Intel were all designated in AMZ EI-01. Grieg owns all the sites in this zone. There is full control of synchronized operations such as stocking, fallowing and medicinal treatments as well as full knowledge of health on all sites.
\$0003359	Steamer - Site	3.1.1	Indicator: Participation in an Area-Based Management (ABM) scheme for managing disease, parasites and resistance to treatments that includes coordination of stocking, fallowing, therapeutic treatments, and information sharing as outlined in Appendix II-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Esperanza site applies to this site.





\$0003675	Noo-la	3.1.1	Indicator: Participation in an Area-Based Management (ABM) scheme for managing disease, parasites and resistance to treatments that includes coordination of stocking, fallowing, therapeutic treatments, and information sharing as outlined in Appendix II-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifié	Compliant	With the issuing of the new Aquaculture Licences in 2022 each licence has an Aquaculture Management Zone (AMZ) identified on the face of the licence. The farms located in Cieo Channel were all designated in Broughton Archipelago AMZ BA-01. Grieg owns all the sites in this zone. There is full control of synchronized operations such as stocking, fallowing and medicinal treatments as well as full knowledge of health on all sites.
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	3.1.1	Indicator: Participation in an Area-Based Management (ABM) scheme for managing disease, parasites and resistance to treatments that includes coordination of stocking, fallowing, therapeutic treatments, and information sharing as outlined in Appendix II-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Noo-la site applies to this site.
S0003677	Тза-уа	3.1.1	Indicator: Participation in an Area-Based Management (ABM) scheme for managing disease, parasites and resistance to treatments that includes coordination of stocking, fallowing, therapeutic treatments, and information sharing as outlined in Appendix II-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Noo-la site applies to this site.
\$0005239	Lutes	3.1.1	Indicator: Participation in an Area-Based Management (ABM) scheme for managing disease, parasites and resistance to treatments that includes coordination of stocking, fallowing, therapeutic treatments that includes a soutlined in Appendix II-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Esperanza site applies to this site.
S0001898	Gore	3.1.2	Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids (40) establishment, annual review, and where needed, revision of a maximum sea lice load for the entire ABM and for the individual site as outlined in Appendix II-2 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie	Compliant	This farm is currently fallow. As of the audit there are 2 active farms in Nootka Sound (Muchalat North and Williamson) As of the 20 January the two farms had 1,833,661 these fish X 3 = 5,500,983 lice This number is based on the number of smolts times 3 resulting in the maximxum lice load for the ABM being 5,500,983
S0001899	Muchalat North	3.1.2	Indicator: In areas with wild salmondis (40) establishment, annual review, and where needed, revision of a maximum sea lice load for the entire ABM and for the individual site as outlined in Appendix II-2 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	As of 20th January this farm had 1,729,374 smolts. 1,729,374 x 3 = 5,188,122 total lice load for the site As of the 20 January the two farms had 1,833,661 these fish X 3 = 5,500,983 total lice load for the ABM
S0001900	Williamson	3.1.2	Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids (40) establishment, annual review, and where needed, revision of a maximum sea lice load for the entire ABM and for the individual site as outlined in Appendix II-2 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie	Compliant	As of 20th January this farm had 104,287 smolts. 104,287 x 3 = 312,861 total lice load for the site As of the 20 January the two farms had 1,833,661 these fish X 3 = 5,500,983 total lice load for the ABM
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	3.1.2	Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids (40) establishment, annual review, and where needed, revision of a maximum sea lice load for the entire ABM and for the individual site as outlined in Appendix II-2 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie	Compliant	This farm is currently fallow. As of the audit there are 2 active farms in Nootka Sound (Muchalat North and Williamson) As of the 20 January the two farms had 1,833,661 smolts X 3 = 5,500,983 total lice load for the ABM
S0001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	3.1.2	Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids (40) establishment, annual review, and where needed, revision of a maximum sea lice load for the entire ABM and for the individual site as outlined in Appendix II-2 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.		Compliant	This farm is currently fallow. As of the audit there are 2 active farms in Nootka Sound (Muchalat North and Williamson) As of the 20 January the two farms had 1,833,661 smolts X 3 = 5,500,983 total lice load for the ABM
50003357	Esperanza - Site	3.1.2	Indicator: In areas with wild salmondis (40) establishment, annual review, and where needed, revision of a maximum sea lice load for the entire ABM and for the individual site as outlined in Appendix II-2 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	As of 20th January this farm had 762,265 fsh. 762,263 x 3 = 2,286,789 total lice load for the site There are 3 active farms in Esperanza Inlet. As of the 20 January the 3 farms had 2,353,809 fsh Based on the number of fish times 3, the resulting maximum lice load for the ABM is 7,061,427





			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids (40) establishment, annual review, and where needed, revision of a maximum sea lice load for the entire ABM and for the individual site as outlined in Appendix II-2	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		As of 20th January this farm had 768,627 fish. 768,627 x 3 = 2,305,881 total lice load for the site There are 3 active farms in Esperanza Inlet.
S0003359	Steamer - Site	3.1.2	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which		Compliant	There are 5 active faint is in Experiant line: As of the 20 January the 3 farms had 2,353,809 fish Based on the number of fish times 3, the resulting maximum lice load for the ABM is 7,061,427
			there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural frachustar or marinal navianment are assumed from the standards under Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids (40) establishment, annual review, and	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		As of 20th January this farm had 953,481 Fish.
			where needed, revision of a maximum sea lice load for the entire ABM and for the individual site as outlined in Appendix II-2 $$			953,481 x 3 = 2,860,443 total lice load for the site
\$0003675	Noo-la	3.1.2	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	There are 3 active farms in Clio Channel. As of the 20 January the 3 farms had 2,385,793 fish Based on the number of fish times 3,the resulting maximum lice load for the ABM is 7,157,379
			Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural			······································
			Iffeebuater or marinal environment are exempt from the standards under Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids (40) establishment, annual review, and where needed, revision of a maximum sea lice load for the entire ABM and for	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		As of 20th January this farm had 794,866 fish. 794,866 x 3 = 2,384,598 total lice load for the site
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	3.1.2	the individual site as outlined in Appendix II-2		Compliant	There are 3 active farms in Clio Channel.
		5.1.1	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which			As of the 20 January the 3 farms had 2,385,793 fish Based on the number of fish times 3,the resulting maximum lice load for the ABM is 7,157,379
			there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids (40) establishment, annual review, and	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		As of 20th January this farm had 637,446 fish.
			where needed, revision of a maximum sea lice load for the entire ABM and for the individual site as outlined in Appendix II-2			637,446 x 3 = 1,912,338 total lice load for the site
\$0003677	Tsa-ya	3.1.2	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	There are 3 active farms in Clio Channel. As of the 20 January the 3 farms had 2,385,793 fish Based on the number of fish times 3,the resulting maximum lice load for the ABM is 7,157,379
	,.		Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids (40) establishment, annual review, and where needed, revision of a maximum sea lice load for the entire ABM and for	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		As of 20th January this farm had 822919 fish. 822,919 x 3 = 2,468,757 total lice load for the site
			the individual site as outlined in Appendix II-2 Requirement: Yes			There are 3 active farms in Esperanza Inlet. As of the 20 January the 3 farms had 2,353,809 fish
S0005239	Lutes	3.1.2	Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural		Compliant	Based on the number of fish times 3, the resulting maximum lice load for the ABM is 7,061,427
			(reshvater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: A demonstrated commitment (41) to collaborate with NGOs, academics and governments on areas of mutually agreed research to measure	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		There are multiple areas of research that the company is engaged in. University of BC, University of PEI, Memorial university NF and Cargill they are looking at Complex Gill
			possible impacts on wild fish stocks, including wild salmonids stocks			Diversity of 6, othersity of F2, we not a diversity WF and Cargin they are looking at Complex dim Disease in Salmon. (Started 2021 ongoing in 2023) The industry in BC provided Crawford Revie with Sea lice data to establish trends and make details publicly
			Requirement: Yes			available, the research component is finished (Mar 2022) results in process of publishing, anticipated results publication date mid 2023.
S0001898	Gore	3.1.3	Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under		Compliant	The applicant is a member of the BC Salmon Farmers and has been and will be involved in any research conducted through that forum. VII//HIPRA, Vellowmouth vaccine trial in summer of 2022, results were positive. More research will follow
			Criterion 3.1.			for detecting antibodies to yellowmouth, with the U of Alberta Another study is being conducted on larger fish size as it relates to Yellowmouth.
						A study of the Risk Factors of treatments for yellowmouth is underway with Simon Otto from the U of Alberta Pacificus, Sealice Recapture research is still a work in progress and Peroxide Dispersion research is still a
			Indicator: A demonstrated commitment (41) to collaborate with NGOs, academics and governments on areas of mutually agreed research to measure	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		work in progress Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			possible impacts on wild fish stocks, including wild salmonids stocks			
S0001899	Muchalat North	3.1.3	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which		Compliant	
			(freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under			
			Criterion 3.1. Indicator: A demonstrated commitment (41) to collaborate with NGOs,	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		France with first trace for statement of source the same three statements
			academics and governments on areas of mutually agreed research to measure possible impacts on wild fish stocks, including wild salmonids stocks	Criterion 3.1 introduced or ampline		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes		Constitut	
S0001900	Williamson	3.1.3	Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural		Compliant	
			(freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: A demonstrated commitment (41) to collaborate with NGOs, academics and governments on areas of mutually agreed research to measure possible impacts on wild fish stocks, including wild salmonids stocks	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	3.1.3	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	3.1.3	Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural		Compliant	
			(freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: A demonstrated commitment (41) to collaborate with NGOs, academics and governments on areas of mutually agreed research to measure possible impacts on wild fish stocks, including wild salmonids stocks	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001952	Conception Pt.	3.1.3	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
50001952	Bligh Island	5.1.5	Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.		compliant	
			Indicator: A demonstrated commitment (41) to collaborate with NGOs, academics and governments on areas of mutually agreed research to measure	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			possible impacts on wild fish stocks, including wild salmonids stocks			
S0003357	Esperanza - Site	3.1.3	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which		Compliant	
			there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under			
			Criterion 3.1.			



			Indicator: A demonstrated commitment (41) to collaborate with NGOS, academics and governments on areas of mutually agreed research to measure possible impacts on wild fish stocks, including wild salmonids stocks	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes			
S0003359	Steamer - Site	3.1.3	Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which		Compliant	
			there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under			
			Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: A demonstrated commitment (41) to collaborate with NGOs, academics and governments on areas of mutually agreed research to measure	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			possible impacts on wild fish stocks, including wild salmonids stocks			
S0003675	Noo-la	3.1.3	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural			
			(freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: A demonstrated commitment (41) to collaborate with NGOs, academics and governments on areas of mutually agreed research to measure	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			possible impacts on wild fish stocks, including wild salmonids stocks			
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	3.1.3	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
50005070		5.1.5	Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural		compliant	
			(freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: A demonstrated commitment (41) to collaborate with NGOs,	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			academics and governments on areas of mutually agreed research to measure possible impacts on wild fish stocks, including wild salmonids stocks			-
			Requirement: Yes			
S0003677	Tsa-ya	3.1.3	Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which		Compliant	
			there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under			
			Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: A demonstrated commitment (41) to collaborate with NGOs, academics and governments on areas of mutually agreed research to measure	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			possible impacts on wild fish stocks, including wild salmonids stocks			
S0005239	Lutes	3.1.3	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural			
			(freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of data (42) on salmonid	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		The sensitive period for this area is listed as March 1st to June 30th. Farm personnel are aware of the
			migration routes and migration timing in major waterways within 75 kilometres of the farm			sensitive period. There are Seven salmonid species in the area. 5 are pacific salmon: chinook (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha);
\$0001898	Gore	3.1.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	sockeye (O. nerka); ccoho (O. kitsutch); pink (O. gorbuscha); and, chum (O. keta). Two trout species, the rainbow trout or steelhead (O. mykiss) Cutthroat trout (O, Clarkii).
			Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which			
			there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of data (42) on salmonid migration routes and migration timing in major waterways within 75	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			kilometres of the farm			
S0001899	Muchalat North	3.1.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural			
			(freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of data (42) on salmonid migration routes and migration timing in major waterways within 75	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			kilometres of the farm			
S0001900	Williamson	3.1.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural			
			(freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of data (42) on salmonid migration routes and migration timing in major waterways within 75 kilometres of the farm	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			kilometres of the farm Requirement: Yes			
S0001951	Atrevida Point	3.1.4	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which		Compliant	
			Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under			
			Criterion 3.1. Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of data (42) on salmonid	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			migration routes and migration timing in major waterways within 75 kilometres of the farm	and an		
	Conception Pt.		Requirement: Yes			
S0001952	Bligh Island	3.1.4	Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which		Compliant	
			(freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under			
			Criterion 3.1. Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of data (42) on salmonid	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			migration routes and migration timing in major waterways within 75 kilometres of the farm			
\$0002257	Esperanza - Site	214	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
S0003357	Esperanza - Site	3.1.4	Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which		Compliant	
			there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under			
			Criterion 3.1.			





			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of data (42) on salmonid	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			migration routes and migration timing in major waterways within 75 kilometres of the farm	Citerion 5.1 introduced of ampline		same auur, miungs & evuence as oure site appnes to uns site.
\$0003359	Steamer - Site	3.1.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of data (42) on salmonid migration routes and migration timing in major waterways within 75 kilometres of the farm	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0003675	Noo-la	3.1.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of data (42) on salmonid migration routes and migration timing in major waterways within 75 kilometres of the farm	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	3.1.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of data (42) on salmonid migration routes and migration timing in major waterways within 75 kilometres of the farm	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
S0003677	Tsa-ya	3.1.4	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of data (42) on salmonid migration routes and migration timing in major waterways within 75 kilometres of the farm	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
S0005239	Lutes	3.1.4	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which		Compliant	
			there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		The report Wild Juvenile Salmonid Monitoring Program 2022 Muchalat Inlet, Nootka Sound, BC was presented. The report is dated une 27, 2022, and was compiled by Mainstream Biological Consulting. In April and May 2022, beach seine sampling was conducted at 11 sites in Nootka Sound, BC to capture wild
			Requirement: Yes			Juvenile salmon on behalf of Grieg Seafood Ltd. It covers monitoring data collected from 11 stations sampled during the 2022 sensitive period: 13-Apr-22, 27-Apr-22, 11-May-22, May-26-22. Three species of salmon were captured, Chum, Chinok and Coho.
S0001898	Gore	3.1.5	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that		Compliant	were captured, chuin, chinok and cond.
			may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001899	Muchalat North	3.1.5	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that			
			may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout and Arctic char, as	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			outlined in Appendix III-1 Requirement: Yes			
S0001900	Williamson	3.1.5	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms		Compliant	
			that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	3.1.5	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001952	Conception Pt.	3.1.5	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
	Bligh Island		Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of sea lice monitoring data	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		The report Wild Juvenile Salmonid Monitoring Program 2022 Esperanza Inlet, BC was presented. The report is dated November 14, 2022, and was compiled by Mainstream Biological Consulting. In April and May 2022,
			on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1			is dated November 14, 2022, and was compiled by Mainstream Biological Consulting. In April and May 2022, beach seine sampling was conducted at 10 sites in Esperanza Inlet, BC to capture wild juvenile salmon on behalf of Grieg Seafood Ltd. The report covers monitoring data collected from 10 stations sampled during
S0003357	Esperanza - Site	3.1.5	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	captured, Chum, Chinok and Coho.
			Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment			
			are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			



			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout and Arctic char, as	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Esperanza site applies to this site.
			outlined in Appendix III-1			
S0003359	Steamer - Site	3.1.5	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that			
			may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of sea lice monitoring data	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		The report Wild Juvenile Salmonid Monitoring Program 2022 Clio Channel and Chatham Channel, BC was
			on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1			presented. The report is dated June 23, 2022, and was compiled by Mainstream Biological Consulting. During March, April and May 2022, beach seine sampling was conducted at 10 sites in Nootka Sound, BC to
			Requirement: Yes			capture wild juvenile salmon on behalf of Grieg Seafood Ltd. It covers monitoring data collected from 10 stations sampled during the 2022 sensitive period: 31 March/1 April, 20/21-Apr-22, 3/4-May 19-May-22, .
S0003675	Noo-la	3.1.5			Compliant	Three species of salmon were captured, pink, chum and coho
			Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment			
			are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of sea lice monitoring data	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Noo-la site applies to this site.
			on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1			
\$0003676			Requirement: Yes			
50003676	Wa-kwa	3.1.5	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms		Compliant	
			that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment more used for the tendende and the other that are the site of the si			
			are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout and Arctic char, as	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Noo-la site applies to this site.
			outlined in Appendix III-1 Requirement: Yes			
S0003677	Tsa-ya	3.1.5			Compliant	
			Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment			
			are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, availability of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout and Arctic char, as	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Esperanza site applies to this site.
			outlined in Appendix III-1			
\$0005239	Lutes	3.1.5	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that			
			may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, public (43) disclosure of sea lice	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		The report Wild Juvenile Salmonid Monitoring Program 2022 Muchalat Inlet, Nootka Sound, BC was
			monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout, and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1			presented. The report is dated June 27, 2022, and was compiled by Mainstream Biological Consulting. In April and May 2022, beach seine sampling was conducted at 11 sites in Nootka Sound, BC to capture wild
			Requirement: Yes			juvenile salmon on behalf of Grieg Seafood Ltd. Data from the 2022 report has been submitted to ASC and the full report is publicly available on the Grieg website:
50001898	Gore	3.1.6	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms		Compliant	https://griegseafood.com/bc-wild-pacific-salmon
50001858	Gore	5.1.0	that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment		compliant	
			are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, public (43) disclosure of sea lice	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout, and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1			
			Requirement: Yes			
\$0001899	Muchalat North	3.1.6	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms		Compliant	
			that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment			
			are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Critorion 2.4 Internation		Forme and the findings & evidence or Core of a survey of the star
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, public (43) disclosure of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout, and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes			
\$0001900	Williamson	3.1.6	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms		Compliant	
			that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment			
			are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, public (43) disclosure of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout, and	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1			
S0001951	Atrevida Point	3.1.6	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that the same same same same same same same sam			
			may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, public (43) disclosure of sea lice	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout, and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1			
50001952	Conception Pt.	3.1.6	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
	Bligh Island		Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that			
			may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
1						



50003357	Esperanza - Site	3.1.6	Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, public (43) disclosure of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout, and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifu	Compliant	The report Wild Juvenile Salmonid Monitoring Program 2022 Esperanza Inlet, BC was presented. The report is dated November 14, 2022, and was compiled by Mainstream Biological Consulting. In April and May 2022, beach seine sampling was conducted at 10 sites In Esperanza Inlet, BC to capture wild livenile salmon behalf of Grieg Seafood Ltd. Data from the 2022 report has been submitted to ASC and the full report is publicly available on the Grieg website: https://griegseafood.com/bc-wild-pacific-salmon
S0003359	Steamer - Site	3.1.6	Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, public (43) disclosure of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout, and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (Ireshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Esperanza site applies to this site.
\$0003675	Noo-la	3.1.6	Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, public (43) disclosure of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout, and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	The report Wild Juvenile Salmonid Monitoring Program 2022 Clio Channel and Chatham Channel, BC was presented. The report is dated Juven 23, 2022, and was compiled by Mainstream Biological Consulting. During March, April and May 2022, beach seine sampling was conducted at 10 sites in Clio Channel and Chatham Channel, BC, BC to capture wild juvenile salmon on behalf of Grieg Seafood Ltd. Data from the 2022 report has been submitted to ASC and the full report is publicly available on the Grieg website: https://griegseafood.com/bc-wild-pacific-salmon
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	3.1.6	Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, public (43) disclosure of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout, and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Noo-la site applies to this site.
S0003677	Тза-уа	3.1.6	Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, public (43) disclosure of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout, and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifié	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Noo-la site applies to this site.
\$0005239	Lutes	3.1.6	Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, public (43) disclosure of sea lice monitoring data on wild out-migrating salmon juveniles, coastal sea trout, and Arctic char, as outlined in Appendix III-1 Requirement: Yes Applicability: Al farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Esperanza site applies to this site.
50001898	Gore	3.1.7	Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, on-farm sea lice sampling as outlined in Appendix III-2 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	Counting of sea lice is carried out according to the SW SOP on Sea Lice counting dated January 2023 and according to the DFD licence requirements. The threshold for all periods is 3 motile lice Non-migration Window: July 1 – January 31. July 1 to December 31; Sampling will be conducted bi-weekly on every site unless an acceptable reason for not sampling is provided. (Sampling is considered to be 3 pens unless otherwise noted, reference pen + 2 random) January 1 to January 31; Sampling will be conducted weekly on every site. Results provided to DFO by the 15th of the following month. Pre-migration Window: February 1 – February 28 (or 29). Weekly Counting Events (the DFO condition is bi- weekly). The site must be under the threshold by the start of the out-migration window Out-migration Window: March 1 – June 30: AKA Sensitive period – All Pens will be sampled during the first week of the sensitive period. During the period from March 1st to June 30th sampling frequency will be once per week (60 fish per sample). – If the sea lice threshold is exceeded (3 or more) The Fish Health Department is to be notified immediately, ASC will be notified withing 24 hours. An exceedence also triggers an All Pen Counting Event which must be conducted within 7 days. It further quotes that "Data must be entered within 48 hrs of sampling (for ASC it must be posted to the website within 7 days of sampling).
\$0001899	Muchalat North	3.1.7	Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, on-farm sea lice sampling as outlined in Appendix III-2 Requirement: Yes Applicability: Al farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
50001900	Williamson	3.1.7	Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, on-farm sea lice sampling as outlined in Appendix III-2 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (Ireshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
\$0001951	Atrevida Point	3.1.7	Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, on-farm sea lice sampling as outlined in Appendix III-2 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water, Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific	Compliant	Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.



			Indicatory. In areas with wild calmonide, on form can lise campling to artificial	Criterion 2.1 Introduced or accel		Same audit findings & audience as Gore site applies to this site
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, on-farm sea lice sampling as outlined in Appendix III-2	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
	Concontion Bt		Requirement: Yes			
S0001952	Conception Pt. Bligh Island	3.1.7	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that		Compliant	
			may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, on-farm sea lice sampling as outlined	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			in Appendix III-2			
\$0003357	Esperanza - Site	3.1.7	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment			
			are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, on-farm sea lice sampling as outlined in Appendix III-2	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes			
S0003359	Steamer - Site	3.1.7	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms		Compliant	
			that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment			
			are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1. Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, on-farm sea lice sampling as outlined	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			in Appendix III-2	Criterion 5.1 introduced or ampline		Same audir minungs & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes			
S0003675	Noo-la	3.1.7	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that		Compliant	
			may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, on-farm sea lice sampling as outlined	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			in Appendix III-2 Requirement: Yes			
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	3.1.7	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms		Compliant	
			that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment			
			are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, on-farm sea lice sampling as outlined in Appendix III-2	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes			
S0003677	Tsa-ya	3.1.7	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that		Compliant	
			may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: In areas with wild salmonids, on-farm sea lice sampling as outlined	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			in Appendix III-2			
\$0005239	Lutes	3.1.7	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
			Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment			
			are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: Public (44) disclosure of on-farm sea lice sampling results within seven days of sampling	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		SW SOP • Sea Lice Sampling: "Data must be entered in Fishtalk on the day that the last sample is completed (for ASC it must be posted to
			Requirement: Yes			the website within 7 days of sampling)." "The sampling week for ASC sea lice samples is defined as Sunday to Saturday. The Certification Department
S0001898	Gore	3.1.8	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms		Compliant	will run a Fishtalk sea lice report every Monday for posting onto the Grieg Seafood BC website. Go to "https://griegseafood.com/bc-sea-lice-&-information-sharing" and select the farming area you wish to view
			that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: Public (44) disclosure of on-farm sea lice sampling results within	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			seven days of sampling			
\$0001899	Muchalat North	3.1.8	Requirement: Yes		Compliant	
30001699	which and though	5.1.0	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that		compliant	
			may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: Public (44) disclosure of on-farm sea lice sampling results within seven days of sampling	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			seven days of sampling Requirement: Yes			
\$0001900	Williamson	3.1.8	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms		Compliant	
			that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment			
			are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: Public (44) disclosure of on-farm sea lice sampling results within seven days of sampling	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes			
S0001951	Atrevida Point	3.1.8	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that		Compliant	
			may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			





			Indicator: Public (44) disclosure of on-farm sea lice sampling results within seven days of sampling	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
	Conception Pt.		Requirement: Yes			
\$0001952	Bligh Island	3.1.8	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.		Compliant	
			Indicator: Public (44) disclosure of on-farm sea lice sampling results within seven days of sampling	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes			
\$0003357	Esperanza - Site	3.1.8	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.		Compliant	
			Indicator: Public (44) disclosure of on-farm sea lice sampling results within seven days of sampling	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes			
\$0003359	Steamer - Site	3.1.8	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms		Compliant	
			that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: Public (44) disclosure of on-farm sea lice sampling results within seven days of sampling	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes			
\$0003675	Noo-la	3.1.8	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pachogens into the natural (Ifreshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.		Compliant	
			Indicator: Public (44) disclosure of on-farm sea lice sampling results within seven days of sampling	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplific		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes			
\$0003676	Wa-kwa	3.1.8	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms		Compliant	
			that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: Public (44) disclosure of on-farm sea lice sampling results within seven days of sampling	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes			
S0003677	Tsa-ya	3.1.8	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment		Compliant	
			are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.			
			Indicator: Public (44) disclosure of on-farm sea lice sampling results within seven days of sampling	Criterion 3.1 Introduced or amplifie		Same audit findings & evidence as Gore site applies to this site.
			Requirement: Yes			
\$0005239	Lutes	3.1.8	Applicability: All farms operating in areas with wild salmonids except farms that release no water; Farm sites for which there is no release of water that may contain pathogens into the natural (freshwater or marine) environment are exempt from the standards under Criterion 3.1.		Compliant	

							Summary of Farm St	andard's No	on-conform	nities (NCs)									
Centificate UoCName Country	tolder C	Grieg Searcool ar. 155. Conception Pt. Bligh Island Canada Salmon v1.4	d	Auto Gue Date Audit End Date Standard version BAS Module CAR version	NE. Lab. 73 15-Feb-23 vk.4		NC Type	TOTALS												
Standard Certification Audit Type	Type I	Selfion VI.4 Multi-site with IMS Scope extension	_	CAR vention CAR vention CAR	NE CARv2.2 SESNL		Total	55 35												
NC Gude (CAA)	Me D	The Name		Indiadas/Exquirement Teal	RCGrade	Size conformity (MC)Setah	Avriduation of NC Daale	NC Datestion Date	Evadine for NC Concrui				Entitience Provided (desceners), photograph, viki(/idles)	Auditor Approval afCLP and buildman? [Papeliable]	Rassan for estimation NC clear out /f applicable/	NC visitures	Ente of NC Classes	VR salemilied Day VR Namber) salemilie	and venue	Notes
1	50005239 L	L29K	211	Takata Ang pantar (1) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a	Miner	Standard publick (and a standarden af the last. No de sound - 750 per biosocial a caffe data on an ar prioritable Canadard Mandel	This use or all a to more the table with the insertion and participations and the foreign proves at the day, the supportion is that the other will be a compliance with the benchick.	16445-2028	1546ay-2023		Under the candidation of the Aquadatian Landone, 3 Abertits Aurory and Ma angelender adveck for dark passionauss. The Alf Charlow Landon y compileted at the cases to be accuse of availability of the company team.		Bothic Guoya neal Gonnay; provide Bong Amaria Communication of International Manager Constantion the meant cardinal for the Social Communication Transmitty. The Manager Constantion for camping. The Manager Constantion of the International Manager Constantion of the Communication of the Social Constantion Communication of the Social Constantion Constantion of the Social Constantion of the Social Cons	Yec.		Closed	09 May-2023			
2	50001257 6	Ggwranz - Ste	2.2.1	Balachi, Royan, Sangara, Sanda, Sangara, Sandana, Sangara, Shi Shi Yu, Yu Yu, Yu Xiao Xu, Yu Xiao Xu, Xu Xiao Xu Xi	Miner	That's in our full carry 1 when it when it wants that that a branch due to the branch branch want of the second second s	The same rands with minor the 2s the actual disordered seages levels del not reach disordered levels.	15-Feb-2002	15-66ay-3323		The down as object the second of the second and second and the sec		addinec low-degins levels within the farm system - helping to ensure the webtare of the farmed population". https://griegostlood.com/level,imade in the sami-closed cystem to be- installed at all-pring-cesticad be-farme	Yes		Closed	21-Apr-2028			
à	SCOOL/SP 5	Reamer - Site	2.2.1	$\label{eq:second} hardware properties of the second seco$	Miner	The DCC ware of Califord & Handle, of Marce S works, 1: Mar 3 yeard of Asses Table 100 Schware die Sam reading and the Markenzo Marce 42(2023 De Gran reading was 63, 28, die reference exterior and 295		15-Feb-2028	15-66ay-2023		Satalasis percentage insist on as incompany space was a set one own, or seerage, that the ought statustical percentage outside the burrisend system. Taking this deviation into account, there is significantly less difference between the ref casion and site and no weeks having a greater than a 20% difference.	Crieg is developing improved an extension page spectre to table located angues reads of the tars finds tom in available environment. These spectres deval do naming by summer 2022. With the ability to provide a an analytic application from reads. The factor will no looper be reliant on the environmental looper livels outlide the factor.	Reading the second state of the second state of the second state accepted. The suddar doserved the construction of the organisation system during the oxidar and and there has been public communication on Gring's investment in the semi-closed systems that confirms the "urage of an innovative, curting edge ourges technology, to	Yec		Good	21-Apr-2023			
	\$2003677 T	far ye		Selection Twenty and a selection of the selection of t	Meer	The Dirich and Tarlies T and T	The sec could as a more the Let the social doubled angen levels defact reach degree where the second seco	15-4eb-2028	15-66ay-2022		A sporte direct and y and sport and the part of the part of the sport of the part of the sport o	Grant is developing inspected a particuloging inspection to the location of the second	Hosc cave analysis and connective action pions are accordent. The address downed the connection of the organization systemic at the forganiza- dirst during their contex with and there public context with and there public context with and there public context with a di- temp and the systemic and the systemic investment that context with and there public context with a di- temp and the systemic and the systemic activation, to address down and and an involution, context piece with a model within the for an address down and the systemic activity and and and the systemic according to the systemic down context and appears to be-	Yes		Closed	21-86-2028			
	5005229 L			Althouse Management and advanced of advanced regard (2011) (2014) for the advanced baseling advanced of advanced of advanced baseling (2011) (2014)	Meer	The shall GADD and the manifest part (LLS A.S. of the share start of the share SLS. Share C.S. of the first manifest part (LLS A.S. of the share start on an UK Share C.S. of the first manufact part (LLS A.S. of the share start on an UK Share C.S. of the first manufact part (LLS A.S. of the share start on an UK Share C.S. of the share start of the share start on an UK Share C.S. of the share start of the share start on an UK Share C.S. of the share start of the share start on an UK Share S.S. of the s	This was could as a more that the descent of the actual distribution anguen livels did not reach diagen coul mole.	15-4eb-2028	15-May-2028		Substance, and substance is a substance of the substance	Grag a diverging improved an interviewing register, to the justice of any set of the other than the and any and any annual transmission. These angles and any difference of the set of the set of the set of the much angest as the first needs. The family and the larger is related on the encounterviewing interviewing the family and the larger is and any of the first and the first set of the larger is set to add the first needs.	Not case analysis and convective action are accorded. The subdom- downed the construction of the superativitie system, during the costs and and there has been public communication on a direct in the series of an area of the series of the series of communication on a direct in the series of the series - data direct and series that communication area of an innovative, costing edge anges excluding, to address the cognitive their which within the form system - helping to series the exclusion of the theread population's topological constructions and the communication of the theread population's topological constructions and the theorem is closed common to be-	Yes		Closed	21-Apr-2028			
	\$0005962 C	Conception Pt. Bligh Island		which are to see and that distancely, a distance and other to the form haves for the distance of the distance	Mner	The the operation of a newbork of the NF Week of A hand has been been provided and which we have the late of Next the Markun Re- interactions cannot be 20 and 40 years for listed of May 20 and a hange. A new hard a second of the 20 and 40 years for listed of May 20 years and a second of the Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- and Kalling and Andre interaction of the Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- and Kalling and Andre interaction of the Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- and Kalling and Andre interaction of the Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- Markun Re- interaction of the Markun Re- interacti	This is called as a mixed, as the exceedance was enailed and incident within 30 days of the samples that were over the threehold.		15-May-2022			Ching has characterized and environmental test remotioning and temported per- tensing energy registers and the the duble to guidady institute remotions to the structures on the structure of the structure of the structure balance. The structure of the structure of the structure of the balance to the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure and only stank darks the structure of the structure of the structure members and the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure members and the structure of the structure of the structure members and the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure members and the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure		U Yec		Closed	21-Apr-2023			
2	\$2003677 1	fizi-ya	5.1.5	helizari. Matinari idal disearendatel merialty[0] en farendaring the maximum production equit Requirement: 4205	Minor	The % of viral disease related mortality in the 2020 yc was 11.62% exceeding the requirement of less than 20%	This is rated as a Minor as it is only slightly above the allowable level of 10% and there is no other evidence of viral activity	25-feb-2028	15-66ay-2023		Cage & at Nac-la, which was later transferred to Tar-pa, experienced a motality were related to transport that did not have a specific classification available in FibHTalk. As such it was coded to objuliver.		The "Seawater SOP Mortality Classification" was provided. It now includes a transportation category.	Yes		Closed	27-Mar-2023			
*	\$2002952 #	Rovevida Point	5.16	And allow Constructions completely and intervention of the provises have production report, for learns with total morehalty of EX long-torumine. CECER of Interformations, Report with CECER of Interformations, Report with CECER of Interformation, and the CECER of Interformation of the Interformation of the Interformation of the production of the Interformation of the Interformation of the Interformation of the Interformation production.	Miner	2018 Of Termine and HEAD, Tele anatomic (NEAR), Italia anatomic 21446. 2019 Of Termine (HeAD), Tele anatomic (YEAD), And Anatomic 2019, 2019. 2018 Of Termine (HEAD), Tele anatomic (HEAD), 2019. 2019 Of Termine (HEAD), Tele anatomic (HEAD), 2019. 2019 Of Termine (HEAD), Tele anatomic (HEAD), 2019.	This is rated as a Minor as it is only slightly above the allowable level of 60% is one of the two year classes	15-Feb-2022	15-May-2023		The SR22 part class in three data the final experience of all insure, likely industry to Edd. A direct Versionics confirmed with the wanter of averaging of matables was not due to viral disease, which is consistent with the result of our routice viral screening program.	The diring fish health tensor has completed on the training to make sure forms choose the right most congory for fish.	Fish health log has been provided that shows training is being does on site visits for multiple sites, with health training being macked in the log since 2022, astevide site has been failure so to health log evidence specifically related to this day, but the evidence demonstrate the training sources for	Yes		Closed	21-Apr-2023			
•	5003675 5	Sao-la		Lagare Shares any appendix share with a share of a present to present the present to a present to present the present to a present to present the share of the		2014 ** Tell and Malika, Starovansky Makka, Takan analysi 2018 (************************************	Is is used as 1 More and the out? another per- metry of countries of the outer of the outer of the outer property distance for an outer on a valence of the outer property distance for an outer of the outer of the outer property distance of the outer of the outer of the outer of the outer of the outer of the outer of the outer of the outer outer of the outer of the outer of the outer of the outer of the outer outer of the outer of the outer outer of the outer outer of the outer outer of the outer outer of the outer outer outer of the outer outer outer of the outer o	15-feb-2028	15 May 2022		number of unspässed moralises was not due to viral disase, which is concentent with the results of due nadewid as Steening programs. It this caus, the individual weight of moralises realized the efficiency of the upfit morality collections and the duer assister morality collection regulard results in delayed morality printival. When moralities are not able to main to the tak is in a buffer steep lend, the high-compositor nate of moralises is the warmer oceanic conditions make it difficult is detectly ageofic cause. Staff Stogen to managing endpine multiple morality event at the steep steep staff steep lend. The high comparison tak is the staff staff to the start is a buffer the staff steep staff steep lend. The staff st			Yes		Closed	21-Apr-2028			
23	S003635 W	83-663		Date: Development and product and produ	Miter	2014 ** Terris de USU, Tas de Annuello, Sala Kana Annuello, Sala Kana Kana Kana Kana Kana Kana Kana K	The is started as 1 Moles and the off molecular the men of conditional memory and an and the started and the property condition for monotones, A webback by the doth much the off of an angle scheme pill of mort neural scheme.	15440-2028	15-66p-2023		es an esta esta esta esta esta esta esta esta			Yes		Closed	21-Apr-2023			
	\$003677 1	50-ys		Tables from any province of the second of th	Miter	na na mainte na mainte na tha 2014 an th' Bh, seand of the stationar chandle level of 401 to posterior gas	The is card as a Mone and we did modeling the more of candidate the months of a registration of a registration property distantice the months on a value card by the distantice of the set of the set of the set of the set of the distantice of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of t	15-445-2028	15-66ay-3023		controllations. The second sec			Yes		Cosed	21-Apr-2023			
	\$2003,998 6	tare .		Indiate Co Security mentations in the human, at a minute, and provide the security of the attraction of a security	Minor	Note the The Section (a field set in Transmission state) states years and the Contraction Insertion (transmission) in the section of the sec	This is rated a minor as all the data required by the indicator unsain this tak and the freed records. The diving Section Corr, "Treatment externs fourmany" indicates on the form that all treatments are to be recorded on this form. All other records were camplete				The information that is required table or the Versioner heavy unmerry is an information of the developments would be in the field encoder sheets. The naneger at the time of this transmerr was managing 2 forms in the area on the instantian for the method table method based based the information was captured in fight table and the medicated field was form.		Seen email sent to all dis managers "NdC - brills and finastment History form" Reminding them of the importance of completing the Transmert History Summary form for each Transmert	Yes		Cloud	23 Mar 2028			
22	50001898 6	Gare	6.5.1	 Balandin mengangkan pertainan analah dalah melakin pendan pendan (UL) Reparamenta (UL) Reparamenta (UL) Reparamenta (UL) 	Miner	An analysis of the second seco	1) This NC was crued as a minor as the fire drill had been comprised in persoan pairs and the data is converting failure.		1548ay-2028		Stard or do neve and following the experiments that are indicated the strong and intervision and at an india signaport, considered and applicate and which if this single expectations and a following the stratem ingelenced of the source and associate the warber of this project that is mighterined in the and associate the warber of training represents to and ability optimis	Canal on the system and applies the sequences in the system of the syste	DATS Fire Evacuation brill Annual brill Report for Gore Generalizating That the feature for the second state of the second sta	Y #6.		Closed	23-Mar-2028			

asc

asc	14
	10
	1-1-

Image: bit in the interpretation of the interpret	34 \$2005899	Muchalat North	6.5.1	Indicator: Personage of auctors instead in health and safety practices, procedures(111) and millions are a mech basis		Grieg Stafaod has a comprehensive CHS Management System based on the federal and provincial health and rafety requirements	1) This NC was rated as a minor as the site training	15-Feb-2023	15-MJy-2023	Staff or site were not following the expectations that are laid out in the	final set to all site reminding them to complete training before it	Seen email communication to sters	ĸ	Closed	27-Mar-2023			
Image: bit in the interpretation of the interpret				Requirement: 200%		(Worksheller, Transport Canada etc.) The program includes Gring Likearts and Safety Policy, Scholard, and various work procedures. Gring's Management Costral Program integrates Occupational leads and Safety and Excimental Management Syste under CRE Unique Safety Configurability Company and it documental and results and safety and Excimental Management Syste	score of the staff.			Training and intraction standard or the one program. Currently there are a significant number of training expectations in our DMS system and a project has been incolongered to raining out standing the number of training.	 become expired and to total where expectations taid out in the training as instruction standard. Reviewed training expectations with the area modurition measurem to identify size additional training number reasoned. 	requirements and drills executions						
Image: bit in the interpretation of the interpret				Appliedelity: All	Minor	Tracking System: Evacuation bvills are noted BELDW and documented in DATS. DATS Training Records have training covering issues for safety. Transmit/Medical) bvill - Between 04(04/2022 and 12)(22)/0222. Fire Evacuation - Between 10/22)/2022 and 05/34(2022).				requirements in our DATs system.	meet the expectations. Outstanding training is being completed.							
Image: Note: Note						 Minar: The Employee Health and Safety training for: Emergency Preparedness and Response, Health and Safety Policy, Ocupational search and Safety American Training for Workson and Mathematics or ONE Response - White 												
Image: Note: Note	25 \$20032357	Esperanza - Site	6.5.1	Indicator: Personings of motions instead in boalth and safety practices, proceed-cen[211] and pullicies on a party lands.		Gring Seafood has a comprehensive CHS Management System based on the federal and provincial health and safety requirements. Networkfold &F. Transvort Function at F. The annumine include risks with and Safety Bellow Structure and Learning work.	1) This NC was rated as a minor as the site training arrow of 97th showr dilateous in Walates to the majority	15-Feb-2023	15-May-2023	Staff on site were not following the superctations that are laid out in the Training and importing stracture or the 666 appears. Currently there are a	final set to all site reminding them to complete training before it becomes assisted and to follow the supervision build out in the Weisian to	Seen email communication to sites 1	ĸ	Closed	27-Mar-2023			
Image: Note: Note				Requirement 100%		procedures: Grieg's Management Control Program integrates Occupational Health and Safety and Environmental Management Syste under GSE (Grieg Seafood Excellence) Program and is documented and controlled using an electronic system DATS (bigital Action	a of the staff.			significant number of training expectations in our DMRs system and a project tractore implemented to review and streamline the number of training	instruction standard. Reviewed training expectations with the area production managers to identify any additional training support required	requirements and drills executions. o Seen pictures of dated fire extinguisher						
Image: Note: Note				Application Al	Minor	Tracking System). Evoluation brills are noted BELDW and documented in DMS. DMS Training Records have training covering issues for cafety. Turaxim/Medical) brill - Betweet 06/04/2022 and 12/22/2022. Fire Evoluation - Betweet 30/22/2022 and 05/34(2022).	2) This NC was rated as a minor as the annual fire extinguister checks had been completed.			requirements in our DATs system.	meet the expectations. Outstanding training is being completed.	and establishement muster point						
1 0						1) Minor: The Employee Health and Safety training for: Emergency Preparedness and Response, Health and Safety Policy, Ocupationa Health and Safety Assembles: Training for Workeys and WHMM is at 92%. Required: 20%												
1 0						2] Minor: while there are health and tafety policies and procedures; they are not fully effective / implemented effectively monthly inspections in Expension: on of-date fire excliquidhers; no mutter paints.												
1 0	26 \$20032359	Steamer - Site	6.5.1	Indicator: Personinge of unclose insided in health and safety practices, procedures(212) and policies on a poetly basis		Grieg Scaffood has a comprehensive CHsi Management System based on the federal and provincial heads and andrey requirements. (WorkSafe BC, Transport Canada etc.) The program includes Griege Headsh and Safety Policy, Standards, and various work accordings: Griarit Management Committee Interaction Compression Matching of Commercial Management Management Ser	 This NC was rated as a minor as the site training score of 92% shows diligence in training by the majority of the staff. 	15-Feb-2023	15-May-2023	Staff on one were not fullowing the expectations that are laid out in the Training and instruction standard or the GAE program. Currently there are a confirment number of training assertations in our fully number and a monitor	Email unit to all other reminding them to complete training before it become expired and to follow the expectations laid out in the training and instruction remotes it indicated training executions with the score	Seen email communication to sites 1 d reminding on cafety training remainsments and drills executions	ĸ	Closed	27-Mar-2023			
1 0				Regularmenti 2005. Applicability: All	Marr	ander Gäll (ding Seafood Excellence) Program and is documented and controlled using an electronic option DATS (Digital Action Tracking Seafood Excellence) Program and is documented and controlled using an electronic option DATS (Digital Action Tracking Seafood Excellence) Program and electronic documented in DATS. DATS Tracking Peopods have training covering issues				has been implemented to review and dreamine the number of training requirements in our DATs entry.	production managers to identify any additional training support required meet the expectation. Outmanding training is being completed.							
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						for cafety. Touriam/Medical) Drill - Between 06/04/2022 and 12/22/0222. Fire Biocuation - Between 10/23/2022 and 05/14/2023.												
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			_			 Minor: The Employee Health and Sofety staining for: Energency Preparedness and Response, Health and Safety Policy, Ocupational Health and Safety Awareneess Training for Workers and WHMS is at 82%. Required: 2005 												
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	17 10000.0	107.005	6.5.1	and pullition on a pairily lates.		Workfahle BC, Transport Canada etc.) The program includes Grieg's Health and Safety Rolicy, Standards, and various work groundware, Grier's Masseement Compil Program interaction Couparisonal Health and Safety Rolicy, Standards, and various work	score of 92% shows dilgence in training by the majority of the staff.		119839-2445	Training and instruction standard or the GSE program. Currently there are a Graning and instruction standard or the GSE program. Currently there are a Granificant number of staining executations in our DMS system and a society	becomes expired and to follow the expectations laid out in the training as instruction standard. Reviewed training executions with the area	d reminding on safety training requirements and drills executions		close	27.848.9028			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				Application and	Mnor	under GEE (dring Seatod Excellence) Program and is documented and controlled using an electronic system DMI's (blight) Action Tracking System). Evacuation brills are noted BELOW and documented in DAI's. DAI's Training Records have training covering issues				has been implemented to review and streamline the number of training requirements in our DATs system.	production managers to identify any additional training support required meet the expectations. Cutzmanding training is being completed.	•						
1) Morr: The Englayee Health and Safety Straining for: Energiese Vegetariate and Safety Straining for: Energiese Vegetariate and Safety Nacional						for cafety. Touriami/Medical) Drill - Betweet 09(04)2022 and 12(22)2022. Fire Succuston - Betweet 10(22)20232 and 05(74)2023.												
1) Morr: The Englayee Health and Safety Straining for: Energiese Vegetariate and Safety Straining for: Energiese Vegetariate and Safety Nacional				help size. The sections of moders is sized in health and orbits are sized as \$7100		a) normal, the temporter returns and safety using our time group regulations and required, some time processing returns and safety results and safety returns and safety returns and the return of the temporter and temporter and the return of the temporter and the return of the temporter and temporte	a the set of a set of					(Orest				
1) Morr: The Englayee Health and Safety Straining for: Energiese Vegetariate and Safety Straining for: Energiese Vegetariate and Safety Nacional			0.5.1	and pullion on a party land.		(Norkfalle BC, Transport Canada etc.) The program includes Gring's Health and Safety Holly, Standards, and various work procedures. Gring's Management Costral Hogram integrates Occupational Health and Safety and Environmental Management Syste	score of 95% shows diligence is training by the majority of the staff.		119839-2445	Training and instruction standard or the GSE program. Currently there are a lightficant number of training expectations in our DRRs system and a project	become expired and to follow the expectation Laid out in the training an instruction standard. Reviewed training expectations with the area	reminding on cafety training requirements and drills executions		close	27.848.9028			
1) Morr: The Englayee Health and Safety Straining for: Energiese Vegetariate and Safety Straining for: Energiese Vegetariate and Safety Nacional				Application at	Minor	under GSE (timeg Seafood Excellence) Program and is documented and controlled using an electronic system DATS (bigital Action fracking System). Evacuation brills are noted BELCHII and documented in DATS. DATS Training Records have training covering issues				has been implemented to review and dheamline the number of training requirements in our DATs getters.	production managers to identify any additional training support required meet the expectations. Outstanding training is being completed.	•						
N No No <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>the cately. Tourismy/Medical Divit - Referent Di(DK)/2022 and 12/22/2022. He Russianshi - Referent S0/22/2022 and DX/14/2022. 1) Milor: The Employee Weth not Solary maining for Empresent Researchers and Records a Markh and Solar Informational</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						the cately. Tourismy/Medical Divit - Referent Di(DK)/2022 and 12/22/2022. He Russianshi - Referent S0/22/2022 and DX/14/2022. 1) Milor: The Employee Weth not Solary maining for Empresent Researchers and Records a Markh and Solar Informational												
Image: section of the sectio	29 \$2005899	Muchalat North	8.27	Indicator: Many investelerate surveys desensioners from the farm's efficant discharge		Health and Safety Awarenees: Training for Workers and WHMS is at 16%. Required: 320% The smalt supplier Lena Creek/Planakse Trading did not complete the required Macro-invertebrate survey in 2022.	This is rated a minor as the previous studies have been	15-Feb-2023	15-May-2023	Grieg did not perform the Macro-investebrate sampling this year as previou	Grieg will do the required Macro-invertebrate campling during the cumm	er Seen communication of macro-	N 25-Feb-2024 Macro-i	vertebrate ica sessonal Skitended		V90632 P	vjected	Maximum extension is
Normal Normal Normal Normal Normal Normal Normal Normal Normal Normal Normal				memory are server width that is similar as believ than surveys updown from the dasheeps (web-solidary) is $\lambda_{\rm ppendix}$ in $\lambda_{\rm l}$		Muchailst North is the only site to have received smolts from Leca Creek/Paradise Trading Hatchery in 2022	completed			results were such that there was navalue in comparing the above and below discharge success of cteans as they have very different stream habitats	2023 campling period.	invertrebrate campling being commissioned for the summer of 2023.	activity	nd the campling will be d at the first available				are year, but the CH is requested to provide
				Requirement las	Minor					monitor fish presence as an indicator of stream health. The variance requer was not been approved and we will continue to do the required teacon.			represes	tation of the receiving				they are available.
				appenancey. All Smith Producers Using Sent Closed or Closed Production Spisons.						inversebrate camping during summer 2023 camping period.								
			-												-			
Image: sector Image: sector Image: sector			+												+			
			+															
			1								-						_	
N N																		
N N <td></td>																		
			+															
			-															
			-															
							-								-			
															_			
1 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			-												-			
															-			
1 1 <td></td>																		
1 1 <td></td>																		
N N <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>			-															
1 1 </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>															-			
1 1 <td></td>																		
- - </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td></td> <td>+ +</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td>			+												+ +		_	
N N </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			+															
N N <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>			-															
Norm																		
1 1			+															
1 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td></td>			+															
1 1			+												1			
1 1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			+															
			1															
			1												-			
1 1			+															
			+												1			
$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $			+															
1 1			+															
			1												-			
			+															
			+															
			+															
			+															
			-												-			
														_				+
			+															+

				_					-
	-								
									_
	-								
							-		_
	-								_
									-
									_
	-								
	-								_
	<u>+</u>								
+	+						-		
									_
					_	 L .			-
									-
	1								1
	<u>+ </u>								
									-
									-
					-		1		-
+									+
	<u>+</u>								
	-								
				_					
									_
	-								
+									
								Image: Section of the sectio	

asc



400 MARA 000	
-1.11	

Summary of Non-conformities (NCs) from Previous Audit																
		table below with the non														
	ASC Site ID (Select from list)	Site Name (select from list)	Indicator	NC Grade (Select from list)	Non-Conformity (NC) Details	NC Detection Date	Deadline for NC Close-out	Correction	RootCaule Analysis	Corrective Action	Evidence Provided (document, photograph, visit/other)	Auditor Approval of CAP and Evidence?	Extended Deadline for NC Close-out (If applicable)	NC status	NC Status- Current Audit	Notes/additional evidence
nception 2022 - NC 1	50001952	Conception Pt. Bigh Island	3.4.1	Major	For the last complete cycle, the final harvest count was 86,338 fish less than expected. (NC from previous report)	04/05/2021	04/08/2021		For all fairs is the basis investory variances above or before the sumalative density area one for adjustment in the parameters of the merice and parability of a scalar of comparison of the sum of the state of the state of the state of the state of comparison (the sum of state) and the state of the state of the state in feed, on outsether the targe back, any product damage to the state), in this case the largest date consolitoring the decregative with the instand number of adjustment of the environmental mentality events and using hand counters for mortality event enumeration.	acute events will be enumerated by weight sampling and total biomass rather then the hand counters. Also, Grieg is replacing older nets with new circle nets that have been redesigned with a conical bottom to efficiently move	This is the same the Crosm the audit previous report as the new cycle has non-price bears complexed. The information (DRAC, CA) provided as time still apples and remains accepted by Coursel (Posel), July 2A 2021 and scholical committee, as sufficient to mitigate large discogaracy in the actual and aspected numbers of this harvested: BM Virainal applest to Conception Point, and other farms, that 2. SPM Nontriny Collection & Scorage; Camaro et al. Starting for Arange.	Yes		Closed	Closed	
revida SA 22 - NC 1	50001951	Atrevida Point	2.2.1	Minor	The transparency data provided and farm records indicate that during week 32 of 2021 the the site DO reading was 506, and the Reference station DO was at 71 This is a discrepancy >10 and therefore not considered to be consistent with the reference cration.	25-Mar-22	24-Jun-22		Weekly DO's are averaged over week 32 (50.6k) do compare to the reference site that was sampled at the end of the provides week (55%). Note that readings within the week can vary weekly and the reference station is only ampled once a veek. In this case both readings match on the day (2021-68-01) that the reference site and the farm site samples where taken (55%).	with the reference station sample date and provide more information the transnarency data. We will also enfeavor to	Documentary evidence provided: Export of the data from the Sea State database with date specific information. Root cause analysis and corrective action accepted by Howard Rees and technical committee on 2 May 2022.	Yes		Closed	Closed	
revida SA 22 - NC 1	50001951	Atrevida Point	3.4.1	Major	The final harvest count for the most recent cycle was 18,217 fish less than expected (2.21%) which could be indicative of an undetected except event. (Same NC from previous report as the current cycle has not yet been completed).	04/05/2021	04/08/2021		In all times the base benefity variations, show or believe the consultation examing a room wave to the second sec	Grieg has updated their procedures so all mortalities from	This is the same MC from the audit previous report as the new cycle has not reput been complexed. The information (Brock, C, CA) provided at time still apples and remains accepted. Accepted by Contral Powell and behaviour committee, 1992, 2021, as still forms that indicate discretaining in the acceut and and expected numbers of from harvested explanation (Contral Powell Contral Powell Powell Powell and Contral Powell Powell Powell Powell Powell Powell and Contral Powell Powell Powell Powell Powell Powell and Contral Powell Powell Powell Powell Powell Powell Powell 2. SOP Montally Collection & Storage; 3. Garware ent design drawing.	Yes		Closed	Closed	
re SA 2022 -	50001898	Gore	3.4.1	Major	For the most recent cycle, the final harvest count was 47,353 fish lies than expected (4.59%) which could be indicated an undetected escape event. (Same NC from previous audit / report as the current cycle has not yet been completed).	04/05/2021	04/08/2021		For all terms that have investory variances above or below the camulative counting error, we complete an investigation into the postential causes for the error and possibility of a suggest for down, here was not indication that there was encapse to unsegutate downsein intelle- no understanding the the exception variable involved in the set of the term of the term of the term of the encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the term of the term of the mortality collection due to a down its interval encounter of the encounter of the mortality collection due to a down its interval encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the encounter of the enco	Grieg has updated their procedures so all mortalities from	This is the same the Comm the audit previous report as the new cycle har only the bear complexed. The information (DRA, C, CA) provided as time still applies and remains accepted by Contral (DA), CA) there are a soft of the same complexed by Contral (DA) and discretance (I), the same complexed on the same of the harvested of the Same complexed to for the Multian down form, that indicate 2. SQP Montary Collection & Storage; 3. Garware end relaying drawing.	Yes		Closed	Closed	
ichalat eth SA 2022 IC 1	50001899	Muchalat North	3.4.1	Major	The final harvest count for the most recent completed cycle was 60,555 fish (7.11%) less than expected. (Same K from previous audit / reports the current cycle has not yet been completed).	04/05/2021	04/08/2021		For all heres that basis investory sublicate above or before the sumulative densities are sub- complete in investigation into the parameters of another for the error and parability of a scape. We Williamon, there are indication to the town and receips (or available decrease in feed, no subsetting targe bales, a product damage to the area), in this case the larges dated controlluting the decreasence with the increased number of above environmental mortality events and using hand counters for mortality event enumeration.	Grieg has updated their procedures so all mortalities from acute events will be enumerated by weight sampling and total biomass rather then the hand counters.	This is the same NC from the audit previous audit / reports at the new pole has not yet been completed. The information (REA, C. C. Darovided at time still applies and remains accepted. Accepted by Coranal Powel and technical communes, high 79, 2021, as accepted multiple large discrepancy in the accelual and expected numbers of fish harvestel: - Libraria series dispatis for Index/In the toth and other farms that - 2. SOP Monthly Collection & Storage; - 3. Gamware ent design drawing.	Yes		Closed	Closed	
ichalat rth SA 22- NC 2	\$0001899	Muchalat North	3.4.4	Minor	Holes in Pen 6 net were found and repaired Dec 6th and Dec 9th, 2021. They were not recorded on the FORM-Net Maintenance Log in the net records.	25-Mar-22	24-Jun-22		Standard operating procedure was not being followed/ understood completely and the holes fixed during the daily inspection where not recorded on the Net Maintenance form.	We revised SOP to make expectations clearer and the Site Manager reviewed the requirement with their staff. We will also include a reminder in the training materials currently under development for the latest management system undtate training narkage	The following documentary Evidence Provided was accepted by Howard Rees and the technical committee May 2 3022 : Revised Net Maintenance SOP. The Revised Net Maintenance SO2P is accepted as corrective evidence by Howard Res on May 2 3029	Yes		Closed	Closed	
peranza SA 22 - NC 1	S0003357	Esperanza - Site	317	Major	The farm was over the trigger level of 3 motile los, on three occasion, during the sensible period. 2021-65:01.2099 to summaric Count 2021-65:00.2090 Treatment Count 2021-65:00.2290 Treatment Count 2021-65:00.2282.000ver Threshold Motilication, 4 tocked pers remaining, WLID SALMON IN- MotigAITION 2021-65:070.2190st Treatment Count 2021-65:070.2190st Treatment Count	25-Mar-22	24-Jun-22		In Equivariable 2, in regarding which failshop (as to you say close) and we regardines a regardiness as the server in the sphere. Also, handling the configuration sphere (b) are sphere (b) and the sphere (b) are sphere	the fish currently in the water at all our farms in Esperanza inlet. Investments in barriers and new oxygenation systems	Documentary vedence provided for Corrective Action: 1. Grag 222 + 2023 science Action Nat. 2. 2021 Configuration science graph demonstrating supports of net barrier but are were trialed at the Stammer dist. These documents of the annual science of the Annual science action action of the Annual Science action action of the Annual Science action action action of the Annual Science action act	Yes	24-Jul-22	Closed	Closed	SGS decided to entend this NC by 1 month on the NC deadline of the full associative period (Match - Junck) in order to write the difficiences of the instructure part in place that are alreed to de- ensure that no sea lice escendences are occuring during this time.
	\$0003359	Steamer - Site	22.1	Minor	The farm DO's were significantly lower than the Reference station on 3 weekly readings. Week 1 xas 652 with no Reference station reading. Week 22 was 67.2 with the reference at 105 Week 33 was 66.7 with the reference at 101	25-Mar-22	24-Juni-22		Nextly CO to a variaged over the week do not always compare to the information to the intervention of the	Going forward we will review the data and weeks associated with the reference station sample date and provide more information the transparency data. We will also endeavor to	The documentary evidence along with the newly implemented reminder system in Oxidoa is accepted a connective action by Howard Ree on Evidence 1. Logort Of the data from the Sea State database with date specific information. E Lenal with outdook reminder to sites from the Environmental Department.	Yes		Closed	Open	
	50003359	Steamer - Site	3.1.7	Major	The farm was over the trigger level of 3 motile lice, once during the sensible period 2021-05-36, Pro-treatment count 3-56 2021-05-39, Post Treatment Count 0-13	25-Mar-22	26-Jun-22		In Spermars into, is singuisting will salinou pass by our as a bits indive segretime 3 and interpretation of the second second second second second second second managed, is and devices the second terms set index threshold and reduced the number of required transmission.	year of the production cycle (2022), and this the case with the fish currently in the water at all our farms in Esperanza inlet. Investments in barriers and new oxygenation systems have been approved by the Grieg board and are scheduled	Documentary vedence provided for Corrective Action: 1. Grag 2029 + 2020 Sale take Action Network 2021 Schemister Scheme Teil demonstrating success of net & barrier but wave trialed at the Statement to the Network Schemister Scheme	Yes	24-Jul-22	Closed	Closed	SSS decided to extend this NC by 1 month so the NC deadline the full seatch partical (March - Junk), in order to veryfyre efficiences of the instructure put in place that are aimed ensure that no sea lice exceedences are occuring during this to
			-													
			-													
			<u> </u>													
			<u> </u>													
			1				1		1	1	1	1			1	1



Traceability Assessment

6. ASC CAR 17.6.1-2 Substitution risk assessment			
Please note that auditor training on farm	traceability	is also covered in the MSC farm traceabili	ty module.
If traceability systems are insufficient, the UoC shall implemen			
1. Possibility of mixing or substitution of certified and non-certified product,	including pr	oduct of the same or similar appearance	species, produced within the same operation.
a) Are any sites within the UoC permitted to produce both certified and non-	certified pro	oduct?	No
b) Are there similar appearance species produced in the UoC? Give details of similar appearance species:			No
No other species, all fish raised are Atlantic Salmon.			
Production units or batches excluded from the certification scope:			
No production units or batches excluded from the certification scope.	ation.		
c) Average % of non-certified product produced by the UoC per calendar year?			
d) Traceability and segregation systems	Yes/No	Description of system	Evidence reviewed
Is there physical identification to distinguish non-certified product?	No	No, but each site in the UOC is identified independently in Fish Talk data	Fish talk data
Are there segregation systems in place?	Yes	Each site in the UOC is identified independently in Fish Talk data	Fish talk data
Is there traceability records identification in place?	Yes	Fish talk identifies each pen for each site and tracks fish movement from entry to harvest.	Fish talk data
Description of other traceability systems in place:	•	•	
Oban Ventures provide a harvest record of the number of fish harvested from Browns Bay Packing provide the # of fish processed for each harvest.	each site or	n the day of the harvest.	
Do the traceability systems mitigate the mixing and substitution risks?			Yes
Rationale for decision:			
Only Atlantic Salmon are grown, and all are traced in fish talk from Entry to the multisite/group audit will be harvesting Atlantic salmon within the 18 months, product and processed seperately from the ASC certified salmon.			
2. Possibility of mixing or substitution of certified and non-certified product,	including pr	oduct of the same or similar appearance	or species, present during production,
harvest, transport, storage, or processing activities. a) Are there non-ASC certified farms of the same or similar species in close p	roximity to	the UoC?	Yes
Description of neighbouring farms:			
The farms in this group are in three distinct areas, 5 farms in Nootka Inlet, 3 sit Cleo Channel not yet ASC certified are included in this audit, no other compani			site included in this multisite audit) 3 sites in
b) Are the non-ASC Neighbouring farms owned or related to the same UoC?			Yes
If yes, Name of farms in cases where they are related to the client:			
Lutes, and the Cleo Channel farms Noola, Tsa-ya and Wa-kwa are new included	d in this mul	tisite audit that is undergoing initial asses	sment.
c) Are non-ASC products from other farms handled in the UoC.			No
Stage(s) when the non-ASC products are handled in the UoC			
Note: If non-ASC products or products from other farms are handled in the Uo	C a CoC cert	ification is needed.	
Once this certification process is completed, it is anticipated all 11 Grieg Seafo	od BC sites	will be ASC certified.	
d) Segregation systems	Yes/No	Description of system	Evidence reviewed
Physical barriers	Yes	Each pen is independent from the others on the farms	Witnessed during auditing
Physical identification	No	Only Atlantic salmon is raised	Only Atlantic salmon is raised, witnessed during auditing
Segregation systems for non-certified product	N/A	Each of the 11 sites in the UOC are operated by Grieg as a separate entity . It is anticipated there will be no non- certified sites once this certification process is complete.	All 11 Grieg Seafood BC sites are part of this Group audit. It is anticipated there will be no non-certified product once this certification process is complete.
Traceability records identification	Yes	Each site and each pen are identified in Fish Talk	Witnessed during auditing



Yes

Describe other systems:

At harvest only one type of fish is currently harvested, all certified or all non-certified, never a mix of the two. The ASC certification status is noted on the Oban Ventures harvest record when ASC Fish are harvested and on the Browns Bay Farm Fish Summary of processing document.

Do the traceability systems mitigate the mixing and substitution risks?	
Rationale for decision:	

As noted above all fish movements are recorded in Fish talk, the ASC certification status is noted on the Oban Ventures harvest record when ASC Fish are harvested, and on the Browns Bay Farm Fish Summary of processing document.

3. Possibility of subcontractors being used to handle, transport, store, or process certified products.					
	Yes/No	Description of system	Evidence reviewed		
a) Does the company use subcontracted services for harvesting, processing,	Yes	Oban Ventures operate the two	Harvest record reports from the harvest		
packing or labelling?		harvest vessels, Ocean Marauder and	vessels.		
		Silver Dolphin	The Browns Bay Farm Fish Summary of		
		Brown's Bay Packing Co. ASC-C-01170	processing which list the harvest vessels and		
			ASC status if it is certified product.		
b) Does the company use subcontracted service providers for storage or	No	Transport is coordinated by Browns	Aqua-Trans BOL		
transportation		Bay packing.			
c) Are there traceability and segregation systems in place?	Yes	Harvest record reports from the	Harvest record reports from the harvest		
		harvest vessels, the Browns Bay Farm	vessels and the Browns Bay Farm Fish		
		Fish Summary of processing and Fish	Summary of processing which list the harvest		
		talk records of harvesting	vessels.		
d) Are subcontractors CoC certified?	Yes	Brown's Bay Packing Co.is certified	Brown's Bay Packing Co. ASC-C-01170		
		Oban Ventures is not certified	Oban Ventures is not certified		
i. Are there contract and/or agreements in place including traceability	Yes	Contracts are in place with Oban	Harvest record reports from the harvest		
conditions?		Ventures and Browns Bay Packing	vessels and the Browns Bay Farm Fish		
		provides the final harvest numbers to	Summary of processing which list the harvest		
		Grieg for entry into Fish Talk	vessels and the final Fish count from the		
ii. Traceability records identification	Yes	Traceability records in Fish Talk	Browns Bay Farm Fish Summary provides the		
			final fish count for each harvest.		
Others systems:	•	1			
No other systems					
Do the traceability systems mitigate the mixing and substitution risks?			Yes		
Rationale for decision:					

As noted above all fish movements are recorded in Fish talk, the ASC certification status is noted on the Oban Ventures harvest record when ASC Fish are harvested, and on the Browns Bay Farm Fish Summary of processing document.

4. An	y other opportunities where certified product could potentially be mix	ed, substitu	ted, or mislabelled with non-certified pro	oduct before the point where product enters				
the chain of custody.								
Risk	Type of Risk Identified		De	escription				
a)	None							
b)								
c)								
		-	Description of system	Evidence reviewed				
d) Are	there traceability and segregation systems available for the risks							
above	?							
Do the	traceability systems mitigate the mixing and substitution risks?							
Ration	Rationale for decision:							

ASC CAR 17.6.10.1 Point of First sale / handling					
Entity name	CoC code				
Brown's Bay Packing Co.	ASC-C-01170				



ASC CAR 17.6.10.2 The point from which chain of custody is required to begin

Please select the point from which the Chain of Custody (CoC) is required to begin from the picklist below: CoC starts at receipt to processor or other first CoC holder beyond the UoC.

Please describe in more detail the point from which the Chain of Custody (CoC) is required to begin:

Chain of Custody begins at the Browns Bay packing Co. as this is the first handling of the fish after the harvest, other than transportation.

Example: CoC starts within the UoC. The second free-text answer would specify the starting point within the UoC, e.g. CoC starts at point of harvest due to risks of mixing present from harvest onwards. Example: CoC starts at loading for transport away from farm. The farm certificate ends at the point when product is loaded into the truck at farm gate and the truck is sealed. The scope of the first CoC certificate in the chain must cover transport after loading onwards, e.g as a subcontractor.

Example: CoC starts at receipt to processor. The processor holds the first CoC certificate in the chain. All prior activities including transport are covered by the farm (UoC) certificate (e.g. as a subcontractor to the farm) or by separate CoC certification.



Traceability Test

ASC CAR 17.6.3-5 Product flow, traceability and segregation

Please describe the product flow within the UoC.

Detail the UoC's product flow from outputs to inputs detailing each of the various production steps in the process. This could include: packing, processing, cleaning and sorting, transportation, harvest, growout, nursery, stocking, etc.

If certified and non-certified product is produced at site level, explain the segregation system in place to avoid mixing of certified and non-certified products.

The farms are operated as all in - all out as the fish when/if handled are moved as an entire pen for example during some forms of lice treatments. Once the fish in Nootka Sound and Esperanza Inlet attain harvest size they will be harvested by stun and bleed by a contract harvest vessel which delivers the fish to Gold River where they are transferred to Tanker Trucks for the trip to Browns Bay Packing. Fish from the Cleo Channel area will be treated in the same way during the growout f=phase and also harvested by stun and Bleed by harvest vessels, but will be delivered directly to Browns Bay Packing by the Harvest Vessel.

Please describe receiving the seeding or post larvae from the hatchery, releasing into the outgrowing pond, stock husbandry, harvesting, fallow for the next crop.

Smolts are shipped from the hatchery via tanker trucks to the nearest dock where they are transferred to a well boat for the Trip to the farm. Once at the farm they are counted out into the pens with a predetermined count per pen. At the farm they are fed a salmon diet created specifically for their life stage from proven sustainable sources. During the growout stage the lice per fish is monitored and every effort is made to keep the count below the DFO threshold of 3 motile per fish. Fish are typically harvested between 5 and 6 kg, when a farm hits the peak Biomass for the growout the farm will have a benthic survey completed to show the environmental impact, if the sufficiel levels comeat the 30m Station are less than the pre-

entry threshold of 1300 @M and the results at the 125m station are less than the DFO regulatory threshold of 700 @M, no further testing will be required prior to restocking. Once the farm is empty, the nets will be removed for cleaning and the pens will be cleaned of fouling organisms and the farm will remain fallow until the next crop of smotls are ready for transferring to the farm. Depending a lot of variables the fallow period can be as short as a few months or a few years.

Conduct a traceability test of harvested products. In Cases where sites within the UoC are permitted to produce both certified and non-certified product perform a traceability test for ASC and non-ASC products

	Traceability Test 1					
Produc	t Identification Code		lot 5114721	Species	Atlantic Salmon	
	Document Name	Document Date	Document Description	Production Step in the Process	Explanation	
	/Code				(Description of how codes or documents link product at each stage)	
А	Harvest Vessel Ocean		Noola and Tsa-ya harvest	First step	The harvest vessel visited 2 farms for this harvest taking 11,870 pieces from Noola and	
	Marauder Report	26-May-21			11,099 from Tsa-ya. The site names, harvest date, harvest vessel, will all link to the	
					Browns Bay Farm Fish Summary	
В	Browns Bay Farm	26 14-1 24	Processing Summary for the May	Second step	The Browns Bay Farm Fish Summary provides the lot number 5114721 that will identify	
	Fish Summary	26-May-21	26 harvest		all the product from this harvest/processing going forward.	
с	Grieg Seafood BC Ltd		Invoice to Grieg Seafood Sales	Third Step	For 219,926 lbs of various sizes and grades of Atlantic Salmon from lot number 5114721	
	invoice 300101253	27-May-21	North America			
D	Aqua Trans BOL		Grieg Seafood Sales North	Fourth Step	This example of a Shipment is identified as lot 5114721, 21 pallets, 29,816.25 lbs of	
	Waybill 11266	27 14-1 24	America shipping from Browns		various sizes and grades of Atlantic Salmon. This was from non ASC Certified Farms and	
		27-May-21	Bay to Schenker in Richmond BC		was not identified on any paper work as ASC.	
E						
F						
G						
н						
I						
J						
к						
L						
Traceal	Traceability test(s) successfully conducted				Yes	

Traceability test(s) successfully conducted	Yes
Traceability Information allows to link each stage of handling certified products	Yes

If the test was unsuccessful please describe why.

	Traceability Test 2					
Product	Product Identification Code Lot 6213621		Lot 6213621	Species	Atlantic Salmon	
	Document Name /Code	Document Date	Document Description	Production Step in the Process	Explanation (Description of how codes or documents link product at each stage)	
A	Harvest Vessel Silver Dolphin Report	15-Mar-21	Esperanza and Steamer harvest	First step	The harvest vessel Silver Dolphin visited Esperanza and Steamer for this harvest taking 15,115 pieces from Esperanza and 5,584 from Steamer. The site names, harvest date, harvest vessel, will all link to the Browns Bay Farm Fish Summary (Boat Count and Browns Bay count will be close)	
В	Browns Bay Farm Fish Summary	16-Mar-21	Processing Summary for the Mar 15 harvest	Second step	The Browns Bay Farm Fish Summary provides the lot number 6213621 that will identify all the product from this harvest/processing going forward.	
С	Grieg Seafood BC Ltd invoice 300101243	16-Mar-21	Invoice to Grieg Seafood Sales North America	Third Step	For 212,464 lbs of various sizes and grades of Atlantic Salmon from lot number 6213621	
D	Aqua Trans BOL Waybill 11266	16-Mar-21	Grieg Seafood Sales North America shipping from Browns Bay to Schenker in Richmond BC	Fourth Step	This example of a Shipment is identified as lot 6213621, 27 pallets, 45,253.05 lbs of various sizes and grades of Atlantic Salmon. This fish was from ASC Certified Farms but was not being identified on the paper work as ASC as no product was being sold as ASC at this time	



E						
F						
G						
н						
I						
J						
к						
L						
Traceab	Traceability test(s) successfully conducted?		Yes			
Traceab	Traceability Information allows to link each stage of handling certified. products		Yes			
If the te	st was unsuccessful ple	ase describe why.				



B-EIA & p-SIA checklist						
hecklist and guideline for auditors on a complete B-EIA & p-SIA proce	ess and report.					
-EIA and p-SIA are not compulsory in all ASC species standards. lease find all requirements for B-EIA and p-SIA in the applicable ASC	standards.					
Biodiversity-in	clusive Environme	ntal Impact Assessment		Participatory Social Impact Assessment		
B-EIA assessment team	Validated by auditor? (Y/N)	Notes	p-SIA checklist	Validated by auditor? (Y/N)	Notes	
he BEIA shall be carried out by a nationally accredited body. Where no ccredited body exists, farms			 Quality of the p-SIA process (e.g., is it participatory and transparent). 			
nust ensure that the B-EIA team consists of competent and qualified nviconmental scientists						
ologists and ecologists with a minimum of a Master of Science degree						
om a university. B-EIA checklist	Validated by	Notes	(a) The intent to conduct a p-SIA is locally			
	auditor? (Y/N)		publicly communicated with sufficient time for interested parties to participate and/or get informed.			
Quality of the B-EIA process (e.g., was it participatory and ansparent?). B-EIA carried out by a valid expert in accordance with			(b) In listing stakeholders, in making impact descriptions, and in preparation of a final p-SIA report-document			
quirements lined out in the ASC standards.			meetings with the listed stakeholders (or by stakeholders chosen			
b) The B-EIA was publicly (locally) communicated with sufficient time			representatives) have taken place. (c) These meetings have been minuted and			
r interested parties to participate and/or get informed.			these records are attached to the final report; names and contact details of participating stakeholders are included.			
3 Stakeholders are listed and impact descriptions are documented in preparation of the final B-EIA report, meetings with the listed			(d) Evidence is provided that draft and final p-SIA reports have been submitted to a local government representative and, if stakeholders so			
takeholders (or by stakeholders chosen representatives) have taken			desire, to a (by stakeholders chosen) legally registered civil organization.			
lace.						
d) These meetings have been recorded and the minutes are attached o the final report: names and contact details of participating			(e) B-EIA done and completed according to guidance in the ASC standards (appropriate accreditation and			
 the final report; names and contact details of participating takeholders included. 			guidance in the ASC standards (appropriate accreditation and consultation).			
e) Evidence is provided that draft and final B-EIA reports have been			2. The risks and actual (past and present) impacts of the current or			
ubmitted to local government representatives and, if requested by takeholders, a legally registered civil organization chosen by these			intended farm and at least two alternatives (one of these is the "no farm or no expansion" scenario). Concepts to cover include:			
takeholders.			or no expansion accounter, concepta to cover include.			
Evidence is provided that the final B-EIA reports have been			(a) Economic aspects (influence on			
ubmitted and reviewed by a specialist with appropriate expertise on iodiversity issues.			employment opportunities, influence on other livelihoods in community).			
g) B-EIA completed according to guidance on B-EIA and pSIA elationship (transparency and consultation).			(b) Natural resource access and use (land and water tenure, influence on quality and availability of natural resources			
Risk analysis: actual (past and present) impacts of the current			including water). (c) Human assets (food security, health and			
arms, or potential impacts of the intended farm or expansion of xisting farm and at least two alternatives (one of these is the "no			safety, education, indigenous knowledge).			
arm or no expansion" scenario). Concepts to cover include:						
a) The type of farming, possible alternatives and a summary of			(d) Physical infrastructure (access to roads,			
activities likely to affect biodiversity.			electricity, telephone, housing, waste disposal systems).			
b) An analysis of opportunities and constraints for biodiversity (include			(e) Social and cultural aspects			
no net biodiversity loss" or "biodiversity restoration" alternatives).			(indigenous/traditional/customary rights and beliefs, social exclusion/inclusion, gender equity, changes in age composition of the			
			community, local informal institutions and organizations).			
c) Expected biophysical changes (in soil, water, air, flora and fauna) esulting from proposed or existing activities or induced by any			(f) Governance aspects (influence of aquaculture on norms, taboos, regulations, laws, conflict management			
ocioeconomic changes.			and whether these changes add up to more or less transparency,			
d) Spatial and temporal scale of influence, identifying effects on			accountability and participation in decision making. 3. Research and report probable impacts that are likely to be most			
connectivity between ecosystems, and potential cumulative effects.			important. In doing this, it is important to arrange meetings with stakeholders to let them prioritize and to let them express how they			
			assess/view/feel; identify both positive and negative risks and impacts.			
e) Available information on baseline conditions and any anticipated rends in biodiversity in the absence of the proposal.			4. Do deeper investigations into priority impacts with a focus on the question: "What changes will lead to if they			
f) Likely biodiversity impacts associated with the proposal or current			indeed come about?" These include: (a) Physical effects to man-made and natural			
operations in terms of composition, structure and function of surrounding ecosystems			structures and processes.			
(g) Biodiversity services and values identified in consultation with stakeholders and anticipated magnitude, direction and timeline of			(b) Likely adaptations and the social and economic effects of making such adaptations.			
hanges in these (highlight any irreversible impacts).						
ht face is a more the sound minimum			(c) How these effects and indirect effects			
 h) Possible measures to avoid, minimize or compensate for significant siodiversity damage or loss, making reference to any legal 			(c) How these effects and indirect effects would compare to having no intervention.			
equirements. Information required to support decision making and ummary of important gaps.						
i) Pronosed IA methodology and timescale			(d) How effects may or might be cumulative.			
Impact statement is available and contains all of the requirements sted above along with a clear indication of authors and affiliations.			 How energy may be unique by the positive and minimize the negative, with consideration to compensation options for those lands and 			
see above along with a clear indication of authors and affiliations.			people impacted. Also include recommendations on how to avoid these			
			issues with the intended farm or farm development.			
 Review process, reviewers (decision makers), and decisions clearly forumented 			Propose a mitigation plan assuming the farm development will take place or continue (in an adapted form if that seems appropriate); include			
otomeneo.			a "closure and			
			reclamation plan" explaining how repair or restoration will take place after farm closure or bankruptcy			
. Clear understanding as to how options for mitigation and offsetting ere determined and how avoidance actions were prioritized over			Develop and approve with all stakeholders a monitoring plan and indicators on both positive and negative risks and impacts (make use of			
ompensation			FDG and/or PRA methodologies in this step).			
 Names, affiliations and experience of the reviewing specialist are locumented and clear understanding of how affected groups 			 A summary with recommendations and conclusions is made available to all involved in the process and, through local public 			
were involved and how balanced consideration was given to conservation vs. development goals in the peer review.			notices, made accessible to all members of the local community.			
Clear articulation of a biodiversity management system including						
Clear articulation of a biodiversity management system including rgets and monitoring strategies for mitigation.						



			Select from drop-down options in h	NO
			Is this the initial audit of the client or operation?	
			How many sites does the client or operation have?	11
			How many sites have been ADDED since the last audit?	
			How many <u>employees</u> does the client or operation have?	66
Threat	Low Risk	THRESHOLD Medium Risk	High Risk	RISK RANK
Management system weakness	The Client's UoC is certified	The client's UoC is not certified to a management system	The client's UoC is not certified to management system standard such	
	to a management system standard such as ISO 9001 or 14001, or GAA/BAP group certification or GlobalG.A.P. multisite option 2 or group certification	standard such as ISO 9001 or 14001, or GAA/BAP group certification, or GlobalG.A.P. multi-site option 2 or group certification.	as ISO 9001 or 14001, or GAA/BAP group certification, or GlobalG.A.P. multi-site option 2 or group certification; and is known to have a weak management system.	LOW
Internal site checklist weakness	All internal site checklists are complete and up to date.	Internal site checklists are not complete and up-to-date but performance is not being affected.	Internal site checklists are not complete and up-to-date; and performance is at risk.	LOW
nternal audit weakness	Complete internal audit at all sites is conducted by qualified Internal auditors. Audit and audit report include a review of all ASC requirements and internal site checklists.	Complete internal audit at all sites is regularly conducted by qualified internal auditors. Internal audit includes a review of all ASC requirements and internal site checklists. Audit report is incomplete.	Either 1) Internal audit does not include a review of all sites and all ASC requirements and internal site checklists; Or 2) Internal audit is conducted by unqualified internal auditors.	LOW
	All audit findings are reported and acted upon			
Staff training weakness	All responsible personnel at all sites are trained in the relevant procedures and are competent to accomplish their responsibilities.	No staff training procedure is available. All responsible staff are trained to do their job to ensure conformity with ASC requirements	Staff training is deficient, or not occurring.	LOW
Multiple management systems	A staff training procedure is implemented. The client has a single management system implemented for all its operations.	The client has more than one management system but only one is used by the central office and for all sites included in the unit of certification.	The client has more than one management system for operations managed by the central office including for sites that are part of the unit of certification.	LOW
Records management weakness	All required records are retained and organised as per legal requirements, applicable ASC standard and own regulations.	Not all required records are found due to missing records or they are not organized for retrieval.	Required records are not retained or found.	LOW
Subcontractors including farms and ervices	Either: 1) No subcontracted farms or services are used in the unit of certification; or, 2) Performance requirements for subcontracted farms and services are defined. The performance of all subcontracted farms and services meet the defined ASC requirements and are monitored by the client. All records are retained by the client.	Records of the client monitoring the performance of subcontracted farms and services are not complete. The performance of subcontracted farms is found to be in compliance with relevant ASC requirements	Records of monitoring the performance of subcontracted farms and services are incomplete.	LOW
Use of resources	Purchasing of supplies and services is centralised. Records are complete.	All purchasing of supplies and services is centralised but records are not centralised.	Supplies and services are purchased as needed and approved by more than one individual.	LOW
Record of NCs raised by the ASC CAB and esponse	No open NC(s)	Open minor NC(s)	Any site was once suspended or removed from the scope of a certificate within the past 3 years due to not complying with ASC requirement(s)	LOW
Complaints resolution weakness	All complaints regarding the UoC to the client have been responded to and resolved within timelines in client's complaint procedure.	Complaints regarding the UoC are addressed but not in a timely fashion as specified in the client' complaint procedure.	Evidence is found that complaint responses and resolution related to the UoC is intentionally delayed or avoided; OR A complaint related to the UoC has escalated to legal actions.	LOW
raceability weakness	Either: 1) The UoC has a separate MSC/ASC CoC certification(8) for farming operations; or 2) All production of the UoC can be sold as ASC certified AND there is no enconformity raised (by either internal or external auditor) against 17.1.3.2.b.iii.L in this document (CAR v.2.2)	Not all production of the UoC can be sold as ASC certified but there is an effective tracking system implemented AND products are clearly identified, segregated and traceable as required in 17.1.3.2.b.iii.L in this document (CAR v.2.2).	Ether 1) Not all production of the UoC can be sold as ASC certified and there is a nonconformity raised (either by internal or external auditors) against the requirement 17.1.3.2.biil.5 in this document (CAR v.2.2); or 2) Owner(s) of any subcontracted farms has other farms/ sites producing the same species but not being part of the UoC.	LOW
Country Risk Assessment score	Operations located in a country that is above 62 on Transparency International's latest list (9) and has not been designated as medium or high risk by ASC.	Operations located in a country that is between 32 and 62 on Transparency International's latest list and has not been designated as high or low risk by ASC.	Operations located in a country that is 31 or less on Transparency International's latest list and has not been designated as medium or low risk by ASC.	LOW
			SAMPLE SIZE - EMPLOYEES TO BE INTERVIEWED	17
			SAMPLE SIZE - SITES TO BE AUDITED	3

8 See footnote 1 on page 23 of CAR v.2.2 9 Country risk assessment score found on Transparency International's latest corruption perception index on the latest year's CPI for the country in which the operations are sited. (For the latest scores see http://cpi.transparency.org).



Select from drop-down options in highlighted boxes

SITES

Group: Group Certification

		How many small-scale producers (Sites) are included in the UoC * How many sites have been ADDED to the UoC since the previous audit?		
			ince the previous audit?	
Threats	Low Risk	THRESHOLD Medium Risk	High Risk	RISK RANK
Management system weakness	The Group has an organogram with defined functions.	The Group has an organogram with defined functions;	The Group has procedures covering at least areas (2.2.3.1-	
	Each function has clearly defined role and responsibilities.	Procedures are fully implemented; covering at least areas (2.2.3.1-5, 2.2.3.8-10) in the ASC Certification	5, 2.2.3.8-10) in the ASC Certification Requirements for Producer Groups.	
	The Group has the capacity to run its daily operations.	(2.2.3.1-5, 2.2.3.8-10) in the ASC Certification Requirements for Producer Groups .		LOW
	Procedures are fully implemented; covering at least all areas specified in the ASC Certification Requirements for Producer Groups.			LOW
Group internal inspections weakness	Internal inspection procedures are documented and implemented.	Internal inspection procedures are implemented.	Internal inspection procedures are documented.	
	Internal inspections are led by competent inspectors with third-party auditing experience leading at least 10 third- party audits.	Internal inspections are carried out by qualified internal inspectors. Not all findings of the last internal inspection round are	Internal inspections are carried out by trained Group Members. The last internal inspection round was conducted just	
	Each site is internally inspected more than once a year.	closed prior to this risk assessment.	before this risk assessment.	LOW
	All findings of the last internal inspection round have been closed out at prior to this risk assessment.			
	All internal inspections records are kept.			
Internal audit weakness	Group internal audit procedures are documented and	Group internal audit procedures are implemented.	Group internal audit procedures are documented.	
	implemented. Group internal audits are led by competent auditor(s) with	Group internal audits are carried out by qualified Group internal auditors.	Group internal audits are carried out by trained Members of the GMB.	
	third-party auditing experience of leading at least 10 third- party audits.	Not all findings of the last Group internal audit are closed	The last Group internal audit was conducted just before	LOW
	All findings of the last Group internal audit are closed prior to this risk assessment.	prior to this risk assessment.	this risk assessment.	
Training weakness	The Group has training procedures documented and implemented.	The Group has training procedures implemented.	The Group has training procedures documented.	
	All functions within the Group are performed by competent	Personnel are trained to do their job.	Not all Group Members understand Group's certification requirements.	
	persons. Yearly training takes place based on identified training	Not all Group Members are trained and understand Group's certification requirements.		LOW
	needs within the Group. All Group Members are trained and understand Group's			
Overseeing of operations of Group Members' si	All operations of all sites within the unit of certification are	There are SOPs provided to Group Members.	There are SOPs but not for all operations of Group	
overseeing of operations of droup wembers si	carried out according to a single set of standard operating procedures (SOP).	The GMB does provide technical assistance to group	Members' sites.	
	The GMB provides technical assistance12 to Group Members through regular visits to their sites.	Members once a year. Data and records are kept individually by Group Members.	Group Members receive no visits from the GMB to oversee operations of their sites.	LOW
	Data of all skew assessing is available state. CMD			
Records management weakness	All required records are retained and organised as per legal requirements, applicable ASC standard, ASC requirements for certification of Producer Groups, and own regulations	Not all required records are found due to missing records or they are not organized for retrieval.	Required records are not retained or found.	LOW
Group governance weakness	Group Members share common interests and objectives.	Group Members share common interests and objectives.	Decisions related to operations of Group Members are top-down.	
	Group Members participate in the GMB's decision making.	No regular meetings are held with Group Members to discuss collective issues of the Group.		
	The GMB holds regular meetings with Group Members to discuss issues faced by the majority of Group Members.	GMB's decisions are communicated to Group Members.		LOW
	All GMB's activities and decisions are transparent.			
Use of resources	The Group has procedures for purchasing supplies and services documented and implemented.	All purchasing of supplies and services is centralised.	Supplies and services are purchased as needed by individual Group Members.	
	Purchasing of supplies and services is centralised by the	Records of purchases are available at the GMB.		LOW
	GMB.			
	Records of all purchases and distribution to Group			
Sanction mechanism weakness	The Group has different events and associated sanction measures clearly defined.	The Group has sanction measures clearly defined. Not all Group Members know about sanctions in the	Events and sanctions are defined. Group Members are not aware of sanctions existing in the	
	All Group Members are fully aware of those events and sanctions.	Group.	Group.	LOW
	All records of implemented canations are least	Enforcement of the sanction measures is evident.	It is evident that sanctions are not imposed in any of the	
Complaints resolution weakness	The Group has complaints and appeals procedures documented and implemented.	The Group has complaints and appeals procedures implemented.	Evidence is found that complaint responses and resolution related to the unit of certification are	
	All complaints regarding the unit of certification to the GMB have been responded to and resolved within timelines in	Complaints regarding the unit of certification are addressed but not in a timely fashion as specified in	intentionally delayed or avoided. Group Members are not aware of the procedures.	LOW
	client's complaint procedure.	client's complaint procedure.		LOW

Not all Group Members are aware of the procedures and how they work.

Group Members are aware of how the procedures work in case they have complaints or appeals regarding their



			SAMPLE SIZE		SAMP
Country Risk Assessment score	Operations located in a country that is above 62 on Transparency International's latest list13 and has not been designated as medium or high risk by ASC.	Operations located in a country that is between 32 and 62 on Transparency International's latest list13 and has not been designated as high or low risk by ASC.	Operations located in a country that is 31 or less on Transparency International's latest list13 and has not been designated as medium or low risk by ASC.	LOW	
	the unit of certification. The Group does not have any new sites added to the unit of certification with CAP's compto personal within the last 13				
	The GMB arranges collection of product from sites within				
	until this risk assessment.	12 months.			
	The Group did not have any site suspended or withdrawn either in the last CAB's audit or through internal inspections	The Group has had new sites added to the unit of certification with CAB's remote approval within the last			
	All product of all sites is sold centrally.	Group Members arrange delivery of their product to the GMB.		LOW	
	unit of certification.		GMB.	IOW	
	All Group Members have all their sites registered for the	in the last CAB's audit or through internal inspections until this risk assessment.	Group Members arrange delivery of their product to the		
	implemented.	The Group did have suspended or withdrawal sites either	uncertified farms/sites growing the same species.		
	The Group has traceability procedures documented and		Sites in the unit of certification are surrounded by		
	Or	sold centrally, both certified and non-certified (e.g. from suspended sites).	with the unit of certification.		
		All product of all sites within the unit of certification is	Group Members have more sites than registered ones		
	MSC/ASC CoC certification;	with the unit of certification.	interibers.		
raceability weakness	Either The unit of certification of the Group has a separate	Any Group Member has more sites than registered ones with the unit of certification.	Product of sites is sold as conventional by Group Members.		

Only sites of Small Producers are used for calculation
12 Technical assistance visit is different from the internal inspection visits
13 Country risk assessment score found on Transparency International's latest corruption perception index on the latest year's CPI for the country in which the operations are sited. (For the latest scores see http://cpi.transparency.org).



1.Confidential Interviewee information

Be aware: this sheet is optional and confidential, please remove from public PDF document.

This sheet provides the opportunity to report information about names and affiliations of individuals consulted or whom were otherwise involved in the audit, including: representatives of the client, contractors, stakeholders and any observers that participated in the audit without putting them in danger or violating their privacy rights by publishing private or confidential information.
IMPORTANT NOTE: The CAB SHALL maintain a list of interviewees. Interviewees SHALL be asked for authorization to be part of the record, according to local privacy regulations. This list SHALL NOT be shared with the client organisation/management. This list SHALL be used to ensure that different individuals are interviewed during subsequent audits or to do follow-up interviews at future audits, and the list of those interviewed SHALL be provided to the auditor prior to each audit. This data shall be shared with ASC/ASI upon request of ASC/ASI. Please keep this information available for at least 3 years.

	1. Confidential interviewee information						
First name	Last name/ Organisation name	Type of interviewee	Date of interview	Male/Female			

List of concerns raised during interviews	
Summary of concern	Conclusion of concern by CAB

Document Name: ASC Audit Report Version: 2.2 Issue Date: 31 May 2022 Effective Date: 30 Sep 2022

