One Earth

Commentary

From Satellite to Supply Chain: New Approaches Connect Earth Observation to Economic Decisions

Daniel Moran,[1,*] Stefan Giljum,[2] Keiichiro Kanemoto,[3] and Javier Godar[4]
[1]Industrial Ecology Programme, Department of Energy and Process Technology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway
[2]Institute for Ecological Economics, Vienna University of Economics and Business, Vienna, Austria
[3]Research Institute of Humanity and Nature, Kyoto, Japan
[4]Stockholm Environment Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
*Correspondence: daniel.moran@ntnu.no
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2020.06.007

Global supply chains shift environmental and social impacts of consumption to remote locations. This opacity challenges many sustainability goals. To help businesses and governments realize more sustainable supply chains, new approaches are using spatial data and machine-learning techniques to connect Earth observation data to conventional economic tools.

Supply chains are the lifeblood of the global economy. Their efficiency and omnipresence are a recurring theme in sustainability discussions, for example, on the impacts of globalization, the socio-environmental trade-offs of local sourcing, the pollution associated with the production and transportation of goods, or human welfare along complex value chains.

Yet, despite broad awareness of the importance of supply chains, currently available tools—whether to inform how specific consumer choices drive environmental impacts along supply chains or to robustly measure exposure to environmental risk and shocks along specific supply chains—are still mostly insufficient. We argue that current tools are insufficient because of their poor spatial and commodity resolution, which does not allow ready discrimination of the agents or objects driving or suffering from impacts.

Businesses, consumers, and shareholders increasingly demand robust data on climate, biodiversity, and resource footprints to inform their economic decisions. Microsoft's carbon-negative pledge, Nestlé's blockchain-based supply-chain transparency platform, and Black Rock's decision to integrate climate risk into investment analysis are recent examples of this trend. Current tools, which either are limited to broad sectors and country-level analysis or require laborious and narrow-focused life-cycle assessment, are inadequate and do not offer sufficiently detailed and comprehensive coverage.[1,2] Informational tools that make supply chains and their impacts more transparent, while keeping costs low for all supply-chain participants, are needed. Such tools should ideally be third party and data driven without the need for self-reporting by the supply-chain actors themselves.

The latest satellite sensor technologies and hyperspectral image-processing approaches can deliver high-resolution and almost real-time information on a wide range of ecosystem changes on a worldwide scale. These include crop type and productivity, urban and road expansion, seasonal availability of surface water, and deforestation and biodiversity loss.[3,4]

Yet, despite this wealth of information, datasets from the natural sciences so far largely miss the connection to the underlying economic production, trade, and consumption decisions that drive many of the observed changes. As a result, too much of the corpus of Earth observation science is used only to frame a general discussion about sustainability and supply chains but seldom provides concrete information to steer action or inform choices by businesses and policymakers.

New approaches are being developed to provide tools that support better science-based policy and informed decision making. These are built through the combination of global economic supply-chain data and models with high-resolution spatial datasets on human-driven environmental impacts (Figure 1).[5] Here, we present this emerging area and its challenges vis-à-vis existing gaps. We also reflect on how different knowledge communities can further contribute to improving the connectivity between economic and environmental datasets. We contend that spatially extended economic accounts are a part of the fundamental knowledge infrastructure necessary for maintaining a global economy that operates within planetary boundaries.

Toward Spatially Explicit Supply-Chain Data

Global supply-chain databases, including economic input-output tables and life-cycle inventories, are already used to feed into sustainability dashboards, reports of environmental and economic footprints, scenario planning, and shock analyses built on general equilibrium models.[6,7] However, most information on carbon and other environmental-impact footprints has so far been available only at the country and sector levels. These results provide a high-level view but too often lack sufficient spatial and product-level precision to link to on-the-ground dynamics and specific actors.

Integrating spatially explicit supply-chain information into financial dashboards would be a game changer for



Figure 1. Environmental and Economic Data Can Be Connected through the Combination of Relevant Datasets with Common Spatial Features

Spatially detailed observations of environmental health and production activity are increasingly available, and new supply-chain models strive to incorporate subnational trade data.

corporate and finance-sector sustainability. Businesses, traders, and investment managers could assess impact hotspots within their complete supply-chain footprints and evaluate cost-effective interventions to decrease their environmental-impact profile. Supply-chain-based economic models could be overlaid with spatially explicit scenarios of disruptions, such as climate and disaster shocks, for the evaluation of exposure to investment risk.

To help enable targeted responses, buying and selling decisions need to be connected to supply chains not just at the country level but also at the regional or even local level. A simple example illustrates the value of subnational resolution: if not modeled as distinct flows, soy exports from farms in Brazil's northern and southern production regions would appear to be identical. Yet in reality, the two goods have sharply different environmental profiles (the former is often linked to deforestation, whereas the latter is mostly grown in existing agricultural areas).[8] Coupling Earth observation and subnational production data is one way to estimate supply chains at a more granular level.

The key to realizing this coupling is creating fine-scale inventories of important supply-chain steps, such as production, transportation, manufacturing, and consumption.[9] These can be assembled through the connection of subnational economic-activity data (including regional gross-domestic-product statistics or trade data from customs agencies that detail the contents of individual transports) with spatial observations or models of supply-chain activity, such as from power plants, logging, farming, mining, or manufacturing. Tools from information theory, such as maximum-entropy models or Bayesian methods, can be used to combine multiple layers of information into a spatially explicit model integrating both economic activity and environmental-impact data.

This approach has already yielded promising results that make environmentally extended supply-chain models more specific and actionable. Several examples that have paved the way for the wider field include studies linking supply chains to global biodiversity hotspots[10] and a project mapping supply chains of tropical-forest risk commodities and their

embedded deforestation with company-level detail (https://trase.earth/). Other noteworthy examples are fine-scale assessments of Europe's raw-material footprint and related global impacts (https://www.fineprint.global/) and the Industrial Ecology Virtual Lab (https://ielab.info/) infrastructure project, whose ambitious aim is to house nested multi-resolution models of global supply chains. The methods and databases developed in these research projects, many of which are openly available, provide clear evidence of the large potential to move supply-chain assessments to the next level by using spatially explicit information.

Tools using supply-chain information for screening investment portfolios have also been developed. The Soft Commodity Risk Platform (https://www.globalcanopy.org/), for example, helps banks avoid risk associated with financing deforestation-implicated companies. In a similar vein, the company Four Twenty Seven offers a product evaluating the physical climate risk of a given investment portfolio.

Other projects are using artificial intelligence and machine learning in combination with high-resolution satellite data to estimate gridded activities and their impacts. Several projects are aiming to construct global maps of real-time CO_2 emissions from fossil-fuel power plants (https://carbontracker.org/ and https://www.watttime.org/). Similar machine-learning-based systems are being used to identify illegality and unsustainable resource-use patterns in the global fishing sector (https://globalfishingwatch.org/). It should be cautioned that computational approaches such as these are likely to be expensive both for hardware and software development, and many machine-learning techniques require training datasets, whose assembly can be daunting. An additional hurdle is that the data-collection and processing work is still inadequately institutionalized. Despite these practical hurdles, we see clear priorities and pathways to progress in order to scale these innovative approaches to a broader implementation, as explained further below.

In the modern economy, national sustainability goals are often influenced by foreign actors and drivers. International cooperation and transparency are therefore essential to realizing targets. Fine-scale supply-chain data can help identify the particular foreign actors whose investments or actions might be inducing positive and negative impacts domestically. Industry-specific initiatives for supply-chain transparency at the producer end (such as the Extractive Industry Transparency Initiative or the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil) are valuable, but more complete supply-chain data would amplify these sector-specific initiatives by catalyzing accountability and change.

At the consumption end of supply chains, a growing share of many countries' environmental and social impacts occurs abroad.[11] Targeted engagement could thus be the most effective way in which consuming countries can support the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Doing this requires a connection to the specific companies that provide the goods that people buy. One such potential opportunity to more efficiently allocate resources to support the SDGs is with conservation funding. About 90% of the $6 billion of annual conservation funding originates in and is spent within economically rich countries instead of on potentially more effective protection in more biodiversity-rich regions.[12] For conservation and biodiversity projects, knowing the exact location of the sustainability risk hotspots and their specific drivers along a particular supply chain is key for targeting efforts efficiently.[13,14]

Individual consumers too are increasingly asking for the locations and magnitude of the impacts associated with their choices. Supply-chain tracking is starting to be offered by a handful of retailers. Spatially explicit supply-chain data can inform campaigns or product certifications on issues such as biodiversity conservation. Additionally, better supply-chain transparency can enable an accountability framework that triggers the adoption of higher environmental and social standards in producing regions. These approaches are technically feasible and have the potential to fundamentally transform approaches to supply-chain management, thus providing a real chance of meeting SDG 12 on sustainable production and consumption. Implementing these approaches at scale over this decade will be a challenge. It will require establishing economic data with high geographical detail and fostering multi-disciplinary efforts to better link information from natural science on specific environmental impacts with their underlying socio-economic drivers of production, transport, trade, and consumption.

Natural Scientists Can Call Out Economic Drivers

Environmental and economic data can be connected through the combination of layers of information via common spatio-temporal links (Figure 1) and the use of linked data combined with techniques such as geographic information system (GIS) overlay and spatial analysis, semantic and artificial intelligence, matching methods, and pattern recognition, to name a few. Progress in connecting natural science data to economic models will come from economic data with increased spatial resolution (adding regional production, domestic trade, and better commodity-level detail to production and trade inventories) and better quality and attribution of observed environmental impact to economic activities or commodities.

To improve economic data on production and trade, customs and national statistics agencies must publish customs declarations and economic production statistics in a disaggregated form. Some countries make customs data available, whereas others do not. In addition to this trade data, comprehensive and reliable subnational production data from national statistical bureaus are vital.

Given that biomass is a good proxy or determining factor for many sustainability challenges, detailed global maps of agriculture, aquaculture, fishing, and silviculture activity are centrally important. Global cropland data projects, such as GFSAD30 (https://croplands.org/) and Agro-MAPS from the Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN, should be robustly supported so they can provide closer to real-time maps of agricultural activity. Maps of aquaculture activity, fishing, and forestry should be similarly prioritized. Although the supply-chain community is currently just a user of existing GIS datasets, there are huge opportunities to overcome many challenges if both communities work together and jointly exploit the potential of multispectral and hyperspectral imaging.

Furthermore, those working in the natural sciences and in the field can attribute

and tag impacts to their underlying economic drivers. Statistical tools can be used to infer activity-impact relationships, but in practice those gathering primary data on environmental impacts are often close to topic experts and could strive to capture more of this expertise in metadata. Tagging the driving economic activity as precisely as possible (e.g., tagging species threats not just to "agriculture" but also to particular crops) is necessary for establishing firm links between impacts, products, and ultimately companies and consumers.

As an alternative to approaches based on combining layers of spatial environmental and economic data, new technologies that break down supply chains into more product detail, such as blockchain, crowdsourcing, and the uses of sensors and trackers, provide another approach for supply-chain transparency and linking purchase to impact. Although these technologies are promising (we watch Fishcoin [https://fishcoin.co/], which seeks to illuminate the murky global fish trade, with particular interest), their feasibility still needs to be tested at large scales. To maximize use and re-use, we encourage sustainability initiatives to publish open data tagged with Harmonized System codes or PermID organizational codes as a best practice.

Alarm bells are ringing as our economy encroaches on its environmental boundaries. Swift and effective action is needed. From policy and business communities, as well as increasingly from consumers, there is a clear desire for more precise and actionable environmental information that integrates into existing decision-making paradigms. On the science side, those in the Earth and environmental sciences might feel frustrated that their work to assemble large-scale observation datasets goes underutilized. Merging supply-chain databases with spatial environmental observations is an extremely promising way to add value to these efforts. Building this link will create a corpus callosum between the oft-divided worlds of science and economics, allowing timely, fine-grained observations about the health of our planet to feed directly into the common economic tools that are used to guide decisions on a daily basis for most people on Earth.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors are each involved in projects related to the topics discussed in this article. D.M. and K.K. are involved with the Eora multi-region input-output table (https://worldmrio.com/), S.G. is involved with FINEPRINT (http://fineprint.global/), and J.G. is involved with Trase (https://trase.earth/). J.G.'s contribution was financed by the Swedish Research Council Formas under the LEAKAGE project and by a grant from Norway's International Climate and Forest Initiative to the Trase project. S.G. received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement no. 725525).

REFERENCES

1. Godar, J., Suavet, C., Gardner, T.A., Dawkins, E., and Meyfroidt, P. (2016). Balancing detail and scale in assessing transparency to improve the governance of agricultural commodity supply chains. Environ. Res. Lett. *11*, 35015.
2. Godar, J., and Gardner, T. (2019). Trade and land-use telecouplings. In Telecoupling: Exploring Land-Use Change in a Globalised World, C. Friis and J.Ø. Nielsen, eds. (Springer International Publishing), pp. 149–175.
3. Pekel, J.F., Cottam, A., Gorelick, N., and Belward, A.S. (2016). High-resolution mapping of global surface water and its long-term changes. Nature *540*, 418–422.
4. Curtis, P.G., Slay, C.M., Harris, N.L., Tyukavina, A., and Hansen, M.C. (2018). Classifying drivers of global forest loss. Science *361*, 1108–1111.
5. Green, J.M.H., Croft, S.A., Durán, A.P., Balmford, A.P., Burgess, N.D., Fick, S., Gardner, T.A., Godar, J., Suavet, C., Virah-Sawmy, M., et al. (2019). Linking global drivers of agricultural trade to on-the-ground impacts on biodiversity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *116*, 23202–23208.
6. Willner, S.N., Otto, C., and Levermann, A. (2018). Global economic response to river floods. Nat. Clim. Chang. *8*, 594–598.
7. Koks, E.E., Carrera, L., Jonkeren, O., Aerts, J.C.J.H., Husby, T.G., Thissen, M., Standardi, G., and Mysiak, J. (2016). Regional disaster impact analysis: comparing input-output and computable general equilibrium models. Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. *16*, 1911–1924.
8. Godar, J., Persson, U.M., Tizado, E.J., and Meyfroidt, P. (2015). Towards more accurate and policy relevant footprint analyses: tracing fine-scale socio-environmental impacts of production to consumption. Ecol. Econ. *112*, 25–35.
9. Escobar, N., Tizado, E.J., zu Ermgassen, E.K.H.J., Löfgren, P., Börner, J., and Godar, J. (2020). Spatially-explicit footprints of agricultural commodities: mapping carbon emissions embodied in Brazil's soy exports. Glob. Environ. Chang.
10. Moran, D., and Kanemoto, K. (2017). Identifying species threat hotspots from global supply chains. Nat. Ecol. Evol. *1*, 23.
11. Wiedmann, T., and Lenzen, M. (2018). Environmental and social footprints of international trade. Nat. Geosci. *11*, 314–321.
12. James, A.N., Gaston, K.J., and Balmford, A. (1999). Balancing the Earth's accounts. Nature *401*, 323–324.
13. Finer, M., Novoa, S., Weisse, M.J., Petersen, R., Mascaro, J., Souto, T., Stearns, F., and Martinez, R.G. (2018). Combating deforestation: from satellite to intervention. Science *360*, 1303–1305.
14. Chaplin-Kramer, R., Sim, S., Hamel, P., Bryant, B., Noe, R., Mueller, C., Rigarlsford, G., Kulak, M., Kowal, V., Sharp, R., et al. (2017). Life cycle assessment needs predictive spatial modelling for biodiversity and ecosystem services. Nat. Commun. *8*, 15065.